## Exercise: Nonlinear programming

In this exercise, we'll be finding a minimizer of the optimization problem:

$$\label{eq:subject_to_sin_final} \begin{aligned} \min_{x} & x_1^2 + \tanh(x_2)^2 \\ \text{subject to} & \cos(x_1 + x_2) + 0.5 = 0, \\ & \sin(x_1) + 0.5 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 1 SQP Method

Tasks:

1. For the given problem, write symbolic expressions for f,g,h from the standard form:

Start out with creating a vector symbol for the decision variables:

$$x = MX.sym('x',2);$$

- 2. Write a symbolic expression for the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}=f+\lambda g+\nu h$ . Write a CasADi Function to evaluate the Lagrangian at  $x=\begin{bmatrix} -0.5\\ -1.8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda=2,\nu=3$ . Verify that it evaluates to 0.875613.
- 3. Consider the QP approximation that shares its KKT conditions for optimality with the original NLP:

minimize 
$$f_k + \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^T \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}_k}{\partial x^2} \Delta x$$
subject to 
$$g_k + \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial x} \Delta x = 0,$$

$$h_k + \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial x} \Delta x \le 0.$$
(2)

At a given iterate k, which parts in this equation are known? Extend the above CasADi Function with extra outputs to be able to compute all these parts. Use gradient, hessian, jacobian.

4. At the working point  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ -1.8 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_0 = 0, \nu_0 = 0$ , numerically evaluate the function you just composed, and verify that the dimensions of all outputs are consistent with equation 2. Leave the outputs in DM form<sup>1</sup> and verify that the Hessian of the Lagrangian is equal to [2]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Do not use np.array(...)

```
0; 0 -0.3499].
```

Note that this Hessian is not positive definite. We will ignore this fact here.

5. To solve the QP, we will make use of CasADi's low-level QP interface. Its expected form is:

Using concatenation operations horzcat(...,...) and vertcat(...,...), compose the matrices of Equation 3 from the numerical outputs of the previous question.

Verify that you obtain:

```
H:
[[2, 0],
[0, -0.3498874751520534]]
G:[-1, -0.196099]
A:
[[0.7457052121767203, 0.7457052121767203],
[0.8775825618903728, 00]]
lba:[0.166276, -inf]
uba:[0.166276, -0.0205745]
```

6. Inspect the sparsity of H and A with A.sparsity(), and also inspect the spy printout with A.sparsity().spy().

Explain how A seems to be sparser than H, while the numerical printout implies otherwise.

7. Using the following template to create a QP solver:

```
qp_struct = {'a':...,'h':...}
solver = conic("solver","qrqp",qp_struct)
```

Replace the dots with sparsities of A and H.

What data-type is solver?

8. The print-representation of solver reveals that a lot of inputs can be given. Only a handful are relevant to us. Use the keyword-value syntax of the CasADi Function solver to evaluate the solver Function numerically.

Verify that you end up with

```
dx = [-0.02344447381848419, 0.2464226943869885]
lambda = [0.3785941041969475]
nu = [0.871222132298292]
```

Note: you may obtain higher precision in the printout with DM.set\_precision(16).

Page 2 Python

9. We now have a mechanism to numerically compute  $\Delta x$  given the numerical linearisations of the nonlinear program. Use this in a loop to perform four SQP iterations. Verify that your decision variables converge as follows:

```
x = [-0.5234444738184842, -1.553577305613012]

x = [-0.5235987687270579, -1.570711791832387]

x = [-0.5235987755982988, -1.570796324731945]

x = [-0.5235987755982988, -1.570796326794897]
```

Note: by default, the QP solver shows iterations. To hide this output, set option print\_iter to False by passing an extra dictionary argument to conic.

## 2 Interior Point Method

1. Let's look at the problem's KKT conditions and their relaxation through a barrier parameter  $\tau$ :

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = 0 \\
g = 0 \\
\nu \ge 0 \\
h \le 0 \\
\nu_i h_i = 0
\end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases}
\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = 0 \\
g = 0 \\
\nu_i h_i = -\tau
\end{cases}$$
(4)

This is a nonlinear system on which we can perform rootfinding. How many equations and how many unknowns are there in our case?

2. Relating our problem to CasADi's canonical rootfinder problem g(x, p) = 0, what is x, p and g? Create a rootfinder object by writing symbolic expressions for the dots:

Verify that you obtain the following as print representation of rf:

$$rf:(x0[4],p)\to(x[4])$$
 Newton

3. Perform rootfinding with  $\tau=0.01$ . Start out with  $x_0=\begin{bmatrix} -0.5\\ -1.8 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_0=0.1, \nu_0=0.1.$ 

A correct implementation will lead to

```
x = [-0.5364485647933107, -1.557946537599885];
```

4. Verify that the solution gets more accurate when you decrease  $\tau$ .

Page 3 Python