1 | prompt

The political scientist Kenneth Waltz argues that the causes of war can be analyzed at three different levels: the individual human level, the state level, and the international system level. Those who view things from the first level believe that war is best explained by "selfishness," "misdirected aggressive impulses," or "stupidity" within the human psyche.

Those who favor the second level believe there are hostile or aggressive or revisionist states who, because of their form of government or other domestic issues, behave in a warlike manner while other states simply want to keep the peace (the status quo).

Those who favor the third level believe that the international system itself, because it is an anarchy with "no system of law enforceable" between states, and in which each state acts according to its own interest and reserves the right to use force to achieve its aims, makes war inevitable.

Analyze World War 1 according to one (or a blend) of these levels of analysis. Which best explains the general causes of the war as well as the specific sequence of events (including events that prolonged the war beyond the initial outbreak)?

Essays should cite from the Palmer reading, and, if you want to aim for the exemplary evidence standard, any of these primary sources as well.

Other Submission guidelines: 3 pages, size 12 font, double-spaced. Citations should be in-line and formatted as (Authorname Pagenumber) i.e. (Kennedy 12). Include a Works Cited page in MLA format for the secondary sources. Primary sources do not need to be included in the Works Cited page, but their authorship and date and other relevant information should be introduced in your text when you cite them.

Tips: See the essay rubric guide below for questions to ask yourself as you write and revise. History essay rubric guide

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 1 of 8

2 | standards targets

- 2.1 | TODO knowledge of history: reference specific events, places, dates, and people with a clear sense of chronology
- 2.2 | TODO understanding patterns: define an array of historical trends { religious?, political, social, economic, cultural }
- 2.3 | TODO understanding patterns: show how the trends affect each other
- 2.4 | TODO argument and argumentation: address the prompt, explain events with a nuanced and precise sense of cause and effect
- 2.5 | TODO argument connects to broader trends and specific moments
- 2.6 | TODO needs a "so what" to demonstrate it's relevance
- 2.7 | TODO use of evidence: use specific evidence from the 'widest array of sources' to support points in every paragraph
- 2.8 written expression: use precise terminology and express nuanced thoughts, make clear intro/conclusion, and body paragraph structure clarified in thesis
- 2.9 | TODO events that prolonged the war after it's outbreak
- 3 | evidence
- 3.1 | primary sources
- 3.1.1 | German historian Heinrich von Treitschke (1834-1896) glorified warfare quoted in Politics (1899-1900) (14 years before the war)
 - 1. war is the only way out for 'an afflicted people'
 - 2. forgo the ego and join the greater good (greatness of war)
 - 3. those who appeal to peace / Christianity are cowards ('the leader should wield the sword')
 - 4. (peace is reactionary -> bad) -> (banishing war -> banishing progress)
- 3.1.2 | German general and influential military writer Friedrich von Bernhardi (1849-1930) in Germany and the Next War (1911)
 - 1. 'war is the father of all things', concept of war being necessary for all advancement of society
 - 2. concept of 'the mighty must do what the mighty must' (international anarchy)
 - 3. flourishing nations need more land and thus 'conquest becomes a law of necessity'
 - 4. 'the right of conquest is universally acknowledged'... 'right to annexation'
 - 5. 'might is at once the supreme right', basically war is necessary, correct, and natural
 - 6. conclusion: exclusion of war 'must be demonstrably untenable'

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 2 of 8

3.1.3 French writer Ronald Dorgeles (1885-1973) recalls the mood in Paris at the outbreak of the war

- 1. stunned -> 'What? War, was it? Well, then, let's go!'
- 2. people were ready and excited, 'but this time it was better than a song'
- 3. excited by seeing cavalry and foot soldiers marching off to battle
- 4. even the socialist workers 'seeing their old dreams of peace crumble' would cry 'To Berlin!' (even they are pro-war)
- 5. 'Frenchmen' national identity brought people of socioeconomic and political diversity together
- 6. hindsight: was the fight and death worth it if 50 years later everyone was friendly

3.1.4 | poems from dudes in the trenches

1. it sucks

3.2 the book dude

3.2.1 | **level 1**

1. ethnically diverse citizens (serbian nationalists, among others) want to not be part of austria hungary

3.2.2 | level 2

- 1. germany rose up, making france and russia concerned (is this innevitable)
- 2. leaders expected war to come, so that may have made it more innevitable

3.2.3 | **level 3**

- 1. game theoretic prisoner's dilemma style cost matrix
- 2. no common power to hold states accountable to the both-defend policy
- 3. security dilemma

4 | outline

4.1 | **TODO Intro**

4.2 | TODO Thesis

4.2.1 **e**

4.3 | BP1: citizens start the war

4.3.1 | germany wants a spot in the sun

The rapid economic development of Germany cite tables in years inflated the German national identity and instilled fear in its neighbors. Spot in the sun cite palmer, authorship, year

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 3 of 8

4.3.2 | primary sources

These writers further inflated the national identity and glorified warfare, creating a populous that is itching to fight.

4.3.3 | security dilemma => people think war is coming (level 3 influence)

Troops are amassed on both sides in a vicious cycle, an example of the so called 'security dilemma' (level 3 mechanisms catalyze the war)

- 4.3.4 | bad news bears in the Balkans (sets it off)
- 4.4 | TODO BP2: governments keep the war
- 4.4.1 | mutinies
- 4.4.2 | government ideas
- 4.5 | TODO BP3: greater power could've stopped the reaction at any time
- 4.5.1 | TODO germany was scared of US involvement
- 4.5.2 | TODO MAD would change the reward matrix (cult of the offensive -> cult of the defensive)
- 4.6 | TODO Conclusion
- 5 | outline2 barley boogaloo
- 5.1 | intro

At the turn of the twentieth century, Europe was locked in an arms race caused by international political and economic incentives. As tensions grew, cultural strifes inevitably intensified and ultimately sparked the war.

5.2 | DONE thesis

Although a lack of enforcement of international order and ballooning militaries both incentivized and enabled WWI, the necessary spark was provided by individual civilian interests.

5.3 | DONE BP1: level 3 security dilemma/cult of the offensive puts everyone on edge

Reinforcing international incentives such as the security dilemma and cult of the offensive put each of the international powers on edge, bringing the European powers closer to war.

5.3.1 | industrialization -> bigger militaries

As a united Germany industrialized, both its population and industrial might grew to rival the French and British powers of the time. For instance, in 1880-nine years after Germany was officially unified-the German

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 4 of 8

empire produced only 8.5% of the world's manufacturing output while Britain produce 22.9% of it. By 1913, deep into the security dilemma and one year before the war, Germany had surpassed British production and nearly doubled that of France's (Kennedy, Table 18).

Contries tend to grow their military as they industrialize, if only for defensive purposes. As Germany doubled it's military population over three decades to challenge century-long British and French domination, nearby countries grew wary. As surpassed power and a failing empire, France and Russia grew wary of the newfound power between them. They allied with Britain in 1904 and 1907 respectively for fear of a coming war.

5.3.2 | the security dilemma

As countries formed aliances and grew their militaries, opposing parties were forced to keep up in the arms race. This so called "security dilemma" doubled the number of military and navel personel worldwide in the 30 years between the German unification and the war, and nearly tripled the global warship tonnage (Kennedy, Table 19-20). A level two perspective would explain this aggression with Germany's expansionistic ideals, but even Britain's liberal parliamentary democracy quadrupled it's naval tonnage.

5.3.3 | cult of the offensive

Leaders at the time believed that preempting war would allow a fast and decisive victory. Even simplifying the outcomes to two countries and four possibilites, where each country either attacks or defends, greedy actors will choose to preempt war. As a result, each country prepared to invade it's neighboors, and European tensions grew.

5.3.4 | mutually assured destruction

In fact, had a there been an international disencentive such as Mutually Assured Destruction, the relative ordering of possibilities and therefore the cost matrix would've prevented all out war between such parties. For example, in a nuclear scenerio where any attacked country can retaliate with their own warheads, the utility of each scenerio would be ordered as follows:

Utility	Our Actions	Their Actions
4	Defend	Defend
3	Attack	Defend
2	Attack	Attack
1	Defend	Attack

And in a two party system,

Top,Left	Attack	Defend
Attack	2, 2	1, 3
Defend	3, 1	4, 4

Although it may seem less risky for any given party to attack, the utility of both defend increases as weapons get stronger until both parties opt for a defense strategy under MAD. Modern mutually assured destruction has so far prevented all out war, and a lack of such disincentives made war more likely in the early 1900s.

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 5 of 8

5.4 | TODO BP2: upset / war-hungry people are required to spark the war

As a side effect of this global militarization, the populous glorified and anticipated war. This level three influence on the level one psyche inflamed nationalist ideals across Europe and primed a now-ticking explosive.

Popular works from the years leading up to the war describe how natural and necessary war is. For instance, German general and influential military writer Friedrich von Bernhardi (1849-1930) wrote in *Germany and the Next War* (1911) that "War is a biological necessity of the first importance," and "The right of conquest is universally acknowledged." (CITE) As both a high-ranking general and a best-selling author, Bernhardi was in a unique position to influence the public opinion about war. His aggressive stance is not surprising given his military background, and his work was instrumental to priming Germany for battle. A nation cannot go to war without the support of the populous, as the citizens at large provide the troops, taxes, and labor to sustain warfare. Such vehement arguments swayed public opinion and opened the possibility of large-scale battle.

A level two viewpoint may counter that Germany was naturally expansionist, but similar widespread sentiment in France suggests government structure and ideology were not a sufficient influence on public opinion. French writer Ronald Dorgeles (1885-1973) recalls the mood in Paris at the outbreak of war, writing "Suddenly a heroic wind lifted their heads. What? War, was it? Well then, let's go!" (CITE) The French parliamentary constitutional government had been weakened by civil unrest and would hardly have been able to force a uncooperative populous to war, but even the left-wing activists agreed in August of 1914 to refrain from calling strikes during the duration of the war in the Union Sacrée or Sacred Union. (CITE needed? :question: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/026569147800800402?journalCode=ehqa) Thus, French actions could not have been primarily governmental influence, and such countries went to war due to level three influences on public opinion.

An exclusively level one viewpoint may counter that German writers like Heinrich von Treitschke had been espousing and glorifying war decades before the rapid German industrialization beginning in 1970. However, the shift was more recent in other countries. For instance, Dorgeles notes the ideological one-eighty that socialist workers take upon hearing of war. "seeing their old dreams of peace crumble, socialism workers would stream out into the boulevards ... but they would cry 'To Berlin!,' not 'Down with war!'" (CITE) Although Germany's actions may be a result of it's level two structure, the level three influence on level one psyche is required to explain the actions of other states.

5.4.1 | serbs in austria want to make yugoslavia (also bosnia?)

As countries militarized and nationalist views grew, would ethnic and religious divisions intensify until something inevitably sparked war. In the case of WWI, the weakest link was the religious divide in Austria-Hungary. Over the course of a number of "Balkan crises," the Eastern Orthodox Serbs and Bosnians in southern Austria-Hungary grew discontent with the Roman Catholic Dual Monarchy that ruled the Habsburg empire—soon to be Austria-Hungary. As the Ottoman empire declined, the Serbs marked Bosnia as their own and were infuriated when Austria annexed Bosnia in 1908. When a the Balkan wars saw Austria cut Serbia off from the sea, Serbs both independent and Austrian grew exasperated and desperate. (Reader page 6 CITE) This chain of events was driven by recent level three influences: the ongoing security-dilemma-induced arms race had Germany's neighbors scrambling for land and power. States and citizens alike were expecting war, and looking to gain as much of an upper hand as possible before it broke out.

level 3 -> level 1: individual actions cause stuff try to cite something external about expectations causing stuffs

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 6 of 8

5.5 | TODO BP3: after the war continued, there was no backing down

5.5.1 | mutanies -> people didn't want to fight anymore

5.5.2 | TODO 'just outlast others' < shipping blockades

- 1. 4.14.8 "to starve out the enemy and ruin his economy was precisely the purpose"
- 2. famines
- 3. u boats @ civilan ships

5.5.3 war on others' turf

- 1. maybe just show an example germany wants to keep the fight in belgium (invade france through belgium)
- 2. captials location
- 3. this is actually kind of level 3

5.6 | TODO conclusion

As power dynamics shifted around the turn of the twentieth century, the defined scarcity of state goals—such as the British ambition of having the largest navy—set off a chain of events that led ultimately and innevitably to global war. Without a change of level three incentives, such as a global mediator or mutually assured destruction, shifting power dynamics and the cult of the offensive will lead inescapably to security-dilemma-induced arms race and growing tensions which cause nationalist viewponts and breed rash individuals. Thus, international disincentives like mutually assured destruction are key to keeping political and economic incentives from inflaming ideological divides and causing warfare.

6 | todos

- 6.1 | DONE read primary sources.
- 6.2 | DONE review evidence/notes
- 6.3 | questions 5 april 2021
- 6.3.1 DONE how much of bad news bears in the balkans is needed question
 - 1. bosnia

6.3.2 | DONE can I cite external sources / tables n stuff question

1. yes

6.3.3 DONE how to cite stuff in class? question

- 1. people just trying to outlast others need explicit or analyze from shipping?
- 2. idea of war on others turf

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 7 of 8

6.3.4 | DONE what are you looking for in the intro / conclusion? question

- 1. intro need background? or just thesis? avoid fluff?
- 2. conclusion: what do you know now? why is this cool? whats not obvious from the thesis?
- 6.4 | questions 7 april 2021
- 6.4.1 | DONE what trends are there?

just connect stuff. no set list of trends. link different domains

- 6.4.2 | DONE need to talk about post war stuff?
- 6.4.3 | DONE check thesis? does it work for body paragraphs?

is last paragraph level 2 or level 1? phrase as a 'all human nature thing', imagine how it would happen in liberal democracies

- 6.4.4 **|TODO length?**
- 6.4.5 | **TODO** so what?
- 6.5 | DONE come up with general frame
- 6.6 | DONE come up with argument, body paragraphs
- 6.7 | TODO { outline, write, edit } for standards

Exr0n · 2020-2021 Page 8 of 8