1 | Essay Template

1.1 | General Information

Due Date	Topic	Important Documents
In two days	God of Small Things	God of Small Things, Duh

Copy and paste the passage to the top of the essay!!

1.2 | **Prompt**

Select one of the following citations from Roy's *The God of Small Things* for your in-class close reading writing. Be sure to examine the specific denotation and connotations of Roy's language.

```
Chacko said:
- You don't _go_ to Oxford. You _read_ at Oxford.
And
- After _reading_ at Oxford, you _come down._
```

"Down to earth, d'you mean?" Ammu would ask. "_That_ you definitely do. Like your famous airplanes."

Ammu said that the sad but entirely predictable fate of Chacko's airplanes was an impartial measure of I

Reading, the double entendre. "Study (an academic subject) at a university." (OED, AA) However, in this passage, there's also "understand or interpret the nature or significance of." (OED, AB).

Come down — a sense of superority — a sense of criticism w.r.t. Chacko has a sense of superority. Chaco's aeromodeling kit kept crashing, shows dichotomy between reality and fiction.

Chacko's airplones, kits — arranged — that he always messes up. Similar to his running of the factory. Prearranged systems that he messes up.

Effect of sarcasm: famous. Is famous sacrasm??

The sense of British superority used against him. Infatuation with titles.

1. Infatuation with titles and male chavinism

You don't go to oxford, you "understand" at oxford. And after you understand at oxford, you rid yourself of your corrupt ways. One does not simply head to oxford, one attempts to see and understand (Luke 19:5), and Come Down to rid of sins.

Inherent sense of superority, for Chacko, Oxford is Jesus. Marxist.

Ammu instead offers an alternate interpretation, instead of meeting a Jesus like figure, one actually meets a harsher figure: the ground. One acutally comes down to earth, and, instead of

1.3 | Claim Synthesis (Babingtonization)

1.3.1 Development phase – How and So-What

- CLAIM
 - How THING THAT ATTACKS INTERGRITY OF CLAIM

Taproot · 2020-2021 Page 1

- EVIDENCE and SPECIFICITY
- So what (local), THING THAT ATTACKS THE RELEVANCE OF CLAIM TOWARDS THE BROADER PICTURE
- · CLAIM
 - Repeat...

1.3.2 | Testing phase - Why and So-What

- · CLAIM
 - Why DOES THE CLAIM ANSWER THE PROMPT?
 - So what (global), THING THAT ATTACKS THE BROADER IMPACT OF THE CLAIM
- CLAIM
 - · Repeat...

1.4 | Defluffifying

CHOSEN THESIS CLAIM

- · Point a
- · Point b
- · Point c

So what? SO WHAT

Now, defluffify by re-writing the three points + so what in as little words as possible.

RESTATED CLAIM

1.5 | **Outline**

- Claim 1: claim
 - How
 - · HOW IS CLAIM TRUE?
 - Evidence -> HOW IS YOUR REASONING TRUE?
 - So What
 - · WHY DO I CARE?
- · Claim 2: claim
 - How
 - · HOW IS CLAIM TRUE?
 - Evidence -> HOW IS YOUR REASONING TRUE?
 - So What
 - · WHY DO I CARE?
- · Claim 3: claim
 - How
 - · HOW IS CLAIM TRUE?
 - Evidence -> HOW IS YOUR REASONING TRUE?

Taproot · 2020-2021 Page 2

- So What
 - WHY DO I CARE?

There is always UCLA Writing Lab

Taproot · **2020-2021** Page 3