Dear Editors and Referee,

I would like to sincerely thank the referee for their careful reading of the manuscript and for the many insightful corrections and suggestions. We have addressed all questions, comments, and corrections, as described in the revised version of the manuscript attached. Descriptions of all modifications are indicated either in the margins or inserted directly in the text.

1

Revision 1. Violet comments refer to modifications directly linked to the referee 1 remarks.

2

Revision 2. Orange comments refer to modifications directly linked to the referee 2 remarks.

3

Revision 3. Yellow comments refer either to the correction of additional typos or to changes motivated (but not directly prompted) by the referees' comments.

Best regards,
The authors

LIST OF REVISIONS

BLABLA

BALBLA

Abstract. balbla

1. Introduction

The paper [4] walks sideways through the idea, chewing symbols without swallowing meaning.

[5] Therefore the lemma sleeps under the table, dreaming of constants that never converge.

[6] Proof maybe, proof later, proof forgotten. [7]

[8] One assumes x, then forgets why, then divides by coffee. Equations stare back, unimpressed.

[9]

Revision 9. This is an inline comment

The theorem clears its throat but says nothing.

[10] Meanwhile the footnote escapes, waving at a diagram that was never drawn. [11]

$$x = x + \varepsilon - \varepsilon \quad \text{for no particular reason.}$$

[12] Hence, by an argument left to the reader and abandoned by the author, we conclude that everything is almost true, except when it is not.

Probably.

Revision 4. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 5. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 6. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 7. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 8. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 10. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 11. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 12. This is a comment in a margin

Revision 13. This is a comment in a margin

The paper [13] walks sideways through the idea, chewing symbols without swallowing meaning.

Revision 14. This is a comment in a margin

[14] Therefore the lemma sleeps under the table, dreaming of constants that never converge.

Revision 15. This is a comment in a margin

[15] Proof maybe, proof later, proof forgotten. [16]

Revision 16. This is a comment in a margin

[17] One assumes x, then forgets why, then divides by coffee. Equations stare back, unimpressed.

Revision 17. This is a comment in a margin

[18]

Revision 18. This is an inline comment

The theorem clears its throat but says nothing.

Revision 19. This is a comment in a margin

[19] Meanwhile the footnote escapes, waving at a diagram that was never drawn. [20]

Revision 20. This is a comment in a margin

$$x = x + \varepsilon - \varepsilon \quad \text{for no particular reason.}$$

Revision 21. This is a comment in a margin

[21] Hence, by an argument left to the reader and abandoned by the author, we conclude that everything is almost true, except when it is not.

Probably.