Source: [KBISOSMasterIndex]

## 1 | **HDWDNWANW**

## #flo #disorganized

- · Prominent scientists could be wrong!
  - Consensus formed during the 20th century about a long of scientific discovery, for most thought that the important questions have been answered
  - · So, consensus does not mean correctness
- · Climate science unusual because of political motivations
- · One way to test hypothesis is to do a review of the state of that field
  - · This was originally trivial, but gets much harder nowadays
  - Too many papers published for one to read efficiently
- Now, Knowledge = Scientific Consensus => only over the simple realities of a hypothesis
  - · Claims with scientific consensus are rounded on verified new realities
  - · Claims of current causes is not prediction of the future
- · So, why do people think that people disagree on scientifically confirm consensus?
  - · People are conflating scientific evidence with political decisions
  - · Climate science heavily predicated upon future effects, which is not always easy and effective
  - · Scientists have sometimes failed to explain themselves beyond their communities
    - Actually, scientists sometimes thought that the mere worry about dissemination is wasting time
    - · "Popularizers" are dismissed
  - Scientists commenting on contested issue often called "politicizing"
  - · Organization sometimes propergating alternative views
- · How do we know that we arn't wrong?
  - · There is actually no singular scientific method!
  - · No one answer and standard method of science