#ref #ret

%%- n: usa foreing intel survelance - j: edward snowden, apple data collection. consumer notification! - d: digital polical ads + tracking - m: social media taking data in general - h: human ml modeling %%

1 | Page o' notes

1.0.1 | Machine learning broad level implications on privacy

- ML requires big data
 - machine learning has driven us into the "information age"
 - cause of most of these issues
 - getting lots of info \rightarrow invading privacy, generally
- ML can break privacy without explicit data
 - ML can de-anonymize anonymous activities
 - ML based tracking systems make anonymity hard
 - hashing ISN'T good enough
 - same thing can be done even without ml
 - location tracking easily de-anonymized by simple cross reference
- MI can construct human models
 - fundamentally about simulation intelligent behavior
 - ML model of how you think and act
 - viewed as creepy
 - if it could predict your thoughts, what then?

1.0.2 | Regulation!

- FTC (federal trade commission) coming down hard
 - hitting Facebook, google, ect.
- CCPA, California consumer privacy act
- GDPR, General Data Protection Regulation
- how effective is regulation?
 - can we really prevent it?
 - one of the arguments: > "if you make data collection illegal, only criminals will have the data"

1.0.3 | **Rights**

- right to be forgotten
 - opt out law by the consumer
 - is this a right?

- right to our data, as outlined in CCPA
 - again, really a right?
- individualize experience moral?
 - amazon adjusting prices,
 - insurance companies not accepting
 - ect.
- private company rights to charge how they please?
 - libertarian args go here

1.0.4 | Flipside

- if privacy-preserving techniques ultimately fail,
 - then what?
- ML does a lot of good
 - though the individual might not want to share medical data,
 - that same data on the large scale could save countless lives
- garbage in, garbage out + black box
 - not. good.
 - especially when Al will dominate decision making and analysis.
- forced to give data by tragedy of the commons
 - you want ml networks to portray your demographic accurately,
 - and if you don't give data, it won't. In a world dominated by ml,
 - giving your data becomes necessity
- forced to give data by economic necessity
 - where data collection is truly opt out, services replace it with paid
 - economically worse off will be more likely to give data
 - skews data collected?

1.1 | during discussion

pri v sec → pri v los

1.2 | Reflec

- 1) What is the ethical issue/debate/controversy in question? In other words, how does the topic relate to the question of how we should live with science and technology? Should abortion be legal? How do we think about start of life with improving technology?
- 2) Describe at least two different perspectives on the issue (these could be complementary or opposing). Abortion is murder, it shoudn't be legal. People have a right to their own
- 3) What seems to be at stake (e.g, philosophically, politically, or intellectually)?