

AI in Cybersecurity

Skerdilaid Hoti

College of Information Technology, Western Governors University

February 22, 2024

A. Security Problems Under Investigation	4
Importance of the Security Problem	5
Root Causes of AI-Related Security Challenges at Jsync	6
AI's Trust Problem:	6
Data Privacy Headaches:	6
The "Black Box" Issue:	6
The Cybersecurity Arms Race:	6
B. Stakeholder Roles	6
Cybersecurity Team:	7
IT Operations Team:	7
Software Development Team:	7
Quality Assurance (QA) Team:	7
Security Compliance Team:	8
Vendors/Suppliers:	8
Management/employees:	8
External auditors:	8
C. Project Requirements and Implementation	8
Adherence to Industry Standards	8
Project Launch and Phases for Jsync	9
Research and Analysis:	9
Risk Assessment:	9
Stakeholder Engagement:	10
Development of Recommendations:	10
Implementation and Rollout:	10
Implementation Risks for Jsync	11
D. Training Approach	12
Audience	12
Delivery Methods	12
Content Focus	12
Duration and Ongoing Learning	12
Evaluation	12
E. Required Resources and Costs	12
Research and Analysis Phase	12
Risk Assessment Phase	13
Stakeholder Interviews Phase:	13
Development of Recommendations Phase	13
Implementation and Rollout Phase	13
Evaluation and Feedback Phase	13
F. Final Project Deliverables	14

Project Timeline (Starting February 20th, 2023)	14
• Phase 1: Project Initiation (Feb 20th - March 5th)	14
• Phase 2: Research & Analysis (March 6th - April 2nd)	14
• Phase 3: Risk Assessment (April 3rd - April 23rd)	14
• Phase 4: Solution Design (April 24th - May 28th)	14
• Phase 5: Development & Testing (May 29th - July 9th)	14
• Phase 6: Training Development (May 29th - June 25th)	14
• Phase 7: Implementation (June 26th - July 16th)	14
• Phase 8: Review & Closure (July 17th - August 13th)	15
G. Project Evaluation Approach	15
Formative and Summative Test Plans	15
Minimal Acceptance Criteria and Key Performance Indicators	15
Justification of Test Cases and Scenarios	15
Analysis of Results	16
References	16

AI in Cybersecurity

A. Security Problems Under Investigation

In order to get a deeper understanding into the security problem under investigation, it is essential to first understand the situation. During recent years Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a prominent factor in society and has begun its integration into the world of cybersecurity. While it looks very promising in enhancing threat detection, incident response, and improving security operations, integrating it also introduces new risks and challenges (Deloitte, n.d.). These challenges include a vulnerability to adversarial AI attacks, concerns over data privacy, and reliance on "Black box algorithms", algorithms that users or developers would have a very hard time understanding, making it difficult for individuals to understand and contest decisions affecting them (Morgan Stanley, n.d.). Previous compliance audits of Jsync's data handling revealed the need for greater transparency in AI data handling, a key issue outlined by GDPR. Article 22 states: "The data subject shall have the right not to be subject to a decision based solely on automated processing, including profiling, which produces legal effects concerning him or her or similarly significantly affects him or her." Jsync's core business model, which revolves around developing AI-enhanced software, inherently increases its exposure to the risks associated with AI in cybersecurity. This situation places Jsync in a spot where both the potential benefits and vulnerabilities become magnified.

Importance of the Security Problem

As threats in cybersecurity become more sophisticated, AI becomes increasingly important (IBM, n.d.). AI can help automate threat detection by analyzing patterns and anomalies in data that humans can miss (Deloitte, n.d.). However, that can create a reliance on AI, leading to new attack vectors which include AI-created malware or exploitations of the AI system that humans may not be aware of due to the “Black box algorithms” that are used to create them (CISA, n.d.). The problem becomes apparent in a rapidly evolving digital environment where cybersecurity is essential for protecting sensitive information, ensuring privacy, and maintaining the integrity of IT systems across the industry. For Jsunc, a company that uses AI into its software products, the risk of these threats becomes very apparent. Attackers might deploy sophisticated techniques to interfere with, deceive, or bypass Jsunc’s AI defenses . These types of incidents put the security and integrity of Jsunc’s products at risk, damaging the company's reputation and the trust it has with customers. It also creates data privacy issues. Since Jsunc incorporates AI into software products, it naturally handles large quantities of data, including sensitive data. This creates concerns about data privacy, as any issues with the AI-enhanced system might lead to unauthorized access to data. There are also issues with complex algorithms used by AI systems. Due to how complex machine learning and deep learning algorithms can be, there can be a lack of transparency with how the AI makes its decisions (IBM, n.d.). This lack of transparency can create issues for members of the cybersecurity team. It becomes difficult for the team to predict the AI decision-making process, and it becomes hard to guarantee the system will always be dependable and fair. This issue can make it more difficult for the team to diagnose problems, conduct audits, and explain choices made by the AI, potentially reducing trust among stakeholders and clients. To ensure the efficiency of our AI solutions, we employ rigorous testing

and validation scenarios inspired by real-world cyberattacks. These scenarios draw from historical incidents such as the SolarWinds breach, the NotPetya ransomware outbreak, and the Kaseya supply chain attack. By simulating phishing attempts, zero-day exploits, and other common tactics, we train our AI to spot the subtle signs of an attack, isolate compromised systems, and protect against new threats. We learn from the experiences of others, ensuring that our AI solutions aren't caught off-guard. This proactive approach is crucial for safeguarding Jsync's systems and building a cybersecurity framework that can adapt to the ever-evolving threat landscape.

Root Causes of AI-Related Security Challenges at Jsync

Bringing AI into Jsync's cybersecurity offers huge benefits, but it's not without its risks. Understanding where these new challenges come from is the first step in addressing them. breakdown of the key factors, backed up by what we've learned from audits and industry experts:

AI's Trust Problem:

AI systems learn from data. Attackers can exploit this by feeding AI misleading information to trick it into making the wrong security decisions. Reports from Deloitte and the MIT Technology Review warn about this, showing it's a widespread issue.

Data Privacy:

AI needs a lot of data to work well, and some of that data is sensitive. We have to ensure that even with complex AI in the mix, we're handling data responsibly and complying with regulations like GDPR. Past audits have highlighted this as an area that needs to be improved.

The "Black Box" Issue:

Sometimes even our own developers don't fully understand how the AI reaches its conclusions. This makes it hard to troubleshoot problems, satisfy compliance audits, and even know if the AI is always making "fair" decisions. IBM and Morgan Stanley have emphasized the importance of opening up the "black box".

The Cybersecurity Arms Race:

Just as we're using AI to improve security, attackers are using it to create new threats. We can't just rely on yesterday's solutions. Sources like CISA keep track of these evolving threats and underscore the need to stay one step ahead.

B. Stakeholder Roles

In the integration of the project, understanding the roles of each internal and external stakeholder is pivotal in order to efficiently and safely navigate through the project. Each stakeholder has a key role in ensuring the entire system functions smoothly.

Cybersecurity Team:

This team is at the forefront of integration. At Jsync they are in charge of finding the right AI tools for the job but also ensuring the tools are transparent. It's important that they can trust and effectively manage the AI's decisions especially in critical threat scenarios. They also must monitor the tools performance metrics and themselves serve as a second look into security at Jsync. Past incidents where manual analysis was slow can highlight areas where the AI solution can be critical.

IT Operations Team:

The IT operations team is tasked with integrating new technologies such as AI software into the company's existing stack without causing service interruptions or downtime. They are needed in order to maintain a crucial balance in service quality and operational efficiency.

Software Development Team:

This team's role involves weaving AI functionalities into Jsncs products. The team's role is to incorporate AI in a way that enhances a product without introducing vulnerabilities. This involves using secure coding techniques and thoroughly testing software using static, dynamic, fuzzing, and other forms of testing techniques. Historical bug patterns show the types of errors the AI should be trained to look for in Jsnc's own code.

Quality Assurance (QA) Team:

This team is in charge of ensuring that AI solutions or features meet with company security benchmarks. They are tasked with testing the risks involved with AI in order to ensure the products are safe and reliable before they are used within the company or sent out to customers.

Security Compliance Team:

This team is essential in navigating regulatory complexes. When dealing with AI it is important to ensure that the tools bolster security but also adhere to the latest data protection and privacy laws. They are in charge of ensuring the products maintain trust and legal compliance.

Vendors/Suppliers:

These are external stakeholders which supply AI technologies and solutions to Jsync. Their products must align with Jsync's security needs. A close partnership is required to ensure these tools are effective against new and emerging cyber threats.

Management/employees:

Integration of AI into cybersecurity practices directly impacts the work environment of employees which creates an importance of ensuring the IT infrastructure is secure and reliable.

External auditors:

These stakeholders create a system of best practices and compliance requirements. Especially since AI integration brings new threats to data handling and security measures it becomes essential Jsync stays up to date with regulatory requirements and passes external audits.

Historical metrics provide a baseline to show how the AI enhances Jsync's security posture over time

C. Project Requirements and Implementation

Implementation Requirements

For successful implementation the AI solution and plan must fulfill several core requirements.

The AI needs to excel at threat detection, risk assessment, and provide assistance for incident response. The solution must be able to be integrated into existing systems. Security and privacy must be prioritized through access controls, data protection practices.. A user-friendly interface

with clear dashboards and actionable alerts is needed, including a mechanism for providing feedback to refine the AI over time. Jsync must diligently track the changing regulatory landscape, especially regarding GDPR compliance. Finally, the solution must demonstrate scalability, reliable performance, and resilience when tested against realistic attack scenarios. For a company like Jsync, a software development company which utilizes AI integration into its systems, adopting industry standard methodologies is essential. The company will leverage the NIST framework to enhance its cybersecurity posture while employing an Agile system to assist with the ever changing systems and updates present when working with AI. This solution ensures that Jsync's products are resilient against evolving cyber threats and flexible enough to adapt to rapid changes in cybersecurity. NIST specifically highlights the value of integrating security and privacy considerations throughout the entire system development life cycle (NIST, n.d.).

Project Launch and Phases for Jsync

The project will be created during several phases to ensure efficacy and effectiveness.

Research and Analysis:

The first phase will be to initiate a deep dive into the current state of AI in cybersecurity focusing primarily on innovations and best practices that are relevant to Jsycns operations and objectives. This phase will include mapping Jsync's data flows and identifying critical assets, aligning with NIST's emphasis on asset management (NIST, n.d.).

Risk Assessment:

The next phase will involve a thorough risk assessment to pinpoint specific risks within the software solutions including both technological and operational vulnerabilities. This risk assessment will leverage NIST guidelines to prioritize risks based on both likelihood and potential impact (NIST, n.d.). Ongoing assessments of security posture and risk analysis will be conducted to identify and address vulnerabilities. This includes regular vulnerability scans, penetration testing, and risk assessment exercises to evaluate the effectiveness of security measures and identify areas for improvement.

Stakeholder Engagement:

Including stakeholders into the implementation process will be vital. Internal and external stakeholders will be interviewed to better understand their requirements, expectations, and concerns they have regarding AI solutions in cybersecurity efforts. Stakeholder engagement will focus on identifying transparency and explainability requirements for any AI solutions, in line with NIST's guidance (NIST, n.d.).

Development of Recommendations:

Using research, risk assessment, and stakeholder feedback a guideline and best practices for integrating AI into the framework will be established. Jsync will implement robust identity management and access control mechanisms. This includes multi-factor authentication (MFA) for all users, role-based access control (RBAC) for system and data access, and continuous monitoring of access logs to detect and respond to unauthorized access attempts.

Implementation and Rollout:

Strategically deploying AI solutions in phases, closely monitoring their performance, and fine-tuning our approach based on real-world feedback and outcomes. This will include Adopting a secure SDLC process that incorporates security considerations at each stage of development, from planning to deployment. This phase will incorporate rigorous testing and evaluation of AI systems before deployment, as advised by NIST (NIST, n.d.). Project success will be determined by achieving measurable improvements in security metrics and ensuring satisfaction among all stakeholders. The project will utilize an Agile methodology, promoting adaptability and iterative improvements throughout its lifecycle.

Implementation Risks for Jsync

High Risk - Technological Issues (High Likelihood, High Impact):

- Description: AI systems are complex and prone to unexpected behavior, integration challenges with existing infrastructure, and potential performance bottlenecks.
- Impact: Delays, project failure, potential security vulnerabilities.
- Mitigation: thorough testing throughout development, iterative implementation with rollback plans, incorporating scalability into the design.

High Risk - Data Privacy Concerns (High Likelihood, High Impact):

- Description: Jsync needs to ensure compliance with data privacy regulations and user trust regarding AI's handling of sensitive information.
- Impact: Regulatory fines, reputational damage, potential lawsuits.
- Mitigation : Implementing strict data access controls, anonymization techniques, showing transparency in data usage.

Moderate Risk - Resistance to Change (Moderate Likelihood, Moderate Impact):

- Description: Employees might resist new systems or be scared about AI replacing human roles in cybersecurity.
- Impact: Reduced team morale, slower adoption of AI solutions, knowledge gaps.

- Mitigation: Effective change management strategy, clear communication on the benefits of AI, and training programs.

High Risk - Regulatory Compliance Issues (High Likelihood, High Impact):

- Description: The regulatory landscape surrounding AI is constantly evolving, posing challenges in staying compliant.
- Impact: Legal issues, fines.
- Mitigation: Dedicating a team to monitor regulatory updates, ensuring adherence to relevant data privacy regulations like GDPR, legal team when needed.

D. Training Approach

To efficiently introduce AI solutions into the cybersecurity framework the plan is to roll out a targeted training program to ensure employees from various departments have the proper skills and knowledge to efficiently integrate the usage of this technology. Historical data has shown tracking participation and assessment scores is essential in determining which areas need more focus and improvement.

Audience

Training will be administered to targeted groups within the company such as Cybersecurity team, IT Operations, Software Development, and management.

Delivery Methods

A mix of online modules, interactive workshops, webinars, and hands-on labs will be utilized, providing a flexible and comprehensive learning experience.

Content Focus

Key areas of focus include AI fundamentals, AI in threat detection and incident response, data privacy, ethics, and practical challenges.

Duration and Ongoing Learning

The initial intensive training phase spans 4-6 weeks, followed by continuous learning opportunities such as monthly webinars and quarterly workshops to ensure teams stay updated on the latest AI trends and cybersecurity threats.

Evaluation

The program includes assessments and feedback mechanisms to measure effectiveness and adapt training materials as needed.

E. Required Resources and Costs

To effectively roll out Jsync's AI integration into its cybersecurity operations, a comprehensive plan for resource allocation and cost estimation will be created.

Research & Analysis

- Industry Reports: \$0 using free reports (ISACA)
- Analysis Software: \$50-\$200/month (G2, Capterra)
- Expert Consultations: \$150-\$300/hour (Upwork, Toptal)

Risk Assessment

- Risk Assessment Tools: \$0 using already available
- Expert Consultations: \$150-\$300/hour (Upwork, Toptal)
- Workshops: \$500 - \$2000+ (depending on scale)

Stakeholder Interviews

- Staff Time: hourly rates x time commitment
- Communication Tools: \$0 using already available tools
- Project Management Support: hourly rates x time commitment

Development of Recommendations

- Collaboration Tools: \$0 using already available tools
- AI & Cybersecurity Experts: \$150-\$300/hour (Upwork, Toptal)

Implementation

- AI Security Solutions: \$5000-10000/year (SentinelOne)
- IT Upgrades cloud solution: \$5000-10000 (AWS)
- Training Materials: \$0 using already available tools

Evaluation & Feedback

- Monitoring Tools: \$0 using already available tools
- Feedback Mechanisms: \$0 using already available tools
- Analyst Time: hourly rates x time commitment

Overall Cost Estimation:

The project could see a low-end total cost of roughly \$11,000 - \$25,000+. This assumes minimal paid reports, a budget-friendly analysis software option, limited expert consultations, a cost-conscious workshop, leveraging internal staff, and a less expensive AI solution with straightforward implementation. However, if the project requires premium analysis software, extensive expert advice, large-scale workshops, complex IT upgrades, or highly customized AI solutions, the cost would increase significantly, possibly exceeding \$50,000.

F. Final Project Deliverables

The development of our AI-based cybersecurity solution will follow a structured plan with clear deliverables, involving collaboration across multiple teams and stakeholders.

Project Timeline (Starting February 20th, 2024)

- *Phase 1: Project Initiation (Feb 20th - March 5th, 2 weeks)*
 - Resources:

- Project Manager (Lead)
 - Cybersecurity Lead (Lead)
 - Administrative support (as needed)
- *Phase 2: Research & Analysis (March 6th - April 2nd, 1 month)*
 - Resources:
 - Industry Reports: Gartner, Forrester, IDC, Cybersecurity publications, Government agencies
 - Analysis Software: Tableau, PowerBI, Open-source options
 - Expert Advice: Cybersecurity consultants, industry forums and networks
- *Phase 3: Risk Assessment (April 3rd - April 23rd, 3 weeks)*
 - Resources:
 - Risk Assessment Tools: Specialized software, frameworks
 - Expert Consultations: If lacking in-house expertise
 - Workshops: Venue, facilitator, materials
- *Phase 4: Solution Design (April 24th - May 28th, 1 month)*
 - Resources:
 - Software Development (Lead)
 - Cybersecurity (Lead)
 - Technical Architects
- *Phase 5: Development & Testing (May 29th - July 9th, 2 weeks)*
 - Resources:
 - Software Developers (Lead)
 - QA Engineers (Lead)
 - Cybersecurity Analysts (Lead)
 - IT Operations (Support for testing environments)
- *Phase 6: Training Development (May 29th - June 25th, 1 month)*
 - Resources:
 - HR/Training Specialists (Lead)
 - IT Security Trainers (Lead)
 - Subject matter experts from Development and Cybersecurity (as needed)
- *Phase 7: Implementation (June 26th - July 16th, 3 weeks)*
 - Resources:
 - IT Operations (Lead)
 - Software Development (Support)
 - Cybersecurity (Support & Monitoring)
- *Phase 8: Review & Closure (July 17th - August 13th, 3 weeks)*
 - Resources:
 - Project Manager (Lead)
 - Cybersecurity Lead (Lead)
 - Senior stakeholders (for review and sign-off)

G. Project Evaluation Approach

Jsync's AI cybersecurity solution will undergo thorough testing to ensure it meets both technical and functional objectives. The approach involves two main stages of testing, with clear performance goals and metrics to measure success.

Formative and Summative Test Plans

Formative Testing: The AI solution will be continually tested and refined during development. This includes unit, integration, and system tests, with automated tools like Selenium and JUnit. Security will be a primary focus, using tools like OWASP ZAP or Burp Suite, and referencing MITRE's research to cover various ways attackers might target AI systems. Previous penetration tests offer valuable case studies on how attackers could exploit the system. Incorporating these scenarios into formative testing allows the development team to assess the AI solution's ability to withstand sophisticated attack vectors, such as those identified in MITRE's research on adversarial tactics against AI systems.

Summative Testing: Upon completion of development, the solution's overall effectiveness will be assessed. This includes performance testing, security penetration testing, and usability testing. LoadRunner and Nessus are among the tools that will be used. Tests will also verify the solution's resilience against attempts to trick or mislead the AI. Historical testing scenarios, especially those involving real-world cybersecurity threats like phishing, ransomware, and zero-day attacks, inform the development of summative test cases. These scenarios ensure that the AI

solution is not just theoretically effective but practically capable of protecting Jsync against the types of threats it has historically faced and is likely to encounter in the future.

Minimal Acceptance Criteria and Key Performance Indicators

Acceptance Criteria: The solution must detect cybersecurity threats with high accuracy (>95%), respond quickly (under 3 seconds), and satisfy users (scores above 80%).

KPIs: Detection accuracy, response times, system uptime, and user feedback on ease of use and effectiveness will be tracked. By comparing current system performance metrics with historical data, Jsync can gauge improvements in system reliability and response efficiency, adjusting operational parameters as needed to meet or exceed past benchmarks.

Justification of Test Cases and Scenarios

Tests will be based on real-world cybersecurity threats Jsync faces which includes things like phishing, ransomware, and zero-day attacks. This ensures the AI solution is ready to protect against current and future risks.

Analysis of Results

Results will be analyzed using both quantitative metrics (detection rates, etc.) and qualitative feedback (user satisfaction). Statistical tools will aid in identifying trends, and the user experience evaluation will assess the solution's practicality. A commitment to continuous improvement will drive refinements to the solution based on test results, ensuring it aligns with Jsync's cybersecurity needs.

References

MITRE. (n.d.). Adversarial Threat Landscape for Artificial-Intelligence Systems (ATLAS™). Retrieved from <https://atlas.mitre.org/>

Deloitte. (n.d.). Securing the future: AI in cybersecurity. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/xe/en/pages/about-deloitte/articles/securing-the-future/ai-in-cybersecurity.html>

IBM. (n.d.). AI in Cybersecurity. Retrieved from <https://www.ibm.com/ai-cybersecurity>

Morgan Stanley. (n.d.). AI Cybersecurity: A new era. Retrieved from <https://www.morganstanley.com/articles/ai-cybersecurity-new-era>

Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA). (n.d.). AI. Retrieved from <https://www.cisa.gov/ai>

European Union. (2016). Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation). Official Journal of the European Union, L119, 1-88. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679&from=EN>

LR's posted in your Learning Resources Tab in the Course of Study – Course Material Section:

Chapple, M., & Seidl, M. (2023). *(ISC)2 CCSP certified cloud security professional official study guide*. Sybex. (3rd ed.)
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=3375845&site=eds-live&scope=site>

Copeland, M., Soh, J., Puca, A., & Harris, M. (2020). *Microsoft Azure: Planning, Deploying, and Managing the Cloud*. (2nd Edition). Springer.
[https://wgu.percipio.com/books/3066d572-95c9-49c4-ac7d-9a3c35458b25#epubcfi\(/6/4!/4/2\[epubmain\]/2\[g4b3ab31c-3312-43db-ab7d-aa8b2115ff18\]/2/2/1:0\)](https://wgu.percipio.com/books/3066d572-95c9-49c4-ac7d-9a3c35458b25#epubcfi(/6/4!/4/2[epubmain]/2[g4b3ab31c-3312-43db-ab7d-aa8b2115ff18]/2/2/1:0))

Estrin, E. (2022). *Cloud security handbook: Find out how to effectively secure cloud environments using AWS, Azure, and GCP*. Packt Publishing.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=3198558&site=eds-live&scope=site>

National Institute of Standards and Technology. (n.d.). NIST *cybersecurity framework (CSF)*.
<https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework>

ISACA. (2022). State of cybersecurity report 2022. Retrieved from <https://www.isaca.org> [Focus on sections related to AI adoption and cost trends].