

1. (a) Using Lewis Carroll's method, decide whether or not the following (non-standard) syllogistic inferences is valid.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{All Athenians are Greeks} \\
 \text{All philosophers are Athenian} \\
 \text{All Spartans are Greeks} \\
 \text{No Spartans are Athenian} \\
 \hline
 \text{No Spartans are philosophers}
 \end{array}$$

This inference is non-standard because it uses four premises and (more importantly) because it uses four predicates. I've drawn out the diagram for you overleaf.

2. Suppose we define two relations as $R \triangleq \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$ and $S \triangleq \{0\} \times \{0, 1\}$. Write each of the following binary relations as sets *in extension* (that is, as a list of elements between braces), and categorise the relation is reflexive, symmetric or transitive, as appropriate:

- (a) R
- (b) S
- (c) $R \cap S$
- (d) $R \setminus S$
- (e) $R \circ S$

3. Suppose we have defined some set *Person* along with a binary relation *childOf* $\subseteq (Person \times Person)$ that maps an individual person to each of their children.

Define the following binary relations in terms of *childOf*, using the appropriate relational and set-theoretic operators (e.g. union, intersection, composition, inverse etc.). Categorise each relation as reflexive, symmetric or transitive, as appropriate.

- (a) the relation *parentOf*, which holds between two people exactly when the first is the parent of the second.
- (b) the relation *grandchildOf*, which holds between two people exactly when the first is a grandchild of the second.
- (c) the relation *siblingOf*, which holds between two people exactly when they have the same parent.
- (d) the relation *cousinOf*, which holds between two people exactly when a parent of one is a sibling of the parent of the other.

4. Suppose two binary relations R and S over $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ have been defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &\triangleq \{(1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3), (4, 1)\} \\
 S &\triangleq \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Write each of the following binary relations in extension and then categorise the relation as reflexive, symmetric or transitive, as appropriate:

- (a) $R \circ S$
- (b) $S \circ R$
- (c) $R \circ R$
- (d) $R \circ R \circ R$

5. Let the set B be defined as $B \triangleq \{0, 1\}$, and define the identity relation over this set as $I_B \triangleq \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$.

How many different binary relations are there in total over $(B \times B)$?

Find binary relations R , S , and T that are subsets of $(B \times B)$ such that:

- (a) $R \circ R = I_B$ but $R \neq I_B$.
- (b) $S \circ S^{-1} \circ S = S$, but $S \neq S^{-1}$.
- (c) $T \circ T = \{\}$, but $T \neq \{\}$.

Acknowledgement: these exercises are based on some of those at <http://www.usingz.com>

Lewis Carroll's diagram

All Athenians are Greeks
 All philosophers are Athenian
 All Spartans are Greeks
 No Spartans are Athenian

 No Spartans are philosophers

First we label the four predicates:

a = Athenians
 g = Greeks
 p = philosophers
 s = Spartans

Then, following Lewis Carroll's directions (*Symbolic Logic*, Appendix addressed to teachers, §7, pg 177):

we assign the North Half to *a* (and of course the *rest* of the Diagram to *a'*), the West Half to *g*, the Horizontal Oblong to *p* and the Upright Oblong to *s*. We have now got 16 Cells.

I've written these in on the cells below to give you some help when putting in the counters:

