Source: [KBHistoryMasterIndex]

## 1 | Diplomacy, Kissinger

The Document: [KBe20hist201srcKissingerAnnotated]

#disorganized #flo

- · European balance of power system emerged after medieval collapse
- Development into model of "universality" => one emperor, one church
- · If the Holy Roman Emperor were to actually succeed, all of Europe would become auxillaries to it
  - · So, why no central control?
    - Lack of transportation and communication systems made tying largue countries together difficult
    - 2. HRE had separation between church and state, which makes the authority less authoritative
  - · Pope + emperor constantly fought
    - · Need constitution to settle
    - Enabled feutal rulers to enhance autonomy
    - Hasburg dynasty + combination with Spanish royalty => Über powerful HRE => Almost centralized nation
    - CLAIM: eventually weakened pope brought end to religious universality, which brought end to that centralized Europe idea
  - Raison d'etat
    - Each state depended on the other. The well being of the state justified whatever means were employed to further it. The national interest supplanted the medival notion of a universal morality.
      - · Balance of power became dominant
      - INFERENCE: more sensible government
      - · "Depended on reason and ability to assess power relationships"
        - France!
          - · CLAIM: lost the most by the boom of the Holy Roman Empire
            - Near-death of HRE would allow France to expand eastwards
            - · Cardinal de Richelieu
              - "Father of the modern state system"
              - · Proposed and practiced Raison d'etat for France
          - · Counter-reformation
            - HRE trying to revive Catholic universality + stamp out protenstaism
            - Lead to Thirty Years' War => 1618
              - Danish and Swedish armies cut into Germany
              - · French army joined in too
              - Devistated central Europe + lost Germany a theird of its population
            - · And then... our good friend Richelieu instituted Raison d'etat
              - · France was surrounded by HRE
              - · All others loyal to HRE
              - Hence, the Cardinal disregarded the fact that he is the Cardinal, and instituted this idea => State > Religion
              - · Sided with the Protestants

CLAIM:

Had the Habsburg emperors played according to the same rules or understood the emerging world of *raison d'etat*, they would have seen how well placed they were to achieve what Richelieu feared most-the pre-eminence of Austria and the emergence of the Holy Roman Empire as the dominant power on the Continent

- · HRE Emperor Ferdinand II
  - Practiced the opposite of raison d'etat, which means religion + morality > state interest
  - Refused to treaty with the Muslim Turks/Protestant Swedes
  - · Less concerned with the Empire's welfare than that of the will of god
  - "The state existed to serve religion ... for Ferdinand"
  - Richelieu is secular as minister, while salvation is only a personal objective => conflict with Ferdinand
  - To Richelieu, "the state has no immorality" => acting on the state means must act now or never
  - CLAIM: HRE would have expanded more if they agreed to work with the protestants who were totally fine with HRE dominating politically
  - · Edict of reinstatution
    - Demanded land taken by protestants since 1555 be returned
- · Richelieu subsidized the Protestant German fight against HRE
  - Unique and novel secular support (especially because Richelieu himself is a Catholic)
  - In the French national interest => prevented HRE encirclement of France
- Raison d'etat extention and failure
  - · Too much power without morals is no good
  - · Louis XIV over exploited the rest of europe
    - · In the end, this was detrimental to France
  - · When most states starts being fully rational and not at all moral, this becomes less fun

\_