Source: [KBHistoryMasterIndex]

1 | Diplomacy, Kissinger

The Document: [KBe20hist201srcKissingerAnnotated]

#disorganized #flo

- European balance of power system emerged after medieval collapse
- Development into model of "universality" => one emperor, one church
- · If the Holy Roman Emperor were to actually succeed, all of Europe would become auxillaries to it
 - · So, why no central control?
 - Lack of transportation and communication systems made tying largue countries together difficult
 - 2. HRE had separation between church and state, which makes the authority less authoritative
 - · Pope + emperor constantly fought
 - · Need constitution to settle
 - · Enabled feutal rulers to enhance autonomy
 - Hasburg dynasty + combination with Spanish royalty => Über powerful HRE => Almost centralized nation
 - CLAIM: eventually weakened pope brought end to religious universality, which brought end to that centralized Europe idea
 - Raison d'etat
 - Each state depended on the other. The well being of the state justified whatever means were employed to further it. The national interest supplanted the medival notion of a universal morality.
 - · Balance of power became dominant
 - · INFERENCE: more sensible government
 - France!
 - · CLAIM: lost the most by the boom of the Holy Roman Empire
 - Near-death of HRE would allow France to expand eastwards
 - · Cardinal de Richelieu
 - "Father of the modern state system"
 - · Proposed and practiced Raison d'etat for France
 - · Counter-reformation
 - HRE trying to revive Catholic universality + stamp out protenstaism
 - Lead to Thirty Years' War => 1618
 - Danish and Swedish armies cut into Germany
 - · French army joined in too
 - Devistated central Europe + lost Germany a theird of its population
 - And then... our good friend Richelieu instituted Raison d'etat
 - · France was surrounded by HRE
 - All others loyal to HRE
 - Hence, the Cardinal disregarded the fact that he is the Cardinal, and instituted this idea => State > Religion
 - · Sided with the Protestants

CLAIM:

Had the Habsburg emperors played according to the same rules or understood the emerging world of *raison d'etat*, they would have seen how well placed they were to achieve what Richelieu feared most-the pre-eminence of Austria and the emergence of the Holy Roman Empire as the dominant power on the Continent

- HRE Emperor Ferdinand II
 - Practiced the opposite of raison d'etat, which means religion + morality > state interest
 - · Refused to treaty with the Muslim Turks/Protestant Swedes
 - · Less concerned with the Empire's welfare than that of the will of god
 - "The state existed to serve religion ... for Ferdinand"
 - Richelieu is secular as minister, while salvation is only a personal objective => conflict with Ferdinand
 - To Richelieu, "the state has no immorality" => acting on the state means must act now or never

.