

**Exercise 19**

If the  $X_n$  are integrable and  $\lim \int_A X_n$  exists and is finite for every  $A$ , then the  $\int |X_n|$  are uniformly bounded,  $\int_A |X_n| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $n$  as  $\mu A \rightarrow 0$  and as  $A \downarrow \emptyset$ , and there exists an integrable  $X$ , determined up to an equivalence, such that  $\int_A X_n \rightarrow \int_A X$  for every  $A$ . (Use 18.)

**Solution**

Is it about uniformly integrability?

Using the conclusion of 14, we can define  $\varphi_n(A) = \int_A X_n$ . With the condition  $\mu A \rightarrow 0$  and  $A \downarrow$ , we have that  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ . Conditioned on  $A \downarrow 0$ ,  $\varphi_n A \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu A \rightarrow 0$ . Then, with the same logic for exercise 18 of chapter 1, we know that  $\varphi_n A \rightarrow 0$  uniformly as  $\mu A \rightarrow 0$  and  $A \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\varphi = \lim \varphi_n$  is  $\mu$ -continuous and  $\sigma$ -additive.

The question here is why we need  $A \downarrow \emptyset$ . Maybe because we try to avoid things like Dirac function? But in the next question, it seems that this condition could be suppressed when  $\mu$  is finite.

The fact that  $\varphi_n A \rightarrow 0$  uniformly as  $\mu A \rightarrow 0$  and  $A \downarrow \emptyset$ , plus the conclusion in exercise 15 implies that  $\int_A |X_n| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $n$  as  $\mu A \rightarrow 0$  and as  $A \downarrow \emptyset$  (since  $\varphi_n$ 's are all finite, then by exercise 9 of chapter 1 it is true). The  $\mu$ -continuity and the  $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\varphi$ , along with the Radon-Nikodym theorem tells us that  $\varphi$  is an indefinite integral of some  $X$  up to an equivalence and

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \implies \text{for every } A, \int_A X_n \rightarrow \int_A X.$$

The only thing that remains to prove is that  $\int |X_n|$  are uniformly bounded.

We can show that based on the given condition,  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} X$ . If not, then we know that

$$\mu[X_n \not\rightarrow X] \neq 0$$

Therefore, we know that there is a set, say,  $A_0$  with  $\mu A_0 = \delta_0 > 0$  such that  $X_n \not\rightarrow X$  on  $A_0$ . This means that there exists some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , and for any  $N_0 > 0$  there exists some  $n > N_0$  such that on  $A_0$

$$|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon_0$$

If it is possible, then we could then find a infinite subsequence  $X_{n_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $|X_{n_j} - X| \geq \epsilon_0$  on  $A_0$  but  $\int_B X_{n_j} \rightarrow \int_B X$  for all  $B \subset A_0$ . We need to argue then it is impossible.

Consider the subsequence of  $X_{nj}$ , say  $X_{njk}$  where we have  $\mu[X_{njk} - X \geq \epsilon_0] \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Without loss of generality, assume this subsubsequence is infinite. Notice that we still have  $\int_B X_{njk} \rightarrow \int_B X$  for all  $B \subset A_0$ . There must exist some  $B_0 \subset A_0$  with  $\mu B_0 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$  such that on  $B_0$ , there are infinitely many  $X_{njk}$ 's such that  $X_{njk} - X \geq \epsilon_0$ . Otherwise, there would exist a  $B_1 \subset A_1$  such that there exists  $K_1$  such that when  $k > K_1$ ,  $X_{njk} - X < \epsilon_0$  on  $B_1$ . But this means that for all  $k > K_1$ ,  $X_{njk} - X < \epsilon_0$  on  $A_0 - B_1$  where  $\mu(A_0 - B_1) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  which is contradictory.

Now we obtain  $B_0$  with  $\mu B_0 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $X_{njk} - X \geq \epsilon_0$  on  $B_0$ . This means that for any  $K_2 > 0$ , there exists some  $k > K_2$  such that,

$$\int_{B_0} (X_{njk} - X) d\mu \geq \frac{\delta \epsilon_0}{2}.$$

However, the given condition tells us that for  $\frac{\delta \epsilon_0}{2} > 0$ , there exists an  $K$  such that when  $k > K$ ,

$$\int_{B_0} X_n - X < \frac{\delta \epsilon_0}{2}.$$

We have found a contradiction. This means that we cannot have subsubsequence to be infinite. Then the subsubsequence for  $\mu[X_{njk} - X < -\epsilon_0] \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$  cannot be infinite for the same reason. Then the subsequence of  $X_{nj}$  cannot be infinite. And so the whole assumption that  $X_n \not\rightarrow X$  on  $A_0$  is invalid. In summary, we must have

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} X.$$

With a similar argument in exercise 17, we could use dominated convergence theorem to control  $(X - X_n)^+$  and  $(X - X_n)^-$  by  $|X|$  integrable to get

$$I_n := \int_{\Omega} |X_n - X| \rightarrow 0.$$

which means that there exists some  $N_1$  such that when  $n > N_1$ ,

$$I_n < \int |X|$$

Denote  $M = \max\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{N_1}, \int |X|\}$ . Then

$$\int |X_n| \leq \int |X_n - X| + \int |X| \leq 2M,$$

which means that  $\int |X_n|$  uniformly bounded.