

Discrete Gaze-Estimation using Machine Learning

Ahmad Salim Al-Sibahi and Nicolai Skovvart^{*}

IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark

^{*} Supervisor: Dan Witzner Hansen

Abstract. Abstract goes here

Keywords: Batman

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Problem Description	5
3	Theory	6
4	Technical Solution	7
5	Evaluation	8
6	Discussion	9
	6.1 Internal	9
	6.2 External	9
7	Related Work	10
8	Conclusion	11

1 Introduction

We will perform an experiment where a subject is looking at a finite amount of points under different conditions. These conditions are combinations of moving the head or keeping it still and an infrared light being on or off. The images will then be processed to identify the edges of the eye and the center of the pupil. We can then extract eye images using the feature data. We can then run machine learning algorithms on the data and analyze the results to determine if we are able to perform gaze estimation.

This report will explain concepts of machine learning utilized in this project, the experiment in more detail, how the results can be evaluated, possible threats to validity and the final results.

2 Problem Description

Eye-tracking and gaze estimation has a lot of applications, for example in enabling disabled people, and in particular people with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), the ability to type with and control devices using their eyes.

In this project we attempt to determine whether it is possible to perform gaze estimation using machine learning. Using machine learning for gaze estimation can be problematic, as images of faces (or eyes) are high-dimensional data, making learning complex and expensive. There are a few approaches to reducing the complexity: reducing the input space by example turning the image into grayscale, isolating the eye, and scaling the resulting image or by extracting features of the eye such as eye corners and the center of the pupil. In this project we attempt both approaches.

3 Theory

Theory goes here.

4 Technical Solution

Technical solution goes here.

5 Evaluation

Evaluation goes here.

6 Discussion

6.1 Internal

Learning only tested on eyes from 2 people. Eye corners and pupil center was manually marked, not all marked 100% accurately. Webcam images were not of very high quality. This makes the potential usability cheaper which is a plus, but some detail may be lost. Our test setup required the test-subjects to sit a certain distance from the camera for the infrared lights to be visible. This had the effect that the eye were a smaller part of the input images than they could have been. To simplify learning, images were scaled down to 20x20 pixels. Information lost that could potentially have an impact on learning. Trade-off. Noise due to loose experiment setup. Even during head-still images the heads moved slightly etc.

6.2 External

Other ethnicities (in particular asians?) could potentially give different results. Given proper test-setup, it is unlikely to have any significant effect. "individuality of the eyes, variability in shape, scale, location, and lighting conditions"

7 Related Work

Related work goes here.

8 Conclusion

No lights head move - Not separable No lights head still - Left/right separable,
PCA 1-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5 With lights head move - Not separable With lights head
still - Separable, PCA 1-2, 2-3, (2-4), ((2-5))