

Source:

#flo #ret #disorganized #incomplete

1 | 'in class' close reading.

prompt:

`_Instructions:_ Select one of the following citations from Roy's _The God of Small Things_ for your in-`

Rubric Categories:

- Understanding Literature
- Close Reading
- Structure & Mechanics
- Writer's Voice

Please create your paragraph in a Google Doc and submit it to Canvas at the end of class. You will be g

1) She lay in it in her yellow Crimplene bell-bottoms with her hair in a ribbon and her Made-in-England

2) Chacko said:

- You don't _go_ to Oxford. You _read_ at Oxford.

And

- After _reading_ at Oxford, you _come down._

"Down to earth, d'you mean?" Ammu would ask. "_That_ you definitely do. Like your famous airplanes."

Ammu said that the sad but entirely predictable fate of Chacko's airplanes was an impartial measure o

3) A few months later Miss Mitten was killed by a milk van in Hobart, across the road from a cricket ov

4) When he finished, Estha moved the cans to the basin in front of the mirror. He washed his hands and v

example:

It _was_ Velutha.

That much Rahel was sure of. She'd seen him. He'd seen her. She'd have known him anywhere, any time. A

A lucky leaf that wasn't lucky enough. (70)

This passage from _The God of Small Things_ by Arundhati Roy occurs in the immediate context of Rahel's

2) Chacko said:

a) You don't *go* to Oxford. You *read* at Oxford.

And

b) After *reading* at Oxford, you *come down*.

"Down to earth, d'you mean?" Ammu would ask. "*That* you definitely do. Like your famous airplanes."

Ammu said that the sad but entirely predictable fate of Chacko's airplanes was an impartial measure of his abilities. (55)

go to vs read at

come down

d'you mean -> not elitest talk

read -> reading aloud voice

a) and b) -> lecturer, and thus, others are student reductionistic, like marxist?

ABOVE in hierarchy ASPIRATION

come down -> raises oxford up, come down from heaven. place your are in (ayemenem) is "down" ^ hiarchy

airplane kits -> promise that relies on work, failure falls on the maker false promise, never learns like oxford promise, like capitalist promise, like marxist promise?

kits are a mock up, not the real thing extent of his abilities

Ammu defeats without elitist talk or ideals

he is not able to stay aloft

ammu says it's an impartial measure, right and wrong. kits are bs, but he doesnt realize ammu knows that the kits are bs, becaue she claims that the chrashes are **entirely** predictable inevitable that it will chrash

oxford is a kit doesnt receive the acces to privlidge, the ability to soar "high" like the airplane intead he crashes to earth again and again

ammu relates chacko to airplane clearly

^ contradiction between entirely predictable and measure of ability, lead into above idea

impartial -> planes crash, not based in opinion or bias

def ability: restricted by world or in non-restrictive enviroment?

if first, then bias becomes a part

kits are the eviroment he relies in biased, and thus, will inevitably not be able to soar

chacko never blames the kits, doesnt regognize the futility

impartial given enviroment that is partial

contradiction!

regular, not dialouge

1.1 | Outlining

- go vs read

- reading aloud voice
- start with coming down from oxford
 - oxford is high up, heavenly,
 - where they are now is lower.
 - hierachy
- down to earth?
 - more like heaven
 - also, not reality
- airplanes
 - airplane kits
 - kit's as promise
 - like oxford
 - will never be able to our high enough to reach oxford
- contradiction
 - entirely predictable and measure of ability
 - talk about ability in the world
 - kits are the enviroment

1.2 | Writing time

Chacko said:

a) You don't _go_ to Oxford. You _read_ at Oxford.

And

b) After _reading_ at Oxford, you _come down._

"Down to earth, d'you mean?" Ammu would ask. "_That_ you definitely do. Like your famous airplanes."

This excerpt is not dialogue — instead, it is an ordered list of points. It represents a plethora of conversations, all condensed into just a few bullet points: “a)” and “b)”.

Condensing these conversations into so few and clearly defined bullet points

Condensing these conversations into so few bullets points, clearly defined down to the individual word level, not only represents the characters

the lack of change in the characters involded.

Thus, it is representative of character much more broadly

Thus, Chacko's character,