## Chapter 3:

### Problem 4:

Let  $m^*(E) = \infty$  for an infinite set and  $m^*(E) = |E|$  for a finite set.

It's clear that  $m^*$  is defined for all sets of real numbers, is translation invariant, and countably additive. So  $m^*$  is a measure; we call it the counting measure.

### Problem 7:

If  $m^*(E)$  is the Lebesgue Outer Measure, it's somewhat clear that it's translation invariant; we can do this by making an open cover and shifting it.

### Problem 8:

If  $m^*(A) = 0$ , then  $m^*(A \cup B) \ge m^*(B)$ , by monotonicity.

But also,  $m^*(A \cup B) \le m^*(A) + m^*(B) = m^*(B)$  by countable subadditivity.

So  $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*(B)$ .

### Problem 11:

Each  $(a, \infty)$  is measurable.

We have  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} (n, \infty) = \emptyset$  which has measure 0, but  $m((n, \infty)) \to \infty$ . So  $m(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_i) \not\to m(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n)$ 

### Problem 12:

Let  $\langle E_i \rangle$  be a sequence of disjoint measurable sets, and A be a set.

Then 
$$m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m^*(A \cap E_i)$$
.  
So  $m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} m^*(A \cap E_i)$ .

So 
$$m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} m^*(A \cap E_i)$$
.

But n is arbitrary, so  $m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_i)$ . Either by employing a similar argument or appealing to countable subadditivity, we get  $m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_i)$ .

### Problem 14:

Part a:

The Cantor set has measure zero; it's usually defined as

$$[0,1] \setminus ((1/3,2/3) \cup ((1/9,2/9) \cup (7/9,8/9)) \cup \ldots)$$

Now, [0,1] has measure 1, and is measurable.

Also,  $((1/3, 2/3) \cup ((1/9, 2/9) \cup (7/9, 8/9)) \cup ...)$  has measure 1 (consider the geometric series  $1/3, 2(1/3)^2 \dots$  It sums up to 1).

So the measure of the cantor set is 1 - 1 = 0.

Part b:

If we only remove  $\alpha 3^- n$  at each step when we define the cantor set, then we can show that it would still be closed (as a complement in [0,1] of an open set) and by employing the same geometric series argument, it would have measure  $1 - \alpha$ .

### Problem 17:

Part a:

Consider the  $P_i$ s as defined in this section. We're given that m[0,1) = $\sum m^* P_i = \sum m^* P$ , so that the right hand side is either zero or infinite. But if it was zero, then we break countable subadditivity; it must be infinite. So we have an example where  $m(\bigcup E_i) \leq \sum m^*(E_i)$ .

Part b:

Define  $E_0 = [0,1) \setminus P_0$  and  $E_n = [0,1) \setminus P_n$  to get the desired result.

### Problem 22:

Part a:

If f is measurable, then the restriction of f to any measurable set is measurable. If  $D_1$  isn't measurable, then the interesection of all of the  $\{x: f(x) \ge n\}$  isn't measurable, which is bad. Similarly,  $D_2$  must be measurable.

Now, if all of  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and the restriction of f to  $D \setminus D_1 \cup D_2$  are measurable, then for each  $\alpha$  we get  $\{x : f(x) \geq \alpha\}$  the union of  $D_1$  and a measurable set, so we win.

Part b:

Apply the same trick as used earlier this chapter; prove that if f and g measurable, then so is  $f^2$  and f+g, and win using  $fg=1/2[(f+g)^2-f^2-g^2]$ .

Parts c and d are painfully trivial.

### Problem 23:

This was a homework problem; just go there.

### Problem 28:

I'm not sure how to do this one.

### Problem 31:

Not sure how to do this one either. It looks like a very likely qual problem, too...:/

## Chapter 4:

### Problem 2:

Part a: Let f be a bounded function on [a,b] and let h be the upper envelope of f (that is,  $h(x) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{|x-y| < \delta} (f(y)))$ 

Then  $U - \int_a^b f \ge \int_a^b h$ ; let  $\phi$  be a step function with  $\phi \ge f$ . Then  $\phi \ge h$ except at a finite number of points, because step functions are discontinuous on only finitely many points and the upper envelope is lower than any continuous function above f.

Also,  $U - \int_{a}^{b} f \leq \int_{a}^{b} h$ ; there's a sequence of step functions converging downwards to h, so by bounded convergence, we have our result. So  $U - \int_a^b f = \int_a^b h$ .

So 
$$U - \int_a^b f = \int_a^b h$$
.

### Part b:

We get a similar result for the lower envelope. So a bounded function on [a, b] is Riemann-integrable if and only if the integrals of its upper and lower envelopes are equal.

If the upper and lower envelopes are unequal on a set of greater than measure zero, this fails, as the lower envelope is always lower than the upper envelope.

If the upper and lower envelopes are equal except on a set of measure zero, this succeeds, rather obviously.

So a bounded function on [a, b] is Riemann-integrable if and only if the upper and lower envelopes are equal except on a set of measure zero. That is, a bounded function on [a, b] is Riemann-integrable if and only if the function is continuous except on a set of measure zero.

### Problem 8:

Let  $\langle f_n \rangle$  be a sequence of nonnegative functions on a domain, E. Define  $f(x) = \liminf f_n(x).$ 

Let  $h \leq f$  be any non-negative, simple function with finite measure support on the domain (say it has finite measure support on F.

Then define  $h_n = \min(h, f_n)$ . Now,  $\int_E h \le \int_F h = \lim_F \int_F h_n \le \lim_E \int_E f_n$ .

By taking supremums over h, we have our result.

### Problem 14:

Part a:

Let  $\langle g_n \rangle \to g$  almost everywhere,  $\langle f_n \rangle \to f$  almost everywhere, and  $|f_n| \le$  $g_n$ , with all of the above functions being measurable, and  $\int g = \lim \int g_n$ .

Then  $\int |f_n - f| \le \left| \int f_n - f \right| = \left| \int f_n - \int f \right| \to 0.$ 

Part b: NOTE: a similar problem was an exam problem. This problem can be generalized, and should be done in the context of  $L^p$  spaces.

Let  $\langle f_n \rangle$  be a sequence of integrable functions in  $L^p$  with  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere.

If  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$ , then there's an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $f_{n_k}$  with  $|||f_{n_k}|| - ||f||| \ge$  $\epsilon$ . But

$$2||f_n - f|| \ge |||f_n|| - ||f|||$$

$$\to 0$$

If  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$ , then:

$$||f_n - f|| \le |||f_n|| - ||f|||$$
 (By reverse triangle inequality.)

So  $||f_n - f|| \to 0$  if and only if  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$ .

### Problem 15:

The entire problem is "Apply Littlewood's Three Principles" and the " $2^{-n}\epsilon$  trick". (On [-1,1] there is a (property) function such that  $|f-\phi_1|$  $2^{-1}\epsilon/2...$  similarly, there is such a function on [-2, -1) and (1, 2] such that  $|f - \phi_2| < 2^{-2} \epsilon/2$ ...induct, paste everything together, integrate, geometric series, win.)

### **Problem 16:** NOTE: this was an exam problem.

First, note that if we have that this is true for all step functions vanishing except on a vinite interval, then we have our result; if  $\lim \cos(nx)\phi(x)dx = 0$ for all such step functions  $\phi$ , then because there's such a step function with  $\int |f - \phi| < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have our result.

So, let  $\phi$  be a step function on [a,b], and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Partition [a,b] by  $a = x_0 < x_1 \dots x_l = b$  so that  $\phi$  is constant on each  $(x_i, x_i + 1)$ . Let M be the maximum of  $|\phi|$  (which exists, as  $\phi$  takes only finitely many values). Pick n large enough so that  $2\pi/n < \epsilon/(lM)$ . Integrate over each chunk of the partition; we end up with everything cancelling out except on sets of length less than  $2\pi/n$ . There's at most l of them, having magnitude at most M; we've won.

#### Problem 22:

Note: This problem is lol.

Let there be a sequence,  $\langle f_n \rangle$ , of measurable functions on a set, E, of finte measure, with  $f_n \to f$  in measure.

Then every subsequence of  $f_n$  converges to f in measure, so every subsequence has a subsequence converging to f in measure.

Now, let there be a sequence,  $\langle f_n \rangle$ , of measurable functions on a set, E, of finte measure, with every subsequence of  $f_n$  having a subsequence converging to f in measure. Then every subsequence of  $f_n$  has a subsequence which has every subsequence have a subsequence that converges almost everywhere to f. Thus, every subsequence of  $f_n$  has a subsequence that converges almost everywhere to f. So  $f_n$  converges to f in measure.

### Problem 25:

 $\dots$  Seriously, the hint gives this entire question away. Pretty lame stuff, bro.

# Chapter 5:

Problem	4:		
Problem	5:		
Problem	8:		
Problem	10:		
Problem	14:		
Problem	16:		
Problem	20:		
Problem	23:		

Problem 24: