Peyman Naseri, Matin Ale Mohammad, Sana Harighi, Alireza Gargoori, Maryam Hokmabadi

## 1 Probability & Statistics

### 1.1

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, ...$  are independent normal random variables with mean 0 and variance 9. N is also an integer random variable, independent of  $X_i$ s, with mean 2 and variance 1. We define  $S \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ .

• Prove:  $Var(X) = \mathbb{E}(Var(X|Y)) + Var(\mathbb{E}(X|Y))$ 

Solution:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Var(X|Y) & = & E[X^2|Y] - (E[X|Y])^2 \\ \to E[Var(X|Y)] & = & E[E[X^2|Y]] - E[(E[X|Y])^2] = E[X^2] - E[(E[X|Y])^2] \\ Var(E[X|Y]) & = & E[(E[X|Y])^2] - (E[E[X|Y]])^2 = E[(E[X|Y])^2] - (E[X])^2 \\ \to E[Var(X|Y)] + Var(E[X|Y]) & = & E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 \end{array}$$

• Find the variance of S.

Solution:

$$Var(S) = E(S^{2}) - E^{2}(S)(*)$$

$$E(A) = E_{B}(E_{A}[A|B])(**)$$

$$\xrightarrow{(*),(**)} Var(S) = E_{N}[E_{S}^{2}[S^{2}|N]] - (E_{N}[E_{S}[S|N]])^{2}$$

$$E[S|N] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[X_i] = 0(1)$$

$$E[S^2|N] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_i X_j]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} E[X_i X_j]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[X_i^2] = N * Var(X_i] = 9 * N(2)$$

$$(1), (2) \rightarrow Var(S) = E[9 * N] + E[0]$$

$$= 9 * E[N] = 9 * 2 = 18$$

• Derive the correlation coefficient between the random variables S and N. Solution:

To derive the correlation coefficient between the random variables S and N, we need to first find their covariance and then divide it by the product of their standard deviations. Using the linearity of covariance, we have:

$$cov(S, N) = cov\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i, N\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} cov(X_i, N)$$

Since  $X_i$  and N are independent, their covariance is zero. Thus, we have:

$$cov(S, N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} cov(X_i, N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 0 = 0$$

Next, we need to find the standard deviations of S and N. Since the  $X_i$  are independent and identically distributed, we have:

$$Var(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Var(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 9 = 9N$$

*Taking the square root of both sides, we get:* 

$$SD(S) = 3\sqrt{N}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$SD(N) = \sqrt{Var(N)} = \sqrt{1} = 1$$

Therefore, the correlation coefficient between S and N is:

$$\rho_{S,N} = \frac{\text{cov}(S, N)}{\text{SD}(S) \text{SD}(N)} = \frac{0}{3\sqrt{N} \cdot 1} = 0$$

Suppose the data samples of an experiment are drawn from a normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . We assume that the variance of this population  $\sigma^2$ , is known, but its mean  $\mu$ , is unknown, and we want to estimate it from N independent observations  $X_1,...,X_N$ .

• Find the ML estimate for the population mean.

Solution:

The likelihood function is:

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2; x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \mu)^2)$$

And the log likelihood is:

$$\begin{split} Ln(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) &= -\frac{n}{2}ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2}ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \mu)^2 \\ \hat{\mu}_{ML} = argmax_\mu Ln(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) &\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}Ln(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 2\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \hat{\mu}_{ML})}{2\sigma^2} = 0 \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu}_{ML}) &= 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\hat{\mu}_{ML} = 0 \end{split}$$

So the MLE is calculated as below:

$$\hat{\mu}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$
: Sample mean

• Suppose that the prior distribution of the parameter  $\mu$  is the normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta^2)$ . Find the MAP estimate for the population mean. What is the effect of choosing this prior on the posterior distribution?

Solution:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{prior} \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\,\beta^2) & \Rightarrow f_U(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} exp(-\frac{(\mu-\alpha)^2}{2\beta^2}) \\ \hat{\mu}_{MAP} & = argmax_\mu L(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) f_U(\mu) \\ \hat{\mu}_{MAP} = argmax_\mu Ln(L(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) f_U(\mu)) & = argmax_\mu [Ln(L(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) + Ln(f_U(\mu))] \\ Ln(L(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) f_U(\mu)) & = -\frac{N}{2} Ln(2\pi) - NLn(\sigma) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} Ln(2\pi) - Ln(\beta) - (\frac{(\mu-\alpha)^2}{2\beta^2}) & = H(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) \\ & \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} H(\mu,\sigma^2;x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \\ & \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_{MAP} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}}{\frac{\sigma^2 + N\beta^2}{(\sigma\beta)^2}} & = \frac{\beta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\beta^2} \end{split}$$

• Explain the relationship between these two estimates when the number of samples is increased.

Solution:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{\mu}_{MLE} & = & \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i: \textit{Sample mean} \\ \\ \hat{\mu}_{MAP} & = & \frac{\beta^2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\beta^2} \\ \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\mu}_{MAP} & = & \frac{\beta^2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{N\beta^2} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \textit{Sample Mean} \\ \\ \Rightarrow & \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\mu}_{MAP} = \hat{\mu}_{ML} \end{array}$$

It can be shown that generally this statement is true, by increasing samples MAP and ML estimators will approach towards each other.

### 1.3

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are iid random variables. Find the probability density function of  $Y_1 = max[X_1, X_2, ..., X_n]$  and  $Y_2 = min[X_1, X_2, ..., X_N]$ .

Solution:

$$Y_{1} = MAX[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \dots, X_{N}]$$

$$CDFofY_{1} : F_{Y_{1}}(y_{1}) = P(Y_{1} \leq y_{1}) = P(MAX[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \dots, X_{N}] \leq y_{1})$$

$$\Longrightarrow F_{Y_{1}}(y_{1}) = P(X_{1} \leq y_{1}, X_{2} \leq y_{1}, \dots, X_{n} \leq y_{1})$$

$$= P(X_{1} \leq y_{1}) * p(X_{2} \leq y_{1}) * \dots * p(X_{n} \leq y_{1})$$

$$= F_{X_{1}}(y_{1})F_{X_{2}}(y_{1}) \dots F_{X_{n}}(y_{1}) = F_{X}^{n}(y_{1})$$

$$PDFofY_{1} : \frac{\partial F_{Y_{1}}(y_{1})}{\partial y_{1}} = \frac{\partial F_{X}^{n}(y_{1})}{\partial y_{1}}$$

$$= nF_{X}^{(n-1)}(y_{1})f_{X}(y_{1})$$

$$Y_{2} = MIN[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \dots, X_{N}]$$

$$CDFofY_{2} : F_{Y_{2}}(y_{2}) = P(Y_{2} \leq y_{2}) = P(MIN[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \dots, X_{N}] \leq y_{2})$$

$$= 1 - P(MIN[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \dots, X_{N}] > y_{2})$$

$$= 1 - P(X_{1} > y_{2}, X_{2} > y_{2}, \dots, X_{n} > y_{2})$$

$$= 1 - P(X_{1} > y_{2})P(X_{2} > y_{2})\dots P(X_{n} > y_{2})$$

$$= 1 - (1 - P(X_{1} \leq y_{2})(1 - P(X_{2} \leq y_{2})\dots(1 - P(X_{n} \leq y_{2})))$$

$$= 1 - (1 - F_{X_{1}}(y_{2})(1 - F_{X_{2}}(y_{2})\dots(1 - F_{X_{n}}(y_{2})))$$

$$= 1 - (1 - F_{X_{1}}(y_{2}))^{n}$$

$$PDFofY_{2} : \frac{\partial F_{Y_{2}}(y_{2})}{\partial y_{2}} = \frac{\partial [1 - (1 - F_{X_{1}}(Y_{2}))^{n}]}{\partial y_{2}}$$

$$= -n(1 - F_{X_{1}}(n-1))(y_{2})f_{X_{1}}(y_{2})$$

# 2 Linear Algebra

### 2.1

Suppose  $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $\langle A, B \rangle = tr(B^T A)$  is an inner product.

Solution:

First we prove that  $\langle A, A \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle A, A \rangle = 0$ ; iff A = 0:

$$\langle A, A \rangle = tr(A^T A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (A^T A)_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^m A^T_{i,j} * A_{j,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^m A_{j,i} * A_{j,i}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n A^2_{j,i}$$

Due to the fact that the above expression is a summation of some non-negative value, it will always be non-negative and zero, if and only if all of its values are zero, which means A=0. Now we prove this operation has the property of addition to the first component:

$$\langle A_1 + A_2, B \rangle = tr(B^T(A_1 + A_2)) = tr(B^TA_1 + B^TA_2) = tr(B^TA_1) + tr(B^TA_2) = \langle A_1, B \rangle + \langle A_2, B \rangle$$

Then Homogeneity to the first component needs to be proved as below:

$$\langle \lambda A, B \rangle = tr(B^T(\lambda A)) = tr(\lambda(B^T A)) = \lambda tr(B^T A) = \lambda \langle A, B \rangle$$

Now check conjugate symmetry. since we are in Real numbers, we have to prove  $\langle A, B \rangle = \langle B, A \rangle$ :

$$\langle A, B \rangle = tr(B^T A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (B^T A)_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^m B^T_{i,j} * A_{j,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^m B_{j,i} * A_{j,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (A^T B_{i,i}) = tr(A^T B) = \langle B, A \rangle$$

Now the statement is proved.

## 2.2

Assume that x is a vector and A is a square matrix. Show that:

$$\bullet \ \frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x} = 2x^T A$$

Solution:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} a_11 & a_12 & \dots & a_1n \\ a_11 & a_12 & \dots & a_1n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_11 & a_12 & \dots a_1n \end{bmatrix}$$

So, we will have:

$$x^{T} A x = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i1} x_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i2} x_{i} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{in} x_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{i} x_{j}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x_i} = 2x^T A = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ij} + a_{ji}) x_j \frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x} = x^T (A + A^T) = 2x^T A$$

 $Notice\ that\ A\ is\ symmetrice$ 

• 
$$\frac{\partial trace(x^T A x)}{\partial x} = x^T (A + A^T)$$

Solution:

We have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(x^T A x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j,$$

where n is the dimension of x and A.

Since the trace is just the sum of the diagonal entries of a matrix, we can rewrite this as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(x^TAx) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(xx^TA)$$

*Using the cyclic property of the trace, we can move A to the front:* 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(x^T A x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(A x x^T)$$

Using the formula for the derivative of the trace, we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(x^T A x) = A^T x + A x$$

Note that  $A^T = A$  since A is a square matrix. Multiplying  $x^T$  on the left, we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(x^T A x) = x^T A^T + x^T A = x^T (A + A^T)$$

Therefore, we have shown that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\operatorname{trace}(x^T A x) = x^T (A + A^T)$$

Suppose  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$  are the eignvalues of matrix A, Prove:

•  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = trace(A)$ 

Solution:

*Using the definition of the trace of a matrix, we have:* 

$$trace(A) = a_{11} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{nn},$$

where  $a_{ii}$  is the (i, i)-th entry of A.

Every matrix satisfies its own characteristic polynomial, which is defined as  $det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ , where I is the identity matrix and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A. So, we can write:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (-1)^n (\lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0),$$

where n is the order of A and  $c_i$  are constants. Substituting  $\lambda = 0$ , we get:

$$\det(A) = (-1)^n c_0,$$

which implies that  $c_0$  is equal to  $(-1)^n$  times the determinant of A. Substituting  $\lambda = a_{ii}$ , we get:

$$\det(A - a_{ii}I) = (-1)^n (a_{ii} - a_{11})(a_{ii} - a_{22}) \cdots (a_{ii} - a_{nn}),$$

which implies that the product of the eigenvalues of A is equal to  $(-1)^n$  times the determinant of A. Dividing both sides by  $(-1)^n$ , we get:

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n = \det(A),$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of A. Using the above result, we can express the characteristic polynomial of A as:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n),$$

and we can expand this polynomial as:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \lambda^{n} + (-1)^{n-1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n)\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n} \det(A).$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $\lambda^{n-1}$  on both sides, we get:

$$-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n) = \operatorname{trace}(A),$$

which implies that the sum of the eigenvalues of A is equal to the trace of A. Therefore, we have proven the desired result.

•  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 ... \lambda_n = det(A)$ 

Solution:

Since  $\lambda s$  are eigenvalues of matrix, then they are also the roots of characteristic polynomial.

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = p(\lambda) = (-1)^n (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda)(\lambda_2 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda),$$

We put  $\lambda$  equal to zero. So:

$$\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_n,$$

• *AB* and *BA* have the same set of eigenvalues.

Solution:

To prove that AB and BA have the same eigenvalues, we need to show that the characteristic polynomials of them are equal. The characteristic polynomial of a matrix is defined as:  $\det(\lambda I - A)$ 

where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A and I is the identity matrix of the same size as A. So, we need to show that for all  $\lambda$ :

$$\det(\lambda I - AB) = \det(\lambda I - BA)$$

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary eigenvalue of AB, with corresponding eigenvector v. Then we have:

$$AB\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v} \tag{1}$$

Let  $\mathbf{u} = Bv$ . Multiplying both sides by B, we get:

$$BA\mathbf{u} = BAB\mathbf{v} = B(AB\mathbf{v}) = B(\lambda\mathbf{v})$$

Since B commutes with  $\lambda I$ , we can write this as:

$$BA\mathbf{u} = \lambda(B\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{u}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$BA\mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u}$$

This shows that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of BA with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{u} = B\mathbf{v}$ .

Therefore, every eigenvalue of AB is also an eigenvalue of BA, and vice versa. Since the characteristic polynomial of a matrix is the product of its eigenvalues (with appropriate algebraic multiplicities), it follows that the two matrices have the same characteristic polynomial, and hence the same eigenvalues.

• A and  $A^T$  have the same set of eigenvalues.

Solution:

We know the eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic polynomial; therefore, if we prove A and  $A^T$  have the same characteristic polynomial equation, the statement will be proved.

$$|A^{T} - \lambda I| = |A^{T} - \lambda I^{T}| = |(A - \lambda I)^{T}| = |A - \lambda I|,$$