

# THE GRILLO AI GOVERNANCE ADOPTION RUNWAY

## A Constitutional, Phased Framework for Practical AI Oversight

January 23rd 2026

Companion Implementation Doctrine

*Derived from The Grillo AI Governance Standard (GAGS)*

*The Grillo AI Governance Standard (GAGS) The First Mechanical Protocols for Autonomous AI*

### **PREAMBLE**

We recognize that artificial intelligence systems are now being deployed in environments affecting commerce, public safety, civil rights, healthcare, finance, and national security. We further recognize that while comprehensive AI governance is necessary, immediate full adoption of complex frameworks may overwhelm institutions, businesses, and governments if not implemented responsibly.

Therefore, this document establishes a phased adoption runway—allowing organizations to comply, mature, and scale AI governance over time without sacrificing safety, accountability, or innovation.

This runway preserves the full constitutional end-state while enabling practical, staged deployment.

### **ARTICLE I — TIERED ADOPTION PRINCIPLE**

#### *Section 1.1 — Phased Compliance Doctrine*

AI governance shall be adopted through graduated tiers, each representing a meaningful increase in safety, accountability, and trust.

No tier weakens the final standard.

Each tier prepares organizations for the next.

## **ARTICLE II — TIER I**

Transparency & Human Authority

Adoption Window: Immediate to 6 Months

Applies To: All organizations using AI in decision support or automation

### *Section 2.1 — Human Authority Requirement*

A human shall retain absolute authority to halt, override, or reverse any AI output.  
No justification shall be required to exercise this authority.

### *Section 2.2 — Disclosure Requirement*

Organizations shall disclose where AI is being used and for what purpose.  
AI systems shall not operate invisibly in decision pipelines.

### *Section 2.3 — Scope Definition*

Every AI system shall operate within a clearly defined scope:

What it may do

What it may not do

When it must stop and escalate

### *Section 2.4 — Fail-Safe Default*

In conditions of uncertainty, AI systems shall default to inaction, not speculation.

Intent:

This tier ensures basic safety, public trust, and executive control without requiring architectural overhaul.

## **ARTICLE III — TIER II**

Auditability & Explainability

Adoption Window: 6 to 12 Months

Applies To: AI systems affecting money, rights, safety, or compliance

### *Section 3.1 — Audit Trail Requirement*

AI inputs, outputs, overrides, and escalations shall be recorded.

Records must be tamper-resistant and reviewable.

### *Section 3.2 — Explanation Requirement*

AI systems must be capable of explaining:

Why a recommendation was made

What data was relied upon

What risks were identified

### *Section 3.3 — High-Stakes Safeguard*

No high-impact decision shall rely on a single AI model.

### *Section 3.4 — Truth Anchoring*

Factual outputs must be sourced or explicitly labeled as uncertain.

Intent:

This tier enables investigations, audits, legal review, and executive accountability.

## **ARTICLE IV — TIER III**

Multi-Model Governance & Safety Controls

Adoption Window: 12 to 18 Months

Applies To: Semi-autonomous or autonomous AI systems

### *Section 4.1 — Consensus Before Action*

Multiple independent AI systems must agree before execution of high-stakes actions.

### *Section 4.2 — Disagreement Resolution*

Model disagreement shall trigger refinement or human review.

Systems shall never “average through” uncertainty.

### *Section 4.3 — Circuit Breakers*

Hard limits shall exist on:

Execution time

Cost

Iterations

Authority

### *Section 4.4 — Pre-Deployment Testing*

AI systems must survive adversarial testing before production use.

Intent:

This tier prevents runaway automation, silent failure, and compounding error.

## **ARTICLE V — TIER IV**

Dynamic Trust & Judicial Oversight

Adoption Window: 18 to 24 Months

Applies To: Critical infrastructure, public systems, national-scale AI

### *Section 5.1 — Dynamic Trust Assignment*

AI systems shall earn influence based on demonstrated reliability.

### *Section 5.2 — Drift Disqualification*

An AI system that hallucinates, violates constraints, or drifts materially shall be removed from the decision process for that matter.

### *Section 5.3 — Judicial AI Function*

Designated arbiter systems may halt decisions on ethical or safety grounds.

### *Section 5.4 — Human on the Rail*

A human authority shall always exist above unresolved AI decisions.

Intent:

This tier establishes constitutional checks and balances inside AI itself.

## **ARTICLE VI — TIER V**

Constitutional AI Infrastructure

Adoption Window: 24 Months and Beyond

Applies To: Long-term national and international governance

### *Section 6.1 — Continuous Review*

AI governance frameworks shall undergo periodic review and revision.

### *Section 6.2 — Independent Oversight*

Compliance verification shall be conducted by independent inspectors.

### *Section 6.3 — Amendment Process*

Governance standards shall evolve through transparent, documented processes.

Intent:

This tier treats AI governance as critical infrastructure, comparable to aviation, finance, and public safety systems.

## **CLOSING STATEMENT**

This adoption runway does not slow innovation.

It prevents irreversible harm while allowing responsible growth.

Organizations may adopt voluntarily, by mandate, or through certification.

The final constitutional standard remains unchanged.

This framework simply ensures the path to it is understandable, achievable, and enforceable.