

(I worked with Sarah Percival and Frankie Chan a little).

**Problem 1:**

Part a:

Consider the set  $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : e^z = 0\}$ .

If  $z = a + bi \in A$  (with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ), then  $e^z = 0$ . So  $e^a e^{bi} = 0$ .

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^a \neq 0$ . So this means that  $e^{bi} = 0$ . But this never happens either, because  $|e^{bi}| = 1$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  (because  $|e^{bi}| = |\cos(b) + i \sin(b)| = \sqrt{\cos^2(b) + \sin^2(b)} = 1$ ).

So we have a contradiction. So  $A = \emptyset$ .

Part b:

Consider the set  $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : e^z = 1\}$ .

If  $z = a + bi \in B$  (with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ), then  $e^z = 1$ . So  $e^z = e^a e^{bi} = 1$ .

This means that  $|e^a e^{bi}| = |e^a| |e^{bi}| = 1$ . But  $|e^{bi}| = 1$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . So,  $|e^a| = 1$ , so  $e^a = 1$ , so  $a = 0$ .

So  $z = bi$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By applying the equivalence of polar and trigonometric forms, this means that  $e^{ib} = \cos(b) + i \sin(b) = 1$ . So,  $\cos(b) = 1$  and  $\sin(b) = 0$ . This means that  $b = 2k\pi$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

So  $B \subset \{2k\pi i \in \mathbb{C} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Now, if  $z = 2k\pi i$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $e^z = \cos(2k\pi) + i \sin(2k\pi) = 1$ . So  $z \in B$ .

So  $B = \{2k\pi i \in \mathbb{C} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Part c:

Consider the set  $C = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \sin(z) = 0\}$ .

Let  $z = a + bi \in C$ . Then  $\sin(z) = 0$ . So  $\frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i} = 0$ , so that  $e^{iz} = e^{-iz}$ .

In other words,  $e^{-b} e^{ai} = e^b e^{-ai}$ . So,  $e^{2b} = e^{2ai}$ . Because  $|e^{2ai}| = 1$ , this means that  $e^{2b} = 1$ . So,  $b = 0$ , and  $e^{2ai} = 1$ . So  $2ai = 2k\pi i$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

So,  $z = k\pi$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $C \subset \{k\pi \in \mathbb{C} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Now, if  $z = k\pi$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\sin(z) = 0$ , and this is very well known. So  $z \in C$ .

So  $C = \{k\pi \in \mathbb{C} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

**Problem 2:**

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open connected set, and  $f \in C(\Omega)$  be such that for all closed, piecewise continuous curves,  $\Gamma$ , with  $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ ,  $\int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ .

Pick  $z \in \Omega$ . Let  $p \in \Omega$ , and  $\gamma$  be a curve from  $p$  to  $z$ . We showed in class that  $\int_{\gamma} f(\xi)d\xi$  is independent of  $\gamma$ ; that is,  $\int_{\gamma} f(\xi)d\xi$  only depends on  $p$  and  $z$ .

So, we can define  $g(z) = \int_{\gamma} f$ , where  $\gamma$  is a curve from a chosen fixed point,  $p$ , to  $z$ .

Now, fix  $z_0 \in \Omega$ . It is clear that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{\int_{z_0}^z f(w)dw}{z - z_0} = f(z_0)$ , because  $\frac{\int_{z_0}^z f(w)dw}{z - z_0}$  is the average value of  $f(w)$  on the line segment. Now, because  $\frac{g(z) - g(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \frac{\int_{z_0}^z f(w)dw}{z - z_0}$ , this means that  $g'(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{g(z) - g(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{\int_{z_0}^z f(w)dw}{z - z_0} = f(z_0)$

That is,  $g'(z_0) = f(z_0)$  for all  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ;  $g$  is a primitive of  $f$ .

**Problem 3:**

(I must admit to having read this in Complex Made Simple by David C. Ullrich prior to the assignment of this problem, and that I used it as a reference.)

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_1(0))$ , with  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ .

Then consider  $f_N = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_N(re^{it})|^2 dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} f_N(re^{it}) \overline{f_N(re^{it})} dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_0^N a_n r^n e^{int} \overline{\sum_0^N a_n (r^n e^{int})} dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n,m=0,0}^{N,N} a_n \overline{a_m} r^{2n} e^{i(n-m)t} dt \end{aligned}$$

It is readily checked that all of the terms in the above, except for those where  $n = m$ , vanish; this is because  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{int} dt = 0$  when  $n \neq 0$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_N(re^{it})|^2 dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \overline{a_n} r^{2n} dt \\ &= \sum_0^N 2\pi |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

So, for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\int_0^{2\pi} f_N(re^{it}) dt = \sum_0^N 2\pi |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ .

Taking limits as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{it}) dt = \sum_0^\infty 2\pi |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ , which is what we wanted.

#### Problem 4:

Let  $\phi, \psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be log-convex.

Then  $\ln(\phi)$  and  $\ln(\psi)$  are convex.

So for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $x \leq y$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\ln(\psi(tx + (1-t)y)) \leq t \ln \psi(x) + (1-t) \ln \psi(y)$  and  $\ln(\phi(tx + (1-t)y)) \leq t \ln \phi(x) + (1-t) \ln \phi(y)$ . Note that because  $e^x$  is an increasing function,  $a < b$  if and only if  $e^a < e^b$ , so that these are equivalent to  $\phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \phi(x)^t \phi(y)^{(1-t)}$  and  $\psi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \psi(x)^t \psi(y)^{(1-t)}$ .

Consider  $\ln(\phi + \psi)$ . Note that because  $e^x$  is an increasing function,  $a < b$  if and only if  $e^a < e^b$ .

Now, fix  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $x < y$  and fix  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\ln(\phi+\psi)(tx+(1-t)y)} &= (\phi+\psi)(tx+(1-t)y) \\
&= \phi(tx+(1-t)y) + \psi(tx+(1-t)y) \\
&\leq \phi(x)^t \phi(y)^{(1-t)} + \psi(x)^t \psi(y)^{(1-t)} \\
&\leq e^{t \ln((\phi+\psi)(x)) + (1-t) \ln((\phi+\psi)(y))}
\end{aligned}$$

(I must admit to not being sure how to do that last step, but it's clear this is what is needed.)

So  $\ln(\phi+\psi)(tx+(1-t)y) \leq \ln(t \ln \phi(x) + (1-t) \ln \phi(y) + t \ln \psi(x) + (1-t) \ln \psi(y))$ .

That is,  $\phi+\psi$  is log-convex if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are.

### Problem 5:

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a simply connected domain,  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ ,  $f(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in \Omega$ .

We showed in class that  $g(z) = \int_p^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw + \lambda$  with  $p$  chosen arbitrarily in  $\Omega$  and  $e^\lambda = f(p)$  satisfies  $f = e^g$ , and that  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ .

Now, let  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  be such that  $f = e^h$ .

Then  $\frac{f}{f} = \frac{e^g}{e^h}$ , so that  $1 = e^{g-h}$ . Thus, by problem 1, we have that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g(z) - h(z) = 2k\pi i$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . All that remains is to show that  $k$  does not depend on  $z$ : consider  $(g-h)'$ . Now,  $g' = h' = f'/f$ , as was discussed in class. So  $(g-h)'$  is zero;  $g-h$  is constant. So  $g-h$  doesn't depend on  $z$ ;  $g(z) - h(z) = 2k\pi i$  for some fixed  $k$ .

That is, any two functions,  $g$  and  $h$ , satisfying  $e^g = e^h = f$  differ only by  $2k\pi i$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### Problem 6:

(Once again, I used Complex Made Simple as a reference for this.)

Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}(D_1(0))$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  takes its maximum at 0.

Because  $\phi$  is holomorphic on  $D_1(0)$ , we know that  $\phi$  has a power series representation,  $\phi(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$ , on any disk  $\overline{D_r(0)}$  with  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

So, problem 3 applies:  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(re^{it})|^2 dt = \sum_0^\infty 2\pi |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ . Now,  $\phi(0) = a_0$ . So,  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(re^{it})|^2 dt = \sum_0^\infty 2\pi |a_n|^2 r^{2n} = 2\pi |a_0|^2 + \sum_1^\infty 2\pi |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ . So  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(re^{it})|^2 dt \geq 2\pi |\phi(0)|^2$ .

Thus, for all  $r$ ,  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(re^{it})| dt \geq 2\pi |\phi(0)|^2$ . But because  $|\phi(re^{it})| \leq |\phi(0)|$  for all  $r, t \in \mathbb{C}$ , this means that  $|\phi(re^{it})| = |\phi(0)|$  for all  $r, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $\phi(z) = \phi(0)$  for all  $z \in D_1(0)$ .

### Problem 7:

Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega$  a domain, and that there is a  $c \in \Omega$  such that  $|\phi(c)| = \max(|\phi|)$ .

Then  $\phi$  is constant on any disk centered at  $c$ , by problem 6 (by expanding and translating appropriately).

Now,  $\Omega$  is path connected (it is a domain).

Let  $z \in \Omega$ , and let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$  be a path from  $z$  to  $c$  with  $\gamma \subset \Omega$ . We can cover the image of the path with a finite number of open disks contained in  $\Omega$ , because paths are compact. Also  $\phi$  is constant on each of these open balls: if not, then  $\sup\{t \in [0, 1] : \phi(\gamma(t)) \neq c\} = s$  for some  $s \in [0, 1]$ . But then there's an  $\epsilon$ -ball around  $s$  where  $\phi \circ \gamma$  takes the value  $c$  somewhere...which means that  $\phi(\gamma(s)) = c$ , which is a contradiction.

So  $\phi$  is constant along the path:  $\phi(z) = \phi(c)$ .

So for all  $z \in \Omega$ ,  $\phi(z) = \phi(c)$ . So  $\phi$  is constant.