

Math 220 A - Lecture 20

---

November 30, 2020

## Last time - Residue at $\infty$

If  $f: \{ |z| > R \} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\infty$  isolated singularity



$g: \Delta^*(0, \frac{1}{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z})$ ,  $0$  isolated singularity

## Beware

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, \infty) \neq \operatorname{Res}(g, 0).$$

Instead define

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, \infty) := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\rho} f \, dz \quad \text{where } \rho > R.$$

By Homotopy Cauchy this does not depend on  $\rho > R$

## Example

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{z^9 \, dz}{(z-1) \dots (z-10)} = -2\pi i \operatorname{Res}(\_, \infty).$$

Question How do we compute the residue at  $\infty$ ?

Answer  $\text{Res}(f, \infty) = - \text{Res}_{w=0} \left( g(w) \cdot \frac{1}{w^2} \right)$

Proof Let  $\rho$  be sufficiently large. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(f, \infty) &= - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\rho} f \, dz = \quad \begin{array}{l} z = \frac{1}{w} \\ dz = -\frac{dw}{w^2} \end{array} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=1/\rho} g \cdot \frac{-dw}{w^2} \quad (\text{change variables}) \end{aligned}$$

(the change of orientation yields an extra sign).

$$= \text{Res}_{w=0} \left( g(w) \cdot \frac{-1}{w^2} \right)$$

using the usual residue theorem.

## Residue Theorem for $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$

If  $f$  has *isolated singularities* only at  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$

and possibly at  $\infty$  then

$$\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}} \operatorname{Res}(f, a) = 0.$$

Proof

Let  $\rho$  be large enough,  $\rho > |a_j|$  for all  $j$ .

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, \infty) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\rho} f \, dz \quad (\text{definition})$$

$$= -\sum_j \operatorname{Res}(f, a_j) \quad (\text{usual residue theorem})$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}} \operatorname{Res}(f, a) = 0.$$

Remark \*

This generalizes correctly to other *compact*

*Riemann surfaces.*

Example  $f(z) = \frac{z^5 + 2}{z - 1}$ .

Last lecture, we saw that  $f$  has pole at  $z = 1$

and  $z = \infty$ .

$\text{Res}(f, 1) \stackrel{\text{method 1}}{=} \frac{z^5 + 2}{(z-1)'} \bigg|_{z=1} = 3.$

$\text{Res}(f, \infty) = \text{Res}_{w=0} \left( g(w) \cdot \frac{-1}{w^2} \right)$

$z = \frac{1}{w} \Rightarrow g(w) = f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{w^5} + 2}{\frac{1}{w} - 1} = \frac{1 + 2w^5}{1 - w} \cdot \frac{1}{w^4}.$

Thus  $\text{Res}(f, \infty) = \text{Res}_{w=0} \left( \frac{1 + 2w^5}{1 - w} \cdot \frac{1}{w^4} \cdot \frac{-1}{w^2} \right)$

$$= \text{Coeff}_{w^5} - \frac{1 + 2w^5}{1 - w}$$

$$= \text{Coeff}_{w^5} - (1 + 2w^5)(1 + w + w^2 + w^3 + w^4 + w^5 + \dots)$$

$$= -(1 + 2) = -3$$

This is consistent with the residue theorem on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

## Example (Lagrange)

$$f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}. \quad \text{Assume that}$$

- $\deg P = p, \deg Q = q, p \leq q - 2$
- $Q$  has simple roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$

$f$  has poles at  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  and possibly at  $\infty$ .

Method 1

$$\bullet \operatorname{Res}(f, \alpha_i) = \frac{P(\alpha_i)}{Q'(\alpha_i)}$$

$$\bullet \operatorname{Res}(f, \infty) = 0 \quad (\text{next page}).$$

$$\text{Residue Theorem for } \hat{\mathbb{C}} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{P(\alpha_i)}{Q'(\alpha_i)} = 0$$

When  $P(z) = z^p, Q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^q (z - \alpha_i)$ , this gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{\alpha_i^p}{\prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)} = 0 \quad \forall p \leq q-2.$$

$\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  distinct

Proof  $\operatorname{Res} \left( \frac{P}{Q}, \infty \right) = 0$  if  $p \leq g-2$ .

Write  $P = a_0 z^p + \dots + a_p$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$

$Q = b_0 z^2 + \dots + b_g$ ,  $b_0 \neq 0$ .

$$\operatorname{Res} \left( \frac{P}{Q}, \infty \right) = \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \left( \frac{a_0 \frac{1}{w^p} + a_1 \frac{1}{w^{p-1}} + \dots + a_p}{b_0 \frac{1}{w^2} + b_1 \frac{1}{w^{2-1}} + \dots + b_g} \cdot \frac{-1}{w^2} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \left( \frac{w^2}{w^p} \cdot \frac{a_0 + a_1 w + \dots + a_p w^p}{b_0 + b_1 w + \dots + b_g w^2} \cdot \frac{-1}{w^2} \right)$$

$$= - \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \left( w^{2-p-2} \cdot \frac{a_0 + a_1 w + \dots + a_p w^p}{b_0 + b_1 w + \dots + b_g w^2} \right)$$

$= 0$ .

holomorphic near 0 since

$$p+2 \leq g$$

Remark\* (will not use)

Better to speak about residue of forms

$$f dz \quad \overset{\text{versus}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad f$$

Example  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ . Clearly  $\text{Res}_z(f, 0) = 1$ . But if

we change coordinates

$$z = \lambda w \Rightarrow f = \frac{1}{\lambda w} \Rightarrow \text{Res}_w(f, 0) = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

However if we work with **forms**, these issues are **absent**

$$f dz = \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{d(\lambda w)}{\lambda w} = \frac{dw}{w}.$$

Residues of forms are coordinate-independent!

$$\text{This can be seen from } \text{Res}(f, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Delta(a, \varepsilon)} f dz$$

using change of variables formula.



This independence applies to the residue at  $\infty$  as well:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Res}_{z=\infty} (f dz) &= \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \left( g(w) \cdot d\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \right) & z = 1/w \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \left( g(w) \cdot \frac{-dw}{w^2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

This justifies the choice of sign in the definition of the residue at  $\infty$ .

## 2. Applications of the Residue Theorem

- [a] Argument Principle
  - [b] Rouché's Theorem
- } Conway v. 3



Eugene Rouché'

(1832 - 1910)

## [a] The Argument Principle

Order  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  meromorphic,  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in U$ .

$$\text{ord}(f, a) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{a zero of order } n \\ -n, & \text{a pole of order } n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remarks [11]  $\text{ord}(f, a) = n \Leftrightarrow f = (z-a)^n g$

where  $g$  holomorphic near  $a$ ,  $g(a) \neq 0$

This follows by inspecting the Taylor / Laurent expansion.

$$\text{[11]} \quad \text{ord}(fg, a) = \text{ord}(f, a) + \text{ord}(g, a)$$

In deed, let  $\text{ord}(f, a) = m$ ,  $\text{ord}(g, a) = n$ .

Write  $f = (z-a)^m F$ ,  $g = (z-a)^n G$ ,  $F(a), G(a) \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow fg = (z-a)^{m+n} FG \text{ with } FG(a) \neq 0.$$

$$\text{[11]} \Rightarrow \text{ord}(fg, a) = m+n = \text{ord}(f, a) + \text{ord}(g, a).$$