

# Workshop Repo

git clone https://github.com/Skipants/rspec-workshop/

Run bin/bootstrap to download Ruby 2.7.2 and set up the project databases.

It should complete with RSpec running and having a warning.

# Unit Testing

- Follows "Arrange-Act-Assert". This just means, do the following steps
- 1. Setup the state and variables needed for your test
- 2. Run the code under test
- 3. Check that the code under test matches your expectations

# Example Unit Test File in RSpec

```
Docs @ https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-core/docs
require "spec_helper"
RSpec.describe Game do
  describe "#score" do
    let(:game) { Game.new }
    it "returns 0 for an all gutter game" do
      20.times { game.roll(0) }
      expect(game.score).to eq(0)
    end
  end
end
```

### require "spec\_helper"

This loads the "spec\_helper" file, which is the conventional file for loading the Ruby and RSpec environment. For loading the whole Rails environment, "rails\_helper" is used. In the financeit app, there is only a "rails\_helper". This is generally bad practice as having separate files allows you to run spec files that don't need Rails more quickly. eg. Unit tests on plain Ruby objects.

#### RSpec.describe Game do

RSpec's code block for putting tests in. The convention is to pass the class under test to "describe". This can be a string as well. For tests like integration or feature tests that don't map 1-to-1 to the tests, you would probably use a string that describes the feature under test instead.

describe "#score" do

A nested describe block. You can nest as many as you'd like, but it generally gets messy if you are more than 3 describe's deep. Also aliased as context. The convention is that describe is used for classes and method names, context for descriptive text. eg.:

```
describe "#score" do
  context "after 20 rolls" do
```

```
let(:game) { Game.new }
```

Sets the game variable for each test in this describe block. let is lazy loaded on each test. Part of the "Arrange" step. It also has a variant let! that is called *once* at the beginning of that whole block for every test within. That's useful for expensive operations. When in doubt just use let.

it "returns 0 for an all gutter game" do
The block for the test itself.

```
20.times { game.roll(0) }
```

The code under test. Part of the "Act" step.

```
expect(game.score).to eq(0)
```

expect...to is RSpec's way of making an assertion. Part of the "Assert" step.

## Running Your Tests

- You run your whole suite with bundle exec rspec.
- You can run one file with bundle exec rspec filename
- ► Check rspec --help to see all the commands available

### Unit Test Exercise

Fill out the spec in spec/lib/string\_spec.rb

Goal: Just getting comfortable writing a test for something already written

Time: 10 minutes

## Tips:

- Run the spec with bundle exec rspec or bundle exec rspec spec/lib/string\_spec.rb
- Don't overthink it
- You probably don't need to do any setup

## File Conventions

Test files under spec/ are usually 1-to-1 matches with files and their objects under the root folder or under app/ with \_spec.rb appended.

#### Examples:

- 1. app/models/loan.rb => spec/models/loan.rb
- 2. app/controllers/loan.rb =>
   spec/controllers/loans\_controller.rb
- 3. lib/helper.rb => spec/lib/helper\_spec.rb

## File Convention Exceptions

### spec/factories/

Contains factory\_bot factories (more on that later)

## spec/fixtures/

- Contain non-ruby files useful for testing, eg. images.
- Not to be confused with test-unit's fixtures, which are yaml files. We replace that with factory\_bot.

## spec/integration/

Not actually the conventional place for integration tests for RSpec. These should be in spec/requests/

# File Convention Exceptions

#### spec/requests/

- https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-rails/v/4-0/docs/request-specs/request-spec
- RSpec Rails' wrapper for integration tests
- ► They tend to follow how multiple method calls work together. More on these later.

## spec/routing/

- RSpec tests for your routes
- ▶ I've actually never written one of these in my life.
- ▶ Probably useful if your routes are crazy and you are trying to refactor them.

### spec/support/

- ► Files that are included by tests that contain abstractions and reuseable pieces of code
- ▶ The place every included file for specs gets dumped.

# Test Driven Development (TDD)

This just means writing tests before rather than after writing your application code. Some opinions on it are that it produces better code.

# Andrew's Opinions™ on TDD

#### Pros:

- ▶ It helps frame the problem and give you the big picture of how you can solve it
- ➤ You usually end up with cleaner code because you've analyzed how it should look from the start rather than incrementally making changes
- Gives you confidence your code works
- Much quicker than testing in the browser

# Andrew's Opinions™ on TDD

#### Cons:

- Extra work is required when testing untested legacy code. Often untested code wasn't written well for allowing tests and you'll need to get a full understanding of the code when writing tests for it.
- Writing tests requires you do know what your inputs and outputs will look like, but you might not understand what the code should look like until you've tried different things first
- lt's a different way of thinking that needs to be practiced.

# Andrew's Opinions™ on TDD

Overall I think it works great when fixing bugs or making new additions. It's much harder when starting a new application where the architecture isn't flushed out yet.

## Unit Test TDD Exercise

Given a class Months, implement a method that calculates the average amount of days of 3 months by name

Class is located in lib/months.rb

Constraints: - Write a test before even typing anything into lib/months.rb

Goal: To get comfortable with TDD

Time: 20 minutes

## Unit Test TDD Exercise

### Tips:

- You will need to create a test file for class lib/months.rb given the convention we talked about
- ▶ Don't worry about good code; our goal here is testing first.
- When requiring spec\_helper, application files aren't loaded by default. Use require\_relative '/path/to/file/' to load lib/months.rb.
- Use Months::DAYS\_IN\_MONTH when implementing the feature to make this less about figuring out Date/Time methods and more just worrying about the problem
- ► Hardcode the values in your test rather than using Months::DAYS\_IN\_MONTH there.
- Run the spec file as often as possible with bundle exec rspec filename
- Use RSpec's be\_within matcher to test decimal values.

# Request (Integration) Tests

end

RSpec Rail's implementation of integration https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-rails/v/4-0/docs/requestspecs/request-spec it "creates a Widget and redirects to the Widget's page" get "/widgets/new" expect(response).to render template(:new) post "/widgets", :params => { :widget => {:name => "My expect(response).to redirect\_to(assigns(:widget)) follow\_redirect! expect(response).to render\_template(:show) expect(response.body).to include("Widget was successful

# Request (Integration) Tests

- ▶ Integration tests are used to test how different parts of an application work together. In the context of a Rails app, it's how calling multiple endpoints in a row interact.
- ▶ An example is testing the flow of a user signup. It has multiple pages and at the end you may want to check something like whether the user was created or not.
- ▶ In the financeit app, we have integration tests under both integration and requests. The convention for RSpec is that they should be under requests/.
- ▶ Integration tests in RSpec test the content of the frontend, but we also generally use Cucumber for that.

# Request (Integration) Tests

With integration tests, you're expectations probably want to check the side effects eg.

```
expect(User.count).to eq(1)
or
expect do
   post '/users'
end.to change{ User.count }.from(0).to(1)
```

We may also want to check what the endpoints under test return in their response:

```
expect(JSON.parse(response.body)).to eq({ full_name: "John
```

```
get "/widgets/new"
expect(response).to render_template(:new)
```

Integration tests hit endpoints just like a user or front-end would as they use the app. This says "when the user GETs /widgets/new, Rails renders the template 'new'  $\rm "$ 

I actually don't use render\_remplate very much as it's often kind of redundant. It's more useful in cases where you're rendering a template that you wouldn't expect. Like rendering an error page.

```
post "/widgets", :params => { :widget => {:name => "My Wid
You can also send parameters to an endpoint.
```

```
expect(response).to redirect_to(assigns(:widget))
```

assigns checks what the controller assigned @widget to. This can be a useful way to check what sort of data we're passing to our views.

follow\_redirect!

A helper method that we need to call to update the response after a redirect.

```
expect(response).to render_template(:show)
expect(response.body).to include("Widget was successfully or the state of the state of
```

Our expectations of what we get as a response after being redirected.

# A Note on Setting Up Reusable State

With let it's very tempting to highlight every different change in state as a different variable. eg.:

```
let(:first name) { "John" }
let(:last_name) { "Doe" }
```

```
context ".full name" do
```

it "puts the first and last name together" do expect(full name(first name, last name)).to eq("Doe, Jo end

```
context "with a different name" do
 let(:first_name) { "Jane" }
```

```
it "works" do
```

expect(full\_name(first\_name, last\_name)).to eq("Doe,

end

end

and

# A Note on Setting Up Reusable State

Using let this way becomes very complicated as the amount of let or context blocks increase. Duplication is totally OK in tests and is encouraged. Tests are made to be disposable. It's a lot easier to understand which state can be deleted with each test when they are part of the test itself. Instead consider:

```
context ".full_name" do
  it "puts the first and last name together" do
    expect(full_name("John", "Doe")).to eq("Doe, John")
  end
  it "works with a different first name" do
    expect(full_name("Jane", "Doe")).to eq("Doe, Jane")
  end
end
```

Now it's a lot easer to tell how the state differs between each test.

# A Note on Setting Up Reusable State

With more complicated state, you can avoid reusing the same data over and over again by providing a base set of parameters that works for the base case and mutate it in each test. eg.:

Check out reusable\_state\_example.rb

Now you can reuse the other parameters that we need for this method without having to repeat yourself in each test.

# Request Spec TDD Exercise

## Implement the following:

- ► A user can ping people by name via POST /ping with a name, greeting, and company
- ► The greeting must always be "hello" and the company must always be "financeit"
- ▶ A user can only get help from GET /help if they have sent a POST /ping with name=@skipants. Otherwise the user gets a 404 response.

#### Constraints:

- Write an integration test before doing any code.
- ▶ Write an invariant of the test if you have called POST /ping with a name other than @skipants, then you should get a 404 from GET /help.

Goal: To get more comfortable with TDD and integration tests

Time: 45 minutes

# Request Spec TDD Exercise

## Tips:

- ► Each integration test should be calling both the POST /ping and GET /help in the same test.
- Start with a file in spec/requests/. Don't sweat the filename
   integration test names are more subjective than unit test files.
- Use a base set of parameters named valid\_params and use Hash#merge to highlight the difference in state between your base case and the invariant
- You don't need to save greeting or company to the database. That constraint is just there to enforce and get you used to the usage of valid\_params.
- GET /help should not be taking in any parameters.

# Factory Bot

A gem used for organizing fixture data. Instead of passing the same parameters over and over to .create a database record, you can use factory definitions and traits to abstract that stuff away. It also provides a way to organize fixtures in such a way that they are reusable among different tests.

 $https://github.com/thoughtbot/factory\_bot/blob/master/GETTING\_STARTS and the start of the star$ 

## Factory Bot

```
FactoryBot.define do
  factory :employee do
    # attributes
    login { 'employee' }
    email { 'employee@example.com' }
    # association
    supervisor
    # trait
    trait :suspended do
      suspended_at { DateTime.current }
    end
  end
end
```

This makes a fixture for the Employee model. You can then create an employee with this base set of data in the database in your test like create (:employee)

# Factory Bot

- You can also use a Employee object without creating a database record with build: employee = build(:employee)
- If you want different data on your fixture, you can also pass it to create or build: build(:employee, login: "johndoe")
- Traits are a nice way to describe a type of a fixture so it can be reused. It also inherits the base set of parameters (login, email in this case) employee = build(:employee, :suspended)
- Read the docs for more!

## Controller Specs

Unit tests for controllers. RSpec Rails provides some helper methods to make these simpler.

https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-rails/v/4-0/docs/controllerspecs

```
RSpec.describe TeamsController do
  describe "GET index" do
    it "assigns @teams" do
      team = Team.create
      get :index
      expect(assigns(:teams)).to eq([team])
    end
    it "renders the index template" do
      get :index
      expect(response).to render_template("index")
    end
  end
```

## Controller Spec Helpers

- Controller specs have access to a response object and matchers like render\_template in the same way integration specs do.
- ▶ Instead of a relative URL, controller specs request methods like get and post call the method directly on the controller. in the previous example, instead of get /teams, we call get :index.
- assigns is used in the same fashion as integration tests. It maps to the instance variable assigned in the controller. You probably want to test what a controller assigns because it is like the output of a controller similar to how a return value is the output of a method.

## Controller Spec + Factory Bot Exercise

Reimplement the previous integration test for pinging @skipants as a controller test on HelpController with one new requirement: The user gets a 200 from GET /help only if they pinged @skipants once per day. If they pinged more than once they get a 404 from /help.

#### Constraints:

Set up the state of the test using Factory Bot.

Goal: To get comfortable with controller specs and Factory Bot

Time: 30 minutes

# Controller Spec + Factory Bot Exercise

## Tips:

- ▶ In the previous exercise you probably called the /ping endpoint. In this exercise you should instead save the pings straight to the database as part of your setup.
- You have to create both the factory and the test file for this exercise
- Try using a trait to automatically make a ping with a name of "@skipants". You probably wouldn't do this normally as it's simpler to just build

## Stubs and Mocks

https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-mocks/docs

### Stubs

```
\label{eq:https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-mocks/v/3-10/docs/basics/allowing-messages} $$ 10/docs/basics/allowing-messages
```

You can stub methods on any object by using allow(object).to receive(:method). This is useful for returning a certain response from a method that isn't under test but is required to get your test to work as expected.

For example, setting the internal state of an object and then calling another method that depends on it:

```
context "#barks?" do
  it "barks if it had no treats today" do
    dog = Dog.new
    allow(dog).to receive(:treats_eaten) { 0 }
    expect(dog.barks?).to eq(true)
  end
end
```

## Mocks

https://relishapp.com/rspec/rspec-mocks/v/3-10/docs/verifying-doubles/using-an-instance-double

You can make a mock of an object using instance\_double. RSpec will use this to verify that any calls made on the object are only ones that an instance of that object would accept. The string passed to instance\_double needs to be the class name.

```
it 'notifies the console' do
  notifier = instance_double("ConsoleNotifier")
  expect(notifier).to receive(:notify).with("suspended as"
  user = User.new(notifier)
  user.suspend!
```

end

You can not call methods from a class on a double unless you've already stubbed them via allow or are checking them via expect...receive.

# Creating stubs directly on mocks

```
notifier = instance_double("ConsoleNotifier")
expect(notifier).to receive(:notify).with("suspended as")
can simply become:
notifier = instance double("ConsoleNotifier", notify: "sus")
```

## Controller Spec Stub Exercise

Reimplement the previous controller test for pinging @skipants using mocks and stubs.

Goal: To get comfortable with mocking and stubbing

Time: 30 minutes

## Controller Spec Stub Exercise

## Tips:

- ▶ Instead of reading from the database, stub out the call to fake what the state of the database is to pass your tests.
- Classes are also objects, and therefore you can use allow(Class).to receive to stub class methods as well.
- You can combine stubs with mocks to inject mocked objects in arbitrary places in code. In other words, make your stubs return mocks you setup in your test like: allow(Employee).to receive(:where) { [mock\_1, mock\_2] }

# Tips For Testing

- Avoid using several let statements. It ends up making code harder to follow. Like we talked about earlier, use a base set of reuseable state and modify it within the tests.
- Avoid writing to the database if you don't have to. Favour factory\_bot's build over create. Writing to the database is very slow and is the main culprit of slowdown in large test suites.
- factory\_bot will cascade creates when you use create and it has associations making it write even more to the database than you'd expect.