## Problem 1:

Let p be a prime number, and G be an abelian group of order  $p^2$ .

Then G is isomorphic to a group of the form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{\alpha_i}$ , for some  $p_i$ ,  $\alpha_i$ .

For that representation to make sense,  $p_i = p$  or  $p_i = p^2$  for all i, because G is a group of order  $p^2$ ; if  $p_i \not| p$  for any i, then the order of G would not be divisible by p. So  $p_i \mid p$  for all i. Also,  $p_i \leq p^2$  for all i, else the group has order bigger than  $p^2$ .

The only two ways to make that work are if  $p_1 = p^2$  or if  $p_1 = p_2 = p$ , and this is clear.

So  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$  are the only two abelian groups of order  $p^2$ .

Note: Didn't we also have a homework problem that said that any group of order  $p^2$  was abelian? You can throw out "abelian" in the problem and it works the same as long as you've given that problem previously, can't you?

## Problem 2:

Note: For the sake of transparency, I am obliged to state that I found a chunk of this proof in Dummit and Foote.

Let R be a finite, nontrivial ring (the one ring is not a field nor an integral domain, so we can get away with this).

If R is an integral domain, then R is commutative. Also, R has no zero divisors. Thus,  $R \setminus \{0_R\}$  is closed under multiplication.

Now,  $R \setminus \{0_R\}$  is a group with respect to multiplication:

First, note that multiplication is associative.

Next, note that  $1_R \neq 0_R$ , so  $R \setminus \{0_R\}$  contains an identity element.

Last, each element has an inverse: let  $a \in R \setminus \{0_R\}$ . Now, we know that R has a nonzero characteristic, n (R is a finite ring; it's also a finite group). So na = 0 for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that  $n(1_R a) = 0$ , or  $(n1_R)a = 0$ .

If R is a field, then R is commutative. Also, R is a division ring. So,  $R \setminus \{0_R\} = R^*$  is a group (with the operation multiplication). That means that R has no zero divisors (otherwise,  $R \setminus \{0_R\}$  wouldn't be closed under multiplication). So R is a commutative ring with no zero divisors, R is an integral domain.

## Problem 3:

Let R be a ring and  $S = M_n(R)$ .

Part a:

Let  $\phi: \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$  be given by  $I \mapsto J = \{(a_{ij}) : a_{ij} \in I\}$ . Then  $\phi$  is a bijection:

First,  $\phi$  is well defined: if I is an ideal, then  $\phi(I) = \{(a_{ij}) : a_{ij} \in I\}$ . Now,  $\phi(I)$  is an ideal of S; if  $M \in S$  and  $N \in \phi(I)$ , then each entry of MN (or NM) is a linear combination of elements of the form  $ma_{ij}$  with  $m \in R$  and  $a_{ij} \in I$ . This means that each entry of MN (or NM) is in I, so that MN (and NM) is in  $\phi(I)$ . Also, if  $M, N \in \phi(I)$ , then each entry of M + N is a sum of two elements in I, so that each entry of M + N is an element of I, so that  $M + N \in \phi(I)$ .

Second,  $\phi$  is injective: let  $I_1, I_2$  be R-ideals, and  $J = \phi(I_1) = \phi(I_2)$ . For each  $i \in I_1$ , the matrix  $blah \in J$ . This implies that for each  $i \in I_1$ ,  $i \in I_2$ . Similarly, for each  $i \in I_2$  we have that  $i \in \mathbb{I}_1$ . So  $I_1 = I_2$ .

Last,  $\phi$  is surjective: let J be an S-ideal.

Part b:

If R is a division ring then (0) and R are the only R-ideals; we discussed this in class. (Make sure we did).

So by the bijection above, there can only be two distinct S-ideals. We know that (0) and S are distinct S-ideals. This satisfies the problem.

## Problem 4:

Let R be a ring, and  $I_1, I_2, \ldots I_n$  be R-ideals.

Let 
$$R = I_1 + I_2 \dots + I_n$$
, with  $I_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} I_i = (0)$  for all  $j$ .

First, we know that  $1 \in I_1 + I_2 \dots + I_n$ . So, there are  $e_1, e_2 \dots e_n$  such that  $1 = e_1 + e_2 \dots + e_n$ . Pick any such set of  $e_i$ s.

Next, we show that  $I_i = Re_i$ :

First, let  $r \in I_i$ . Then  $r = r1 = re_1 + re_2 \dots re_i + \dots + re_n$ . But each  $re_k$  with  $k \neq i$  is 0, because each is in  $I_i$  and  $I_k$  (we know this because we know that  $I_i \cap \sum_{i \neq j} I_j = (0)$ ). So  $r = re_i$ , so  $r \in Re_i$ . So  $I_i \subset Re_i$ .

Next, let  $r \in Re_i$ . Then  $r = r'e_i$  for some  $r' \in R$ . So  $r \in I_i$ . So  $Re_i \subset I_i$ .

So  $Re_i = I_i$ .

Next,  $e_i e_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ;  $e_i e_j \in I_i \cap I_j$ , so  $e_i e_j = 0$  (we know this because we know that  $I_i \cap \sum_{i \neq j} I_j = (0)$ ).

Also,  $e_i^2 = e_i$  for all i;  $e_i = e_i 1 = e_i e_1 + e_i e_2 \dots e_i e_i + \dots + e_i e_n = 0 + 0 + 0 \dots + e_i^2 + \dots + 0 = e_i^2$ .

Last,  $e_i \in Z(R)$  for all i; let  $r \in R$ . Then:

$$r1 = 1r$$
  
 
$$re_1 + re_2 + \dots re_n = e_1r + e_2r + \dots e_nr$$

This means that  $re_i = e_i r$  for all i:

Now, let there be  $e_1, e_2 \dots e_n$  such that  $1 = e_1 + e_2 \dots + e_n$  with  $I_i = Re_i$ ,  $e_i \in Z(R)$ ,  $e_i^2 = e_i$ , and  $e_i e_j = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ .

First, note that  $Re_i = I_i$  for each i. Let  $r \in R$ . Because  $1 = e_1 + e_2 \dots + e_n$ , we can take  $r = re_1 + re_2 \dots + re_n$  by multiplying on the left by r. But because  $re_i \in I_i$  for each i, this means that  $r \in I_1 + I_2 \dots I_n$ . Thus, we have  $r \in I_1 + I_2 \dots I_n$  for each  $r \in R$ : we have that  $R = I_1 + I_2 \dots + I_n$ .

Next, let  $r \in I_i \cup I_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Then  $r = r'e_i = r''e_j$  for some  $r', r'' \in R$ . Also,  $r'e_ie_i = r''e_je_i$ , so  $r = r'e_i = r''0 = 0$ . That is, r = 0 for all  $R \in I_i \cup I_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . So,  $I_i \cup I_j = (0)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , so we have that  $I_j \cup \sum_{i \neq j} I_i = (0)$  as well.

Thus, we have that  $R = I_1 + I_2 \dots + I_n$  with  $I_j \cup \sum_{i \neq j} I_i = (0)$  if and only if there are  $e_1, e_2 \dots e_n$  such that  $1 = e_1 + e_2 \dots + e_n$  with  $I_i = Re_i$ ,  $e_i \in Z(R)$ ,  $e_i^2 = e_i$ , and  $e_i e_j = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ .