2024 秋《机器学习概论》作业 4 解答

舒英特

题目来源:

P1 P2 P3: https://github.com/maxim5/cs229-2018-autumn/blob/main/problem-sets-so <a href="https://github.com/maxim5/cs229-2018-autumn/blob/main/problem-sets-so <a href="https://github.com/maxim5/cs229-2018-autumn/blob/main/problem-sets-so <a href="https://github.com/maxim5/cs229-2018-autumn/blob/main/problem-sets-so <a href="https://github.com/maxim5/cs229-2018-autumn/blob/main/problem-sets-so <a href="h

P4: https://github.com/tuan-ld/cs229-machine-learning-standford/blob/main/midterm/midterm-solutions.pdf P4

P1

(a)

If $\hat{\pi}_0 = \pi_0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} R(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\pi_0(s,a)} R(s,a)$$

$$= \sum_{(s,a)} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\pi_0(s,a)} R(s,a) p(s) \pi_0(s,a)$$

$$= \sum_{(s,a)} R(s,a) p(s) \pi_1(s,a)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} R(s,a)$$

(b)

If $\hat{\pi}_0 = \pi_0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\pi_0(s,a)}$$

$$= \sum_{(s,a)} p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\pi_0(s,a)}$$

$$= \sum_{(s,a)} p(s) \pi_0(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\pi_0(s,a)}$$

$$= \sum_{(s,a)} p(s) \pi_1(s,a)$$

$$= \sum_{(s,a)} p(s) \pi_1(s,a)$$

$$= 1$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} \sum_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} R(s,a)}{\mathbb{E} \sum_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)}} = \mathbb{E} \sum_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} R(s,a)$$

(c)

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} \sum\limits_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} R(s,a)}{\mathbb{E} \sum\limits_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)}} = \frac{\sum_{(s,a)} p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} R(s,a)}{\sum_{(s,a)} p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)}}$$

If there is only a single data element in the dataset, then

$$\frac{\sum_{(s,a)} p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} R(s,a)}{\sum_{(s,a)} p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)}} = \frac{p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} R(s,a)}{p(s,a) \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)}} = R(s,a)$$

So if $\pi_0
eq \pi_1$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} R(s,a) \neq \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} R(s,a)$$

(d)

i.

If $\hat{\pi}_0 = \pi_0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \left((\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_1(s,a)} \, \hat{R}(s,a)) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} \, \hat{R}(s,a) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \left(\frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} (R(s,a) - \hat{R}(s,a)) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} \left(R(s,a) - \hat{R}(s,a) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \left((\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_1(s,a)} \, \hat{R}(s,a)) + \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} (R(s,a) - \hat{R}(s,a)) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} R(s,a)$$

ii.

If $\hat{R}(s,a) = R(s,a)$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \left((\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_1(s,a)} \, \hat{R}(s,a) \right) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} R(s,a)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \left(\frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} (R(s,a) - \hat{R}(s,a)) \right) = 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_0(s,a)}} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_1(s,a)} \, \hat{R}(s,a) \right) + \frac{\pi_1(s,a)}{\hat{\pi}_0(s,a)} (R(s,a) - \hat{R}(s,a)) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim p(s) \\ a \sim \pi_1(s,a)}} \, R(s,a)$$

(e)

i.

Importance sampling estimator. Estimating $\hat{\pi}_0$ is easier in this situation.

ii.

Regression estimator. Estimating $\hat{R}(s,a)$ is easier in this situation.

$$\begin{split} f_u(x) &= \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} ||x - v||^2 = \frac{uu^T x}{u^T u} = uu^T x \\ \arg\min_{u:u^T u = 1} \sum_{i=1}^m ||x^{(i)} - f_u(x^{(i)})||_2^2 &= \arg\min_{u:u^T u = 1} \sum_{i=1}^m ||x^{(i)} - uu^T x^{(i)}||_2^2 \\ &= \arg\min_{u:u^T u = 1} \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - uu^T x^{(i)})^T (x^{(i)} - uu^T x^{(i)}) \\ &= \arg\min_{u:u^T u = 1} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)^T} x^{(i)} - x^{(i)^T} uu^T x^{(i)} \\ &= \arg\max_{u:u^T u = 1} \sum_{i=1}^m u^T x^{(i)} x^{(i)^T} u \\ &= \arg\max_{u:u^T u = 1} \sum_{i=1}^m u^T x^{(i)} x^{(i)^T} u \\ &= \arg\max_{u:u^T u = 1} u^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)} x^{(i)^T}\right) u \end{split}$$

P3

(a)

$$\|B(V_1) - B(V_2)\|_{\infty} = \gamma \left\| \max_{a \in A} \sum_{s' \in S} P_{sa}(s') \left[V_1(s') - V_2(s') \right] \right\|_{\infty}$$

$$= \gamma \max_{s' \in S} \left| \max_{a \in A} \sum_{s' \in S} P_{sa}(s') \left[V_1(s') - V_2(s') \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \gamma \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty}$$

The inequality holds because for any $lpha,x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, if $\sum_ilpha_i=1$ and $lpha_i\geq 0$, then $\sum_ilpha_ix_i\leq \max_ix_i$

(b)

Assume that V_1 and V_2 are both fixed points, i.e., $B(V_1) = V_1, B(V_2) = V_2$.

$$\|V_1-V_2\|_\infty=\|B(V_1)-B(V_2)\|_\infty\leq \gamma\|V_1-V_2\|_\infty$$
 $\|V_1-V_2\|_\infty=0$ $V_1=V_2$

So ${\cal B}$ has at most one fixed point.

(a) [9 points] The primal optimization problem for the one-class SVM was given above. Write down the corresponding dual optimization problem. Simplify your answer as much as possible. In particular, w should not appear in your answer. Answer: The Lagrangian is given by

$$L(w,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}w^{\top}w + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (1 - w^{\top}x^{(i)}).$$
 (4)

Setting the gradient of the Lagrangian with respect to w to zero, we obtain $w = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x^{(i)}$. It follows that

$$\max_{\alpha \ge 0} \min_{w} \left(\frac{1}{2} w^{\top} w + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (1 - w^{\top} x^{(i)}) \right)$$
 (5)

$$= \max_{\alpha \ge 0} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x^{(i)} \right)^{\top} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x^{(i)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x^{(i)} \right)^{\top} x^{(i)} \right)$$
(6)

$$= \max_{\alpha \ge 0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_i \alpha_j x^{(i)\top} x^{(j)} \right)$$
 (7)

The first equality follows from setting the gradient w.r.t. w equal to zero, and solving for w, which gives $w=\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x^{(i)}$ and substituting this expression for w. The second equality follows from simplifying the expression.

(b) [4 points] Can the one-class SVM be kernelized (both in training and testing)? Justify your answer.

Answer: Yes. For training we can use the dual formulation, in which only inner products of the data appear. For testing at a point z we just need to evaluate $w^\top z = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x^{(i)}\right)^\top z = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x^{(i)\top} z$ in which the training data and the test point z only appear in inner products.

(c) [5 points] Give an SMO-like algorithm to optimize the dual. I.e., give an algorithm that in every optimization step optimizes over the smallest possible subset of variables. Also give in closed-form the update equation for this subset of variables. You should also justify why it is sufficient to consider this many variables at a time in each step. Answer: Since we have convex optimization problem with only independent coordinate wise constraints (α_i ≥ 0), we can optimize iteratively over 1 variable at a time. Optimizing

w.r.t. α_i is done by setting

$$\alpha_i = \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{K_{i,i}} (1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j K_{i,j}) \right\}$$

(Set the derivative w.r.t. α_i equal to zero and solve for α_i . And take into account the constraint. Here, we defined $K_{i,j} = x^{(i)\top}x^{(j)}$.)