



# Modeling power systems with Modelica using *OpenIPSL*

A Modelica Library for Power System Simulation

## Prof. Luigi Vanfretti

luigiv@kth.se, https://www.kth.se/profile/luigiv/



May 15-17, 2017 Prague, Czech Republic www.modelica.org





- Modelica and power systems
- **OpenIPSL**
- Project documentation
- On-going developments



Smart Transmission Systems Le



#### **The Underlying Question:**

Why do we develop models and perform simulations?

To reduce the lifetime cost of a system

- *In requirements:* trade-off studies
- *In test and design:* fewer proto-types
- *In training:* avoid accidents
- *In operation:* **anticipate problems**



- The prospective pilot sat in the top section of this device and was required to line up a reference bar with the horizon. 1910.
- More than half the pilots who died in WW1 were killed in training.

## **A Failure to Anticipate** à **Huge Costs!**

There are many examples of failures to anticipate problems in power system operation



**Others:** WECC 1996 Break-up, European Blackout (4-Nov.-2006), London (28-Aug-2003), Italy (28-Sep.- 2003), Denmark/Sweden (23-Sep.-2003)

**Failure!:** Existing modeling and simulation (and associated) tools were unable to predict these events.



#### **The Multiple Roles** of Modeling and Simulation

#### in building Complex Cyber-Physical "Systems-of-Systems"



# **Simulating<br>SUCCESS**

How do modeling and simulation activities, capabilities benefit Boeing? Let us count the ways—9 of them

#### **BY DEBBY ARKELL**

ands-on experience often can be the best way to tackle complex problems or master challenging skills. But when it comes<br>to navigating intricate, variable-laden scenarios, or combat situations involving complex military maneuvers using expensive equipment, "on-the-job training" often is not a prudent approach.<br>That's why Boeing Integrated Defense Systems, Commercial

Airplanes and Phantom Works engage in a wide variety of modeling and simulation activities, designed to provide ever more realistic simulations to internal customers across the enterprise—and to external customers as well.

"There is a tremendous amount of diversity in modeling and simulation being worked on at Boeing, encompassing very complex<br>issues within a very broad spectrum," said Ron Fuchs, director of<br>Modeline and Simulation for IDS. "Right now there are more than



File Edit C

Tools Settings Main

**Product or system** testing Models and simulations are used to test M&S used to test prototypes in variety of environments. networked computer systems in the rub system of systems will be tested in a large-scale distributed simulation facility

#### **Training systems**

called the FCS System of Systems Integration Lab. The SoSIL provides a

#### and maintenance

M&S are used to *train users in the operational environment* – enhancing learning. Simulation *costs* 1/10 of running actual scenarios.

#### **Network communications**

Tactical military communications networks-such as Joint

- Scale of networks: costprohibitive or technically
- ne CO impossible for field tests.

Tac<sup>'</sup>

se

sir

th

da

co

- - M&S used to test and validate networking protocols in laboratory environment acting as a test bed.



Large Number of Vendors for the Final System

#### 787 structure suppliers





Electric Power Generation & Start System (EPGSS)





#### **The Multiple Roles** of Modeling and Simulation in building the future Cyber-Physical Power Systems (aka 'smart grids')

Conceptual Application for the Development of a WT Synchro phasor-Based Controller





- **Falling in love with a model** The Pygmalion effect (forgetting that model is not the real world)
	- From the Greek myth of Pygmalion, a sculptor who fell in love with a statue he had carved.
- **Forcing reality into the constraints of a model** The Procrustes effect (e.g. economic theories)
	- Procrustes: "the stretcher [who hammers out the metal]", a rogue smith from Attica that physically attacked people by cutting/stretching their legs, so as to force them to fit the size of an iron bed.
	- A **Procrustean bed** is an **arbitrary standard** to which exact conformity is forced.
- **Forgetting the model's level of accuracy** Simplifying assumptions forgotten more than yesterday's pudding…





#### **Phenomena modeled from this point on:**

power system *electromechanical dynamics*





#### **Why (open)-standardized modeling languages?**

- Modeling tools first gained adoption as engineers looked for ways to simplify SW development and documentation.
- **Today's modeling tools and their use cases have evolved.**
- *Now:* need for addressing both system level design and SW development/construction.





## **Why equation-based modeling?**



- Defines an implicit relation between variables.
	- **The data-flow between variables is defined right before simulation**  of the model (not during the modelling process!)
- A system can be seen as a complete model or a set of individual components.
- **The user is (in principle) only concerned with the model creation, and does not have to deal with the underlying simulation engine (only if desired).**
- It also allows decomposing complex systems into simple sub-models easier to understand, share and reuse



 $M$  o d $E'$ 

#### is a (computer) language, is **not** a tool!

- Modelica is a free/*libre* object-oriented modeling language with a textual definition to describe physical systems using differential, algebraic and discrete equations.
- A Modelica modeling environment is needed to edit or to browse a Modelica model graphically in form of a composition diagram (= schematic).
- A Modelica translator is needed to transform a Modelica model into a form (usually C-code) which can be simulated by standard tools.
- A Modelica modeling and simulation environment provides both of the functionalities above, in addition to auxiliary features (e.g. plotting)



**Modelica® - A Unified Object-Oriented Language for Systems Modeling** 

**Language Specification** 

**Version 3.3 Revision 1** 

July 11, 2014

http://modelica.readthedocs.io/en/latest/#

*Key: standardized and open language* specification

M  $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}^{\prime}$   $\overline{L}^{\prime}$   $\overline{L}$  A modeling and simulation environment tasks Modelica Model Flat model Hybrid DAE Sorted equations C Code Executable Optimized sorted equations **Modelica** Model **Modelica** Graphical Editor Modelica Modelica Source code **Translator** Analyzer **Optimizer** Code generator C Compiler **Modelica** Textual Editor Frontend **Backend** "Middle-end" Modeling Environment

KTH

**Simulation** 



Why M D D E L I C A power systems?

• The **order of computations is decided at modelling time**



- Most tools make **no difference between "solver" and "model"** – in many cases solver is implanted in the model
- There is **no guarantee** that the same standardized model is implemented in the same way across different tools
- Even in Common Information Model (CIM) v15, **only block diagrams** are provided instead of equations
- **black boxes** when **when** parameters are shared in a **specific "data format"**

**Present Modeling**   $\mathbf{r}'$  $\mathbf{D}$  **C**  $\mathbf{L}$  **I** 

• For large models this **requires translation** into the internal data format of each program



**Modelica and Power Systems**



#### **Previous and Related Efforts**

- Modelica for power systems *was first attempted* in the early 2000's (Wiesmann & Bachmann, Modelica 2000) - "electro-magnetic transient (EMT) modeling" approach.
	- SPOT (Weissman, EPL-Modelon) and its close relative PowerSystems (Franke, 2014); supports multiple modeling approaches –i.e. 3phase, steady-state, "transient stability", etc.
- Electro-mechanical modeling or "transient stability" modeling:
	- Involves electro-mechanical dynamics, and neglects (very) fast transients
	- For system-wide analysis, easier to simulate/analyze domain specific tools approach
- ObjectStab (Larsson, 2002; Winkler, 2015) adopts "transient stability" modeling.
- The PEGASE EU project (2011) developed a small library of components in Scilab, which where ported to proper Modelica in the FP7 iTesla project (2012-2016).
- The iPSL iTesla Power Systems Library (Vanfretti et al, Modelica 2014, SoftwareX 2016), was released during 2015. Most models validated against typical power system tools.

#### **OpenIPSL takes iPSL as a starting point and moves it forward (this presentation).**

• F. Casella (OpenModelica 2016, Modelica 2017) presents the challenges of dealing with large power networks using Modelica, and a dedicated library to investigate them using the Open Modelica compiler.



## **Modelica and Power Systems**

#### **Why another library for power systems?**

- Why not use one of the existing Modelica projects?
	- *There is no technical argument:* in principle, either SPOT, PowerSystems, or ObjecStab could have been used instead of creating a new library (iPSL, and OpenIPSL)

#### **Social Aspects (Vanfretti et al, Modelica 2014):**

- Resistance to change: an irrational and dysfunctional reaction of users (and developers?)
	- Users of conventional power system tools are skeptical about any other tools different to the one they use (or develop), and are averse about new technologies (slow on the uptake)
- Change agents contribute (+/-) to address resistance through actions and interactions:
	- *Strategy:* do not impose the use of a specific simulation environment (software tool), *instead*,
	- Propose a **common human and computer-readable mathematical "description":**  use of Modelica for unambiguous model exchange.
- **Decrease of avoidance forces:** 
	- SW-to-SW validation gives quantitatively an similar answer than domain specific tools.
	- Accuracy (w.r.t. to *de* facto tools) more important than performance

#### **A never-ending effort:**

- Our (my) goal has been to bridge the gap between the Modelica and power systems community by
	- Addressing resistance to change (see above)
	- Interacting with both communities different levels of success...





## **The** *OpenIPSL* **Project**



- **KTH SmarTS Lab** (my research team) actively participated in the group or partners developing iPSL until the end of the *iTesla* project (March 2016)
- **iPSL** is a nice prototype, *but we identified the following issues:*
	- **Development:** Need for compatibility with OpenModelica, (better) use of object orientation and proper use of the Modelica language features.
	- **Maintenance:** Poor harmonization, lack of code factorization, etc.
	- **Human issues:** The development workflow was complex, because of
		- Different parties with disparate objectives, levels of knowledge, philosophy, etc.

New research requirements and the experiences from previous effort indicated: - a clear *need for a different development approach –*

**one** that should address a complex development & maintenance workflow!

- OpenIPSL *started as a fork* of iPSL
- OpenIPSL is hosted on GitHub at https://github.com/SmarTS-Lab/OpenIPSL
- OpenIPSL is actively developed by SmarTS Lab members and friends, as a research and education oriented library for power systems
	- $\rightarrow$  *it is ok to try things out* !







## **The** *OpenIPSL* **Library**



**OpenIPSL** is an open-source Modelica library for power systems

- It contains a set of **power system components** for **phasor time domain** modeling and simulation
- Models have been **validated** against a number of reference tools
- **OpenIPSL** enables:
- **Unambiguous** model exchange
- Formal **mathematical description** of models
- **Separation** of **models** from IDEs and **solvers**
- Use of **object-oriented** paradigms





 $\blacktriangleright$  Functions  $\triangleright$  Connectors

#### **The** *OpenIPSL* **Library – WT Example**











model LVACL

Ip\_LVACL

//The Low Voltage Active Current Management block is de //of active power under very low voltage scenarios. Thi // The protection function is activated when //the terminal voltage drops below 0.8 pu and stranglin //0.4 pu. For voltages between 0.8 pu and 0.4 pu to red Modelica.Blocks.Interfaces.RealOutput Ip LVACL ¤; Modelica.Blocks.Interfaces.RealInput Vt W; Modelica.Blocks.Interfaces.RealInput Ip LVPL m; equation if  $Vt < 0.4$  then

Ip LVACL =  $0;$ elseif  $Vt > 0.8$  then Ip LVACL = Ip LVPL; else Ip LVACL = Ip LVPL \* 1.25 \* Vt; end  $if;$  $\pi_{I}$ end LVACL:

**18**



## **The** *OpenIPSL* **Library – Network Example**







#### **Many Application Examples Developed!!!**



```
model WT4G1 WT4E1
  extends Modelica. Icons. Example:
  constant Real pi = Modelica.Constants.pi;
  parameter Real V1 = 1.0;
 parameter Real A1 = -1.570655e-05;
  parameter Real V3 = 0.9999999000000001;
  parameter Real A3 = 0.02574992;parameter Real P1 = -1.4988;
  parameter Real Q1 = -4.334;
  parameter Real Zr = 0.0;
  parameter Real Z_i = 0.2;
  parameter Real P3 = 1.5;
 parameter Real Q3 = -5.6658;
  parameter Real R1 = 0.025;
  parameter Real X1 = 0.025;
  parameter Real B1 = 0.05;
  parameter Real dyrw[1, 9] = [0.02, 0.02, 0]OpenIPSL. Electrical. Branches. PwLine pwLine
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Branches.PwLine pwLine
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Machines.PSSE.GENCLS (
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Branches.PwLine pwLine
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Wind.PSSE.WT4G.WT4G1 +
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Events.PwFault pwFault
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Wind.PSSE.WT4G.WT4E1 +
  inner OpenIPSL.Electrical.SystemBase SysDa
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Buses.Bus GEN W;
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Buses.Bus BUS1 m;
  OpenIPSL.Electrical.Buses.Bus INF ¤;
equation
  connect (wT4G1.p, GEN.p) E;
  connect (GEN.p, pwLine2.p) ¤;
  connect (pwLine2.n, BUS1.p) =;
  connect (BUS1.p, pwLine.p) ¤;
  connect (pwLinel.p, pwLine.p) ¤;
  connect (pwFault.p, BUS1.p) =;
 connect (pwLine.n, INF.p) ¤;
 connect (pwLinel.n, INF.p) ¤;
  connect (INF.p, gENCLS2 1.p) =;
  connect (wT4E1 1.WIQCMD, wT4G1.I gcmd) =;
  connect (wT4E1 1.WIPCMD, wT4G1.I pcmd) =;
  connect (wT4G1.P, wT4E1 1.P) =;
  connect (wT4G1.V, wT4E1 1.V) =;
                                            19connect(wT4G1.Q, wT4E1 1.0) =;
  m,
end WT4G1 WT4E1;
```


#### **Initialization (1/3) - General DAE Model**  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\eta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, t),$  $\mathbf{0} = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\eta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, t).$ - is the vector of state variables,  $\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}$  $\mathbf{X}$ is the vector of algebraic variables, $\mathbf{y}\!=\!\mathbf{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_f$  ${\bf y}$ is the vector of parameters, from discarding  $\tilde{\varphi}_s$  and letting  $\tilde{\xi}_s = \eta$ η  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is the vector of discrete variables.  $f(\cdot)$  - are the differential equations,  $f(\cdot) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_i(\cdot)$  $g(\cdot)$  – are the algebraic equations,  $g(\cdot) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_f(\cdot)$

[Ref.] F. Milano, Power System Modeling and Scripting, Springer, 2010.



## **Initialization (2/3) - Power System Approach**

• Equation set *g* is separated in two sets of algebraic equations:



(1) Is the part which governs how dynamic models will evolve, since they depend on both **x** and **y** , e.g. generators and their control systems.

(2) Is the network model, consisting of transmission lines and other passive components which only depends on algebraic variables, **y**

Passive Network Model



## **Initialization (3/3) – Differences**

- The power system needs to be at rest, i.e. its states must have converged to a fixed point before a disturbance is applied in simulation, that is **x(0) = C**
	- Q: How can we find this equilibrium for a DAE system?
	- A: Set derivatives to zero and solve for all unknown variables!

 $\mathbf{0} = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\eta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, t),$ Modelica –compliant tools attempt to solve this problem!  $\mathbf{0} = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\eta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, t).$ 

- Some observations that can be made:
	- The algebraic equations in corresponded to having the fast differential equations at equilibrium all the time (in the model and in the timescale considered).
	- Finding the equilibrium when most of the variables are unknown is very difficult if when we try to solve this equation system simultaneously.
- Power system tools do not do this (to the best of my knowledge)!
	- In power systems, we attempt to sequentially solve the equation system at *t=0.*
	- First, we need to solve the algebraic equations *g* that only depend on the algebraic variables… and then solve *f=0.*



## **The** *OpenIPSL* **– "initial guess" approach**



- **An initial guess for all algebraic, continuous and discrete variables need to be provided to solve a numerical problem!**
- When solving differential equations, one needs to provide the **initial value** of the state variables at rest.
- In Modelica, **initial values can be either solved or specified** in many ways, we use the following
	- Using the "initial equation" construct:

```
initial equation
```

```
x = some_value OR x = expression to solve
```
- Setting the ( $fixed = true$ ,  $start = x0$ ) attribute when instantiating a model, will
- If nothing is specified, the default would be a guess value (*start= 0, fixed=false*).
- In the OpenIPSL models we do the following:
	- The initial guess value is set with ( $fixed = false$ ) for initialization.
	- Model attributes are treated as parameters with value ( $fixed = true$ ),
- In OpenIPSL we use a power flow solution from an external tool (e.g. PSAT or PSS/E) as a starting point to compute initial guess values through parameters within each model.
	- The power flow solution is NOT the initial guess value itself.
	- Aim is to provide a better "initial guess" to find the initial values of the DAE system. **23**



Power flow data

#### **The** *OpenIPSL* **– "initial guess" example**

#### Third order model from PSAT implemented in OpenIPSL



model Order3 "Third Order Synchronous Machine with Inputs and Outputs"

```
import Modelica.Constants.pi;
```
extends BaseClasses.baseMachine(delta(start = delta0), pe(start = pm00), pm(start = pm00)

```
Real elg(start = elg0) "q-axis transient voltage (pu)";
protected
 parameter Real Xd = xd * CoB "d-axis reactance, p.u.";
 parameter Real x1d = xd1 * CoB''d-axis transient reactance, p.u.";
 parameter Real Xq = xq * CoB "q-axis reactance, p.u.";
 parameter Real m = M / COB2 "Machanical starting time (2H), kWs/kVA";
 parameter Real c1 = Ra * K "CONSTANT";
 parameter Real c2 = x1d * K "CONSTANT";
 parameter Real c3 = Xq * K " CONSTANT";
 parameter Real K = 1 / (Ra * Ra + Xq * x1d) "CONSTANT";
 parameter Real delta0 = atan2(vi0 + Ra * ii0 + Xq * ir0, vr0 + Ra * ir0 - Xq * ii0) "Initialitation";
 parameter Real vd0 = vr0 * cos(pi / 2 - delta0) - vi0 * sin(pi / 2 - delta0) "Initialitation";
 parameter Real vq0 = vr0 * sin(pi / 2 - delta0) + vi0 * cos(pi / 2 - delta0) "Initialitation";
 parameter Real id0 = ir0 * cos(pi / 2 - delta0) - ii0 * sin(pi / 2 - delta0) "Initialitation";
 parameter Real iq0 = ir0 * sin(pi / 2 - delta0) + ii0 * cos(pi / 2 - delta0) "Initialitation";
 parameter Real pm00 = (vq0 + Ra * iq0) * iq0 + (vd0 + Ra * id0) * id0 "Initialitation";
 parameter Real vf00 = e1q0 + (Xd - x1d) * id0 "Initialitation";
 parameter Real elg0 = vq0 + Ra * ig0 + xld * id0 "Initialitation";
initial equation
 der (e1q) = 0;equation
  der (elq) = ((-elq) - (Xd - x1d) * id + vf) / Td10;
```


## **The** *OpenIPSL* **Project Documentation**

Search do

OpenIPSL' Publicatio **User Guid** Communi **Technical** 

Open Sour underfu



The intention is to have comprehensive documentation in the repositories:

- Documentation of the code changes
- à Explicit messages in *commits* and *pull-requests*
- Documentation of the project
	- **Presentation**
	- User guide
	- Dev. guidelines & How to contribute
- $\rightarrow$  The documentation is written in *reStructuredText* (reST) hosted on http://openipsl.readthedocs.io/

*Note:* Model documentation is not included, users are referred to the proprietary documentations.



The library contains a set of power system component models and test power system networks adopting the "phasor" modeling approach. Time domain simulations can be carried out using a Modelica-compliant tool,



#### **The** *OpenIPSL* **Project**  Latest Developments/Contributions

Some of the latest development in the library:

- **100% Compatibility with OM (100% Check, 100% Simulation for components) through efforts in Continuous Integration adoption**
- Change in the models to include inheritance (code factorizing)
- Fixing and validating network models (thanks to CI)
- Component for interfacing OpenIPSL with 3 phase models (aka MonoTri)
	- o For distribution grid (unbalanced) simulations
	- o Starting point for mixed transmission and distribution network simulations

#### **ENTSO-E IOP:**

- Proof of concept and test model
- Excitation system and small network model

#### **OpenCPS Models**

- Small power network models for analysis of continuous and hybrid systems (sampling and discretized AVR model)
- Process noise (gen./load) **pdf-based** load models added
- **Frequency estimation model**
- Sequential automated re-synchronization and control model for islanded network



 $1.1 - 1.1$ 

 $0.8<sup>1</sup>$ 



New research requirements and the experiences from previous effort indicated **a clear** *need for a different development approach -* **one** that should address a complex development and maintenance workflow!

## How to master a complex development workflow? **Continuous Integration**



## **A Collaborative Workflow**



lerge 'feature'

We adopted the *pull-request* workflow (or GitHub workflow):

- Participants *fork* the repository and work in their repository
- Changes are submitted to the main repository as *pull-requests*
- The pull-requests are *reviewed* by "admin" members of the repository
	- o upon *validation* the changes are merged in the code of the repository



- Mistakes can be made by members of our team, *we are still learning!*
- The Git workflow adopted allows to minimize the impact of these errors.
- Increased library quality!



## **Toward Continuous Integration**

- The *previous workflow* was used by only *few people* and resulted in **no control** over the code quality, *even though DVCS was being used.*
- The *newly adopted* workflow turned suitable for the development *team*, but generated a strong *burden* for the *code review*



This sparked the idea of implementing a *Continuous Integration workflow:*

- à Focus on "*lighter*", *more frequent* pull-requests, containing *less code* change, all related to a *single feature* to facilitate the code validation
- à Implement a CI service to *automate* recurring code *validation tests*, to liberate "admin" resources.



## **Continuous Integration (CI) Service**

A CI service was implemented and integrated to the repository. The Modelica support was achieved with the following architecture:

- *Travis* as CI service provider
- **Docker** as the "virtualization" architecture





 $\sim$  10  $\mu$ 





## **Extension of the CI Service**

The *first implementation* eliminated parts of the '*rebarbative'* tasks by automating the *code checks*:

- Avoid error propagation in the library, models "out-of-sync"
- Implementation entirely based on *OpenModelica* à *100% OM Compatibility* achieved !



From this successful implementation, an extension was investigated to *include model validation* into the CI service:

- Model validation tests were carried out "offline" during the model development stages à *We did it before*!
- Automated model validation (aka regression testing), ensures code changes won't affect existing models à Library *integrity guaranteed*



# **Model Validation Workflow (SW-to-SW) (1/2)**

In the original implementation of the models of the OpenIPSL, a softwareto-software validation workflow was designed and carried out "offline":

- Models are implemented from several *reference programs*
	- *PSAT*, domain specific tool in MATLAB/Simulink by F. Milano
	- **PSS/E**, domain specific tool from Siemens PTI
- Modelica models were validated using *small scale* power network
- The traces from the Modelica models were qualitatively and quantitatively assessed: compared to the *reference traces*

 $\rightarrow$  **Gives** *confidence* to users having a long experience with these reference software …





## **Model Validation Workflow (SW-to-SW) (2/2)**





#### **Continuous Integration (CI)** Full workflow implementation

Workflow Summary:

- A two-stage process
	- Modelica *syntax* check
	- Model *validation* check
- Fully automated through online CI services
- $\rightarrow$  Diagnostic help to the developers to *locate the error*









*Main Take Away(s)*

The implementation of Continuous Integration services allows to:

- Systematically check the code syntax
- Systematically check the integrity of the library (through SW-to-SW validation)
- $\rightarrow$  Easier collaboration with more developers
- $\rightarrow$  Easier to diagnostic potential errors
- $\rightarrow$  Better code quality

Other existing Modelica libraries could adopt CI:

- $\rightarrow$  Better compatibility with OM and
- $\rightarrow$  Modelica language version(s).



The **OpenIPSL** library can be found online: https://github.com/SmarTS-Lab/OpenIPSL **Let's now learn to use** *OpenIPSL***!**



#### The **OpenIPSL** can be found online

• https://github.com/SmarTS-Lab/OpenIPSL

Our work on **OpenIPSL** has been published in the SoftwareX Journal:

• http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2016.05.001



**RaPId,** a **system identification** software that uses OpenIPSL can be found at:

Ref A https://github.com/SmarTS-Lab/OpenIPSL

- https://github.com/SmarTS-Lab/iTesla\_RaPId
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2016.07.004





Jan Lavenius Le Qi Maxime



Amazouz

Mohammed Ahsan Adib



**Baudette** 



Luigi Vanfretti kachour Mohammed Francisco Giusseppe Tin Rabuzin Francisco José Gómez

> Mengjia Zhang

**Giusseppe** Laera





**Tetiana** Bogodorova



Joan Russiñol Mussons

*Thanks* to all current and former students and developers at





