

### The predicate language: more formally

The formal language of predicate logic is built in two steps:

- 1. A term t is a variable (x, y, z, ...) or a constant (a, b, c, ...).
- 2. A formula is built via the following rules:
  - (a) If  $t_1, ..., t_n$  are terms and P is a predicate symbol, then  $Pt_1 ... t_n$  is a formula.
  - (b) If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are formulas, then so are each of:  $\neg \phi$ ,  $\phi \lor \psi$ ,  $\phi \land \psi$ ,  $\phi \to \psi$ ,  $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$ .
  - (c) If  $\phi$  is a formula and x is a variable, then both  $(\forall x \cdot \phi)$  and  $(\exists x \cdot \phi)$  are formulas.

A formula built according to these rules if called a well-formed formula.

## Examples of well-formed formulas

- $Lxx \land \neg Lmx$
- $(\exists x \cdot Ljx)$
- $(\forall x \cdot Ljx)$
- $(\exists y \cdot (\forall x \cdot Lxy))$
- $(\forall x \cdot Bx \rightarrow (\exists y \cdot Gy \land Lxy))$
- $\neg(\exists x \cdot Gx \wedge (\forall y \cdot \neg Lxy))$
- $(\forall x \cdot (\exists y \cdot Lxy \rightarrow (\exists z \cdot Lzx)))$

Example 1: "Every boy loves Mary."

#### Example 1: "Every boy loves Mary."

• **Step 1:** identify the constants and properties/relations in the sentence, and define symbols to represent them.

```
Constants/Predicates: Mary x is a boy x loves y Symbols:
```

#### Example 1: "Every boy loves Mary."

• **Step 1:** identify the constants and properties/relations in the sentence, and define symbols to represent them.

```
Constants/Predicates: Mary x is a boy x loves y Symbols:
```

• **Step 2:** break down the English sentence, and build it up again in predicate logic.

```
Every boy ...

x loves ...

x loves Mary

Every boy loves Mary.
```

Example 2: "Every boy loves a girl."

#### Example 2: "Every boy loves a girl."

• **Step 1:** identify the constants and properties/relations in the sentence, and define symbols to represent them.

#### Example 2: "Every boy loves a girl."

• **Step 1:** identify the constants and properties/relations in the sentence, and define symbols to represent them.

```
Constants/Predicates: x is a boy x is a girl x loves y Symbols:
```

#### Example 2: "Every boy loves a girl."

• **Step 1:** identify the constants and properties/relations in the sentence, and define symbols to represent them.

```
Constants/Predicates: x is a boy x is a girl x loves y Symbols:
```

• **Step 2:** break down the English sentence, and built it up again in predicate logic.

```
Every boy ...

x loves ...

x loves a girl

Every boy loves a girl.
```

Example 3: "Every girl who loves all boys does not love every girl"

#### Example 3: "Every girl who loves all boys does not love every girl"

- **Step 1:** same predicates as before: Bx, Gx, Lxy
- Step 2:

```
Every girl ...

x loves y

x loves all boys

x loves every girl

x does not love every girl
```

Result:

#### Translation: options.

- We translated "x loves every girl" as:  $(\forall z \cdot Gz \rightarrow Lxz)$
- So, do we translate: "x does not love every girl" ...
  - as:  $\neg(\forall z \cdot Gz \rightarrow Lxz)$
  - or:  $(\forall z \cdot Gz \rightarrow \neg Lxz)$
- Can you see the difference in meaning?

# Famous translation example: donkey sentences

Try translating the following into predicate logic:

"Every farmer who owns a donkey beats it."

### Famous translation example: donkey sentences

Try translating the following into predicate logic:

"Every farmer who owns a donkey beats it."

- Getting the quantifiers and scope right involves quite a bit of rearranging of the sentence.
- Make sure your farmer doesn't beat every donkey, and that the donkey is in scope when it gets beaten...
- Does your farmer beat every donkey he owns, or just one of them?

This famous example is from "Reference and Generality" by Peter Geach.

Cornell University Press, 1962.

SEP: Discourse Representation Theory