

$$7. a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\|\vec{w}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (y_i (x_i \cdot \vec{w} + \alpha) - 1) \right) = 0$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (\lambda_i y_i x_i \cdot \vec{w} + \lambda_i y_i \alpha) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i = 0 \quad \leftarrow \text{Condition for } \alpha^* \text{ (}\alpha \text{ that minimizes the objective fn.)}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left(\|\vec{w}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (y_i (x_i \cdot \vec{w} + \alpha) - 1) \right) = 0$$

$$2w^* - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial w} (\lambda_i y_i x_i \cdot w + \lambda_i y_i \alpha - \lambda_i) = 0$$

$$w^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i x_i$$

7. a.) continued...

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \therefore \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} \min_{w, \alpha} \|\vec{w}\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (y_i (\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w} + \alpha) - 1) \\
 & = \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i x_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i x_i \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j x_j \right) - \alpha^* \sum_{i=1}^n \cancel{\lambda_i y_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \\
 & = \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} -\frac{1}{4} \left(\lambda_1^2 y_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 y_1 y_2 x_1 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i x_j + \dots + \lambda_n^2 y_n^2 x_n^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \\
 & = \max_{\lambda_i \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i x_j, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i = 0 \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

*The new constraint comes from minimizing over α .

7. b.) From 7. a.) $w^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i X_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha^*$

$$\therefore r(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } w \cdot x + \alpha \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^* y_i X_i \right) \cdot x + \alpha^* \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \alpha^* + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^* y_i X_i \cdot x \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

□

• Here, having λ_i^*
implies w^*

7.

c.) for $\lambda_i^*(y_i(\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w}^* + \alpha^*) - 1) = 0$

if $\lambda_i^* > 0$ it must be that

$$y_i(\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w}^* + \alpha^*) - 1 = 0$$

$$\text{or } y_i(\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w}^* + \alpha^*) = 1$$

this means when the class $y_i = 1$

then $\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w}^* + \alpha = 1$

which puts \vec{x}_i on the margin
for the -1 class

7 c.) continued...

When $y_i = +1$ then $\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{\omega}^* + \alpha = 1$

putting \vec{x}_i on the margin for the
+1 class.

In other words, for $x_i^* > 0$, the
corresponding \vec{x}_i are support vectors

1. d.) The decision rule is determined by the decision boundary given by

$$\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w} + \alpha = 0$$

- ↳ we find the margin, $\frac{1}{\|\vec{w}\|}$ (which yields \vec{w})
- ↳ α by first finding the \vec{x}_i which solve the equality constraint

$$y_i(\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w} + \alpha) = 1$$

- ↳ thus allowing us to solve for \vec{w} & α

7. d.) for all other \vec{x}_i where $y_i(\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w} + \alpha) > 1$
No single solution (\vec{w}, α) can be
found. Thus only the support vectors
determine the location of the
decision boundary.

7. e.) Suppose that $w' \notin X'$ solve

$$\min_{w, \alpha} \|w\|^2, \quad y_i(x_i \cdot w + \alpha) \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

but suppose at least one class has no support vectors x_i . This implies the margin width $\frac{2}{\|w'\|}$ could be infinite \nexists implying $\|w'\| \rightarrow 0$. Suppose then there is some other w where $\|w\| < \|w'\|$

i.e. cont. $\frac{2}{\|w'\|} > \frac{2}{\|w\|}$; but say there is some

$$\varepsilon > 0 \text{ where } \frac{2}{\|w'\|} = \frac{\varepsilon + 2}{\|w\|}.$$

$$\text{Then } \varepsilon = 2 \frac{\|w\|}{\|w'\|} - 2 = 2 \left(\frac{\|w\|}{\|w'\|} - 1 \right)$$