



- For an input amplitude of 1
You get an output of amplitude 2

↳ does not depend on frequency




Take non-linear TF



- Constant gain A until input amplitude exceeds β
then the output forces to α . This is a limiter
Clips at $x = \beta$

Take a look at the linear region




This is in the constant gain linear region.

The output is simply scaled by gain of system.

- Does not depend on frequency at all


NON-LINEAR REGION



Still does not depend on frequency.

- Wave shaper type system.

Imagine the Pre amp is an EQ followed by a Wave shaper.





Now there is some frequency dependent stuff going on. How do we model this?

There could be 2 signals with same amplitude and different frequency and the limiter model breaks down.

Basically if the Preamp has any filtering whatsoever then we need a more advanced model.

Perhaps something like: Need to iteratively test amplitude alongside frequency.






- How to nudge each coefficient each iteration?
- What are my test inputs going to be?

↳ could do some genetic approach to sort of randomly at first select coefficients then eventually get more and more accurate



Assume all inputs + outputs are bounded
by 1

PRE-AMP MODEL



need to define the system for both
frequency response and amplitude response.

ex. For an input with frequency α and amplitude A
the output has frequency β and amplitude B

I am Confused.


Non-linear
is hard

Questions for Cheever

REVERB MODEL


- Old table reverb isn't just a series of echoes

like



it has both pos + negative impulse like:

once plotted the IR in MATLAB



What does the negative sign mean? is it that there are 180 degree flipped echoes?

↳ or is it something to do with how it was recorded with the microphone → some high pass that would knock off any DC perhaps.

NON-LINEAR SYSTEMS

- Where do I even begin with these?
 - are freq response measurements completely useless now?
 - modeling a system with input/output measurements?


What Does a nonlinear system model even mean?

- It's not a freq filter anymore
So we are not after FIR coefficients

- What values/coeff. are we searching for?

When we want to characterize a system?

↳ or does this depend (as it usually does)?




Basic transfer characteristic model (Chebyshev Polynomial)
with some polynomial coefficients

$$y(x) = c_0x + c_1x^2 \dots$$

adaptively (LMS) find coeff c_k

CAFFEINE
CONVOLUTION


Say impulse Response
is




Say a user wants a flat Caffeine Level
What is the input to achieve this?

I thought this was a simple "deconvolution"

impulse response



Desired output



how to find $x(n)$ such that

$$x(n) * h(n) = y(n)$$

$$X(z) H(z) = Y(z) \Rightarrow X(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{H(z)}$$





how do I do this numerically in Matlab?

What does inverse convolution look like in time domain? integral wise.

WEIRD OPAMP
BEHAVIOR


Convolution as a sum of scaled impulse responses



$$x(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} x(k) \delta(n-k)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} x(k) h(n-k)$$



$$y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k) h(n-k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n-k) h(k)$$

How to show
this change
of variables?



$$x(n) * h(n) = h(n) * x(n)$$

REAL TIME FORM ($h(k)$ is known before and new $x(n)$ coming in)

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^M x(n-k) h(k)$$

↑ NEXT output
only depends on past
inputs

In this form the next output
sample to compute is the
sum of the past M samples
with the $h(n)$ FIR coeffs.



$$y(n) = h(0)x(n) + h(1)x(n-1) + h(2)x(n-2) + \dots$$

$$y(n) = b_0 x(n) + b_1 x(n-1) + b_2 x(n-2) + \dots$$

Most Recent sample multiplied w/ b_0 (first sample of $h(n)$)

①

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k) h(n-k)$$

$h(n)$ shifted to right by k

Can be thought of as simply time shifted impulse responses, scaled by the amplitude of $x(k)$

- This interpretation makes sense in non-real time where we already have the entire $x(n)$ set.

THIS IS THE INTUITIVE WAY TO LOOK AT IT

②

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n-k) h(k) \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{k=0}^M x(n-k) h(k)$$

is useful when thinking about real time applications.


$$y(n) = x(n) h(0) + x(n-1) h(1) + x(n-2) h(2) + \dots$$

In this form it's more obvious that \curvearrowright is true.

this is like taking the previous M inputs and each multiplying by FIR coefficients

↳ This way it's more obvious to see the $h(n)$ flipped across the y axis and sliding over \rightarrow to the right each time a new sample comes in

THIS IS THE USEFUL WAY TO THINK ABOUT FT



LINEAR Systems

- We define a system w/ its transfer function $H(s)$ or $H(z)$

$$H(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{X(s)} \quad Y(\omega) = H(\omega) X(\omega)$$

\downarrow spectrum of output \downarrow system response \downarrow spectrum of input

↳ in my mind I sort of equate $H(z)$ w/ $H(s)$ or $H(z)$ with $H(\omega)$ by assuming all I need is a frequency response to compute the output for any input.

↳ But obviously $H(\omega)$ is just $H(z)$ evaluated along the unit circle. This is just a slice of the whole picture. So what useful info does the rest of $H(z)$ give us?

→ all signals are sums of sinusoids
so maybe freq response is all that is needed?

Why don't we evaluate the TF over different paths other than the unit circle?

SO All I need to define a system (Filter) are a set of accurate enough filter coefficients.

Here's what I think about my question
on system classification + linear systems



- goes back to the Laplace domain

We learned the Laplace transform to turn
a linear differential eqn (system) to an algebraic
expression so we could solve it more easily.

$$\ddot{y} + 5\dot{y} + 6y = 2\dot{x} + 1$$

ignoring initial
conditions we get
the homogeneous part

$$Y(s)s^2 + 5$$





$$\frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{Z_2 \parallel R_2}{Z_1 + R_1} \quad (1)$$

$$(2)$$

$$f_a - k_1(z-x) - xk_2 = m\ddot{x}$$

$$m\ddot{x} + k_1(z-x) + xk_2 = f_a$$

$$F_{net} = ma \quad \sum F = ma$$



$$k_1 z - k_1 x = \ddot{z} b$$



FBD

$$k_1(z-x)$$

$$\ddot{z} b$$

$$\leftarrow$$

$$\ddot{z} b$$



Want an $x(t)$ such that $x(t) * h(t) = y(t)$

$$X(s) \cdot H(s) = Y(s)$$

$$X(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{H(s)}$$

Say we have some TF $H(s)$ and find its $h(t)$ impulse response experimentally to be $h(t)$.

Why is it ok to take $H(\omega)$ as the system when that is only a slice of $H(s)$?


Why does

$$x(t) * h(t) = y(t)$$


$$\Downarrow \quad X(s) H(s) = Y(s)$$

$$X(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{H(s)} \quad \xrightarrow{f} \quad X(\omega) = \frac{Y(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$$

S-plane




$$H(s) = K \frac{(s-z_1)(s-z_2)(s-z_{m-1})(s-z_m)}{(s-p_1)(s-p_2)(s-p_{n-1})(s-p_n)}$$



S is the particular
Point in the S plane
at which the TF is to be evaluated

$(s-z_1)$ represents a vector pointing from z_1 to S, the Point at which the function is evaluated



Computational method of designing arbitrary filters?

→ take Inverse DTFT to get $h[n]$

USE that $h[n]$ as FIR COEFF?
 then that filter will have a cont. FR. closely matching
 to the desired.

a measured impulse response can only get you
 to find the system frequency response, not
 the full transfer function.

- Freq Response is just a slice of the
 full picture.

- Using imp. response / measured freq response
 is not enough to classify full system
 or is it?

- I guess all signals are sums of
 sinusoids.

- Math aside - how is $\mathcal{F}\{\delta(t)\} = 1$?

FIR FILTERS are

finite in length and thus their DTFTs are also finite length and discrete.

freqz doing to make its frequency response look continuous given a set of coefficients?

-maybe zero padding it?

DTFT is always continuous function of ω

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x(n) e^{-j\omega n}$$

no matter how many M coefficients

$X(\omega)$ is a continuous function of ω .

↳

~~datasheet spacing~~

~~V_{cap} Application notes~~

~~V_{ref} Application notes~~

PMOD Pinout

