

## Performance Analysis

- **Time Complexity Analysis**

- Arrays:  $O(1)$  for access,  $O(n)$  for insertion/deletion.
- Stacks:  $O(1)$  for push/pop/peek.
- Queues:  $O(1)$  for enqueue,  $O(n)$  for dequeue using array implementation.
- Linked Lists:  $O(1)$  for insertion at head,  $O(n)$  for deletion/access.

- **Trade-offs**

- Arrays offer faster access times, while linked lists provide dynamic resizing and ease of insertion/deletion.
- Stacks implemented with arrays may waste space if not carefully managed, whereas linked list stacks are more memory efficient.

- **Efficiency in Scenarios**

- Arrays can be used for fixed-size collections that need fast access.
- Linked lists are used for dynamic collections where frequent insertions/deletions occur.

## Discussion

- **Practical Applications:**

- Arrays: Used in static data storage, image processing.
- Stacks: Function calls, backtracking algorithms (e.g., depth-first search).
- Queues: Task scheduling, breadth-first search algorithms.
- Linked Lists: Dynamic memory allocation, implementing complex data structures like hash tables.