Taproot · 2020-2021 Page 1 of 2

- 1 when the war began, people realized offense wasn't actually dominant
- 1.1 defense was actually easier than offenese
- 2 | why not stop (why didn't they cut their losses)
- 2.1 | **pride**
- 2.2 | sunk cost fallacy both sides thought they might just be able to win
- 2.2.1 | maybe if one government can outlast another (if enemy is about to collapse) then it'll be over soon
- 2.3 people had been expecting it
- 2.4 | this idea that the people who back down first will loose
- 2.5 defending still seems riskier
- 2.6 | preempting being better assumes element of surprise, but everyone was expecting it
- 2.7 | prefer that the war happens on someone else's turf
- 3 | defense is relatively easy
- 3.1 | machine guns and trenches are too effective for cutting down foot soldiers
- 3.2 | no tanks to deal with barbed wire and machine gun fire
- 4 | tanks
- 4.1 | too little, too late
- 4.2 unfortunately, europeans learn the wrong lesson and people leave thinking defense is better
- 5 | ships
- 5.1 | british and german dreadnaughts don't really do anything for the entire war (except one battle)
- 5.2 | not about navies fighting each other, but rather about navies blockading each other
- 6 | submarines
- ճորի խազարագոր bears for the british (bc britain relies on imports) and espagivilian ships
- 6.2 | total war: ignoring international laws, civilian lives are discounted