

Source: [\[KBhPHYS201IntroToElectrostaticsLN\]](#)

1 | Electric Fields

Calculation CheatSheet!

To recall, Coulomb's Law [\[KBhPHYS201CoulombsLaw\]](#) looks like $F_{attraction} = k \frac{Q_1 Q_2}{R^2}$, which is eerily similar to the Force of Gravity, $F_{grav} = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{R^2}$.

And so, by the same token, we could also redefine electric force by splitting the function in the same way as with gravity fields:

$$\text{Definition 1} \cdot \text{Electric Field } E = \frac{k \times Q_2}{R^2}$$

$$\text{Definition 2} \cdot \text{Electric Force } F_{attraction} = EQ_1$$

where E is Q_2 's electric field

Unsurprisingly, the units for *Electric Field* is $\frac{N}{C}$, and no, before you get excited, there is nothing it equals.

Directionality of Electric Fields

With masses (and w.r.t. [\[KBh\]_PHYS201_GravitationalFields](#) gravitational fields), it's easy. Masses always *attracts* because negative mass doesn't exist (*yet*). But, with an electric field, figuring out directions is harder.

So, we have two choices to dealing with directions:

- 1) Electric field of any Q has two values, one "attract field" and one "repel field"
- 2) Drawing a single vector \vec{E} , but remember that the direction of the vector depends on what's dropped in it

USE OPTION 2.

In this manner, when we say, "this atom has a electric field vector in this direction", we mean two things

1. When a positive test charge is dropped onto that vector, it will experience force in the same direction as the vector
2. When a negative " " " " " " " " " " the opposite direction as the vector

Illustrating Electric Fields

There are two ways of illustrating electric fields — either drawing an infinite amount of vectors (that's a lot of vectors), or drawing lines originating from the main particle lining up all the vectors (Think! The original Japanese flag.)

See [\[KBhPHYS201IllustratingElectricFields\]](#) illustrating electric fields.

Electric Field Interactions

See [KBhPHYS201ElectricFieldInteractions](#) Electric Field Interactions.

Conductors + Electric Field Interactions

To get our modern world, **Conductors** — metals and other elements in which electrons could move freely — are an important item to study and model. Lots of problems involve interactions between electrons + electric fields being placed in and around conductors.

See [KBhPHYS201ConductorsEquilibrium](#)

Gravity and Voltage

In addition to the measurements of field strength with $\frac{N}{C}$, there is a unit called Volts (V), measuring the amount of energy in an electric field.

See [KBhPHYS201Voltage](#)

An now, something interesting

Take, a neutral conductor.




Figure 1: Screen Shot 2020-08-24 at 9.44.46 PM.png

At the point of the cursor, there would be an electric field cause by the central charge going outwards; at which point the following will happen...

- 1) The red (positive) charge attracts electrons to the inside of the tube
- 2) These newly electrons set up their own electric fields equal and opposite to the electric field by the central electron (because of the Electric Field Deux. Gravitational Field thing)

So, the conductor has a net electric field of 0. It's static.

Because of the fact that the neutral conductor had both 1) and 2) going on, there is no tangent changes to the conductor (**think!** rule 2 aforementioned), and only field lines that are perpendicular (emitted by the red, positive charge), will be passed out.

Pressure of a field: voltage

[KBe20phys201refVoltage](#)

Annotated document: SRCElectrostaticsPacket1annotatedExr0n.pdf