

Problem 1:

Consider $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the set of functions $u \in C^1([0, 1])$ such that $u(0) = a, u(1) = b$. Without loss of generality, we can take $a = 0$ and b positive. (We are focused on the derivative's absolute value, so we can add/subtract constants and multiply by -1 as desired.)

If $b = 0$, then the integral $\int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx$ is minimized only by $u(x) = 0$, and this is clear.

Consider the set of functions \mathcal{U}_b that minimize the integral $\int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx$ with respect to the set of functions $u \in C^1([0, 1])$ such that $u(0) = 0$ and $u(1) = b$. This set injects into the set of functions $\mathcal{U}_b - bx = \{u - bx : u \in \mathcal{U}_b\}$.

Now, functions in $\mathcal{U}_b - bx$ minimize the integral with respect to $u(0) = 0$ and $u(1) = 0$, and I can't figure out why. I think that a good proof of this would probably go through Normal Families somehow.

Either way, the point is that because $\mathcal{U}_b - bx = \{0\}$, the only function that minimizes the integral $\int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx$ subject to $u(0) = 0$ and $u(1) = b$ is bx . This yields the result desired.

Problem 2:

Consider the set $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\} \setminus [0, a]$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Define the sets $B = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z \leq a^2\}$, and $C = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z \leq 0\}$.

First, the map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ given by $z \mapsto z^2$ is a biholomorphism from A to B , and this is clear; the argument that $z \mapsto z^2$ gives a biholomorphism from the half-plane to the slit plane was made in class, and note that the image of $[0, a]$ under this map is $[0, a^2]$: so because the map is a biholomorphism, the half plane excluding $[0, a]$ has the image of the slit plane excluding $[0, a^2]$.

Second, the map $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ given by $z \mapsto z - a^2$ is a biholomorphism from B to C , and this is clear (this is a straight translation).

Third, the map $\xi : C \rightarrow \{\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$ given by $z \mapsto \sqrt{z}$ (using the branch of \sqrt{z} that is the natural inverse of z^2 , of course) is a biholomorphism from C to $\{\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$, and this was discussed in class.

So their composition is a biholomorphism from A to $\{\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$; that is, the map $f(z) = \sqrt{z^2 - a^2}$ is a biholomorphism from the above set to $\{\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$.

Problem 3:

Let Ω be open and symmetric about the \mathbb{R} -axis.

Let $f \in C(\Omega)$, and f be holomorphic except perhaps on the \mathbb{R} -axis. Note that $f = 0$ on the \mathbb{R} -axis.

Our goal is to show that $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$; we only need to check that f is holomorphic on the \mathbb{R} -axis. So, let $z \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Omega$. Then there is an open ball centered at z , call it $D_r(z)$, contained in Ω . This open ball is simply connected. Now, the real part of f , say $u = \operatorname{Re}(f)$, is harmonic on $D_r(z) \setminus \mathbb{R}$. By the reflection principle discussed in class, u is harmonic on all of $D_r(z)$.

Now, u is the real part of some holomorphic function, g , and this holomorphic function is unique up to addition of a constant. So, we can take $g(z) = 0$.

Now, $h = f - g$ is holomorphic except perhaps on the real axis, where it is 0. Moreover, the real part of h is 0; by the Cauchy-Riemann equations, the imaginary part of h must be constant (except perhaps on the real axis). Thus, because the imaginary part of h is 0 on the real axis (and h is continuous), the imaginary part of h is 0. So, $h = 0$; that is, $f = g$.

So, f is holomorphic on $D_r(z)$; in particular, f is holomorphic at z .

Because holomorphy is a local property, this yields the desired result; f is holomorphic on Ω .

Problem 4:

Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$ be such that $\phi(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}) = D_1(0)$.

One biholomorphism that takes the disk $D_1(0)$ to $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$ is $\phi_C : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $z \mapsto i\frac{1+z}{1-z}$; this is the Cayley transform. Its inverse is $\psi_C : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}$. (I pulled these maps from Complex Made Simple; any other biholomorphism would've probably worked).

So, $\psi = \phi_C \circ \phi$ is a biholomorphism of the plane that fixes $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$; by an earlier homework problem, this means that $\phi_C \circ \phi$ is of the form $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $w = \bar{z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(w) &= \frac{aw + b}{cw + d} \\
 &= \frac{a\bar{z} + b}{c\bar{z} + d} \\
 &= \frac{\overline{az + b}}{\overline{cz + d}} \\
 &= \overline{\psi(z)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\psi_C \circ \psi = \phi$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(w) &= \psi_C(\psi(w)) \\
 &= \psi_C(\overline{\psi(z)}) \\
 &= \frac{\overline{\psi(z)} - i}{\overline{\psi(z)} + i} \\
 &= \frac{i \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} - i}{i \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} + i} \\
 &= \frac{-i \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} - i}{-i \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} + i} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} + 1}{\frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} - 1} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} + 1}{\frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} - 1} \\
 &= \frac{2}{1-\phi(z)} \\
 &= \frac{2\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\phi(z)} \\
 &= \frac{\phi(z)}{|\phi(z)|^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

Problem 5:

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, where Ω is a symmetric domain (with respect to \mathbb{R}), and $\mathbb{R} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, let $f(\mathbb{R} \cap \Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Because f is holomorphic on a domain, it can be written as $f = u + iv$, with u and v real-valued harmonic functions.

So, v is a harmonic function with $v = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \cap \Omega$ (because $f(\mathbb{R} \cap \Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}$). So $v(\bar{z}) = -v(z)$; if not, then v restricted to Ω^+ extends to the harmonic function on Ω that we get via reflection principle (call it v'), and then $v - v'$ vanishes on Ω^+ ...so $v - v'$ vanishes everywhere by minimum principle, so $v = v'$.

So, $v(\bar{z}) = -v(z)$, for all $z \in \Omega$.

Now, $u(\bar{z}) = u(z)$ by Cauchy Riemann: $u_y(\bar{z}) = -v_x(\bar{z}) = v_x(z) = -u_y(z)$. That is, u_y is an odd function of y , so u is an even function of y (which is another way of saying the first sentence.)

Thus, $f(\bar{z}) = u(\bar{z}) + iv(\bar{z}) = u(z) - iv(z) = \overline{f(z)}$, as desired.

Problem 6:

Consider $\psi(z) = z + \frac{1}{z}$. Fix $a \in [0, 1]$. Consider $U = D_1(0) \setminus ([-1, -a] \cup [a, 1])$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(U) &= \psi(D_1(0)) \setminus \psi([-1, -a] \cup [a, 1]) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \setminus ([-2, 2] \cup \psi([-1, -a] \cup [a, 1])) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \setminus ([-2, 2] \cup [-a - \frac{1}{a}, -2] \cup [2, a + \frac{1}{a}]) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \setminus [-a - \frac{1}{a}, a + \frac{1}{a}] \end{aligned}$$

So we can dilate $\psi(U)$ to yield $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$, by the map α given by $z \mapsto \frac{z}{a + \frac{1}{a}}$. That is, $\alpha \circ \psi(U) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$.

So by using the biholomorphism discussed in class, $\phi(z) : \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1] \rightarrow D_1(0)$ given by $z \mapsto \sqrt{z^2 - 1} - z$, we have that $\phi \circ \alpha \circ \psi$ is a biholomorphism from U to $D_1(0)$.

That is, the map $\beta : U \rightarrow D_1(0)$ given by $z \mapsto \sqrt{\left(\frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{a+\frac{1}{a}}\right)^2 - 1} - \frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{a+\frac{1}{a}}$ is a biholomorphism from U to $D_1(0)$, as desired.