

# Formal Languages and Computability 9

Ragnar Björn Ingvarsson, rbi3

28. október 2024

## 1

We can just see that for every even number we can execute the function

$$f(n) = \frac{n^2}{2} \quad (1)$$

Which maps each even number to a perfect square. Since this function is computable and provides the needed reduction, we see that  $B$  is mapping reducible from  $A$ .

## 2

We can show that  $A$  is decidable by giving a description for a TM that decides on  $A$ .

Let  $M$  be the TM, which takes in a pair of natural numbers  $(a, b)$ . We first calculate  $a^2 + b^2$  and store that. Then we start with  $c = 1$  and iterate over all natural numbers, where for each one we calculate  $c^2$  and compare it to our  $a^2 + b^2$ . If they are equal, we accept, otherwise we continue running. We can then just check if  $c^2$  is larger than  $a^2 + b^2$  and if so we reject.

## 3

We show that  $EQ_{CFG}$  is undecidable, we can construct a reduction from  $ALL_{CFG}$ . We do so by first assuming a decider  $M$  for  $EQ_{CFG}$  and then, for each grammar  $G$ , we construct a grammar  $G_1$  that generates all possible strings  $\Sigma^*$ . Then we use  $M$  to decide if  $L(G) = L(G_1)$  and if it accepts, we accept, otherwise we reject.

From here we see that we have reduced  $ALL_{CFG}$  to  $EQ_{CFG}$  which means that  $EQ_{CFG}$  is also undecidable.

## 4

We will prove this by creating a reduction from  $A_{TM}$  to  $F$ . Assume that a decider  $R$  exists for  $F$  and we will create a decider  $S$  for  $A_{TM}$ .

Let  $S$  run on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , and then we construct an encoding for a TM  $\langle M_w \rangle$  which, for any input  $x$ , runs  $M$  on  $w$ . If  $M$  accepts,  $M_w$  accepts, otherwise it rejects. Then we can run  $R$  on  $\langle M_w \rangle$  and if it accepts,  $S$  rejects and otherwise it accepts.

Here we have reduced  $A_{TM}$  to  $F$ , meaning that since  $A_{TM}$  is known to be undecidable,  $F$  also has to be undecidable. This works on the basis that if  $M$  accepts  $w$ ,  $\langle M_w \rangle$  accepts all possible strings, which is an infinite set, so  $R$  rejects it, meaning we can accept in turn. However, if  $M$  doesn't accept  $w$  or loops,  $\langle M_w \rangle$  rejects every possible string, meaning  $L(M_w) = \emptyset$  which is a finite set, meaning  $R$  accepts it so  $S$  can reject.