

Effectively Solving Linear Ridge Regression

Student ID: 518021911150 Class: F1803405 Name: 周睿文

Problem:

- Numerical computation of matrix inversion of $Z^T Z$ is expensive
- Instead we could use singular value decomposition (SVD) to lower the computation cost:

$$Z = UDV^T$$

where:

- $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)$ is an $n \times p$ orthogonal matrix
 - $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$ is a $p \times p$ diagonal matrix consisting of the singular values $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p \geq 0$
 - $V^T = (v_1^T, v_2^T, \dots, v_p^T)$ is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix
- Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_\lambda^{\text{ridge}} &= (Z^T Z + \lambda I_p)^{-1} Z^T y \\ &= V \text{diag}_j \left(\frac{d_j}{d_j^2 + \lambda} \right) U^T y\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

From the objective of linear ridge regression, we have:

$$J(\beta) = (y - Z\beta)^T (y - Z\beta) + \lambda \beta^T \beta$$

To minimize the cost function $J(\beta)$, we need:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \beta} = -2Z^T (y - Z\beta) + 2\lambda \beta = 0$$

Hence we get:

$$\begin{aligned}Z^T y &= Z^T Z \beta + \lambda \beta \\ \beta &= (Z^T Z + \lambda I_p)^{-1} Z^T y\end{aligned}$$

As will be shown later, the $p \times p$ matrix $(Z^T Z + \lambda I_p)$ is invertible as long as $\lambda > 0$.

According to the definition of singular value decomposition, we let $Z = UDV^T$, where:

- $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)$ is an $n \times p$ orthogonal matrix
- $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$ is a $p \times p$ diagonal matrix consisting of the singular values $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p \geq 0$
- $V^T = (v_1^T, v_2^T, \dots, v_p^T)$ is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z^T Z &= (UDV^T)^T (UDV^T) \\
 &= VD^T U^T U D V^T \\
 &= VD^T D V^T \\
 &= VD^2 V^T
 \end{aligned}$$

As V is an orthogonal matrix, we have $V^T V = I_p$, so we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z^T Z + \lambda I_p &= VD^2 V^T + \lambda I_p \\
 &= V(D^2 + \lambda I_p)V^T \\
 &= V \text{diag}_j(d_j^2 + \lambda) V^T
 \end{aligned}$$

As long as $\lambda > 0$, we have $d_j^2 + \lambda > 0, \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, so we can get $(Z^T Z + \lambda I_p)^{-1}$ as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{1}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) V^T (Z^T Z + \lambda I_p) &= V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{1}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) V^T V \text{diag}_j(d_j^2 + \lambda) V^T \\
 &= V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{1}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) \text{diag}_j(d_j^2 + \lambda) V^T \\
 &= V V^T = I_p \\
 \Rightarrow (Z^T Z + \lambda I_p)^{-1} &= V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{1}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) V^T
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we reach the final result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta &= (Z^T Z + \lambda I_p)^{-1} Z^T y \\
 &= V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{1}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) V^T (VD^T U^T) y \\
 &= V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{1}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) \text{diag}_j(d_j) U^T y \\
 &= V \text{diag}_j\left(\frac{d_j}{d_j^2 + \lambda}\right) U^T y
 \end{aligned}$$

■