

Math 220 C - Lecture 1

---

March 29, 2021

## Logistics

(1) Zoom lectures - MWF 3-3:50 PM.

2<sup>nd</sup> half - TBD.

(2) Office Hour - W 4-5:30 PM

(3) P Sets - due Fridays, weekly

(4) Grades - HWK & Attendance

(5) Qualifying Exam - TBA

(6) Canvas / Gradescope / Website

[math.ucsd.edu/~dopra/220s21.html](http://math.ucsd.edu/~dopra/220s21.html)

(7) Attendance

## Topics for Math 220c

(1) Harmonic Functions - Conway X.

(2) Hadamard Factorization - Conway XI.

(3) Picard's Theorems - Conway XII.

Math "220D" (if time)

(4) Introduction to Riemann Surfaces.

# 1. Harmonic Functions

Theme:

Harmonic functions share many properties with holomorphic functions

[I] mean value property & integral formulas

[II] maximum modulus principle

[III] convergence theorems

& others  $\leadsto$  HWK 1.

"Cauchy" estimates, Liouville, Open Mapping Thm.

Convention  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  open & connected. We will assume this from now on.

Recall  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  open & connected

$u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  harmonic iff  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2$  and

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0. \quad (\text{Laplace equation}).$$

Recall (Harmonic conjugates, Math 220A, Lecture 1).

If  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic  $\Rightarrow u = \operatorname{Re} f$  harmonic.

$v = \operatorname{Im} f$  harmonic

$u, v$  are said to be harmonic conjugates. provided

$f = u + iv$  is holomorphic.

(so that  $u = \operatorname{Re} f$ ,  $v = \operatorname{Im} f$ ). Note that  $u, v$  satisfy

the Cauchy Riemann equations

$$u_x = v_y$$

$$u_y = -v_x.$$

Lemma Let  $G$  be simply connected.

Any  $u: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  harmonic admits a harmonic conjugate  $v$ .

e.g.  $f = u + iv = \text{holomorphic}$ ,  $u = \operatorname{Re} f$ .

Proof Let  $F = u_x - i u_y$ .

Claim  $F$  holomorphic

Indeed,  $F$  is of class  $C^1$  & satisfies CR equations.

$$(u_x)_x = (-u_y)_y \Leftrightarrow u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \quad \text{true}$$

$$(u_x)_y = -(-u_y)_x \Leftrightarrow u_{xy} = u_{yx} \quad \text{true}$$

$\Rightarrow F$  holomorphic by Math 220, Lecture 2.

Since  $G$  is simply connected,  $F$  admits a primitive

$\Rightarrow F = f'$  for  $f$  holomorphic,  $f = \alpha + i\beta$ .

$$f' = \alpha_x + i\beta_x = F = u_x - i u_y$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_x = u_x$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = u + C.$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_x = -u_y = -\alpha_y \Rightarrow \alpha_y = u_y.$$

Replacing  $f$  by  $f - c$ , we obtain  $u = \operatorname{Re} f$  &  $v = \operatorname{Im} f$  is the conjugate of  $u$ .

---

Remark Math 220A, HWK 2

3. Show that the function  $u : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$u(z) = \log |z|$$

is harmonic, but it is not the real part of a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Thus the lemma above fails for  $G$  not simply connected.

---

## Corollary

$u$  harmonic  $\Rightarrow u$  is of class  $C^\infty$ .

## Proof

Indeed, the statement is local. Let  $a \in G$ . Let  $\bar{\Delta}(a, r) \subseteq G$ .

Since  $\Delta(a, r)$  simply connected,  $u = \operatorname{Re} f$ ,  $f$  holomorphic in  $\Delta(a, r)$ .

A holomorphic function is  $\infty$ -many times complex differentiable

& thus  $\infty$ -many times real differentiable. (Math 220A, Lecture 1).

$\Rightarrow u$  is  $C^\infty$ .



## First Properties of Harmonic Functions

III mean value property (MVP)

III maximum principle (MP)

III Poisson integral formula (next time)

Def  $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous satisfies MVP if

$\forall a \in G, \bar{\Delta}(a, r) \subseteq G.$

$$\underline{u(a)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(a + re^{it}) dt$$

value at center

average value over the boundary.

Theorem  $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  harmonic  $\Rightarrow u$  satisfies M.V.P.

Proof Let  $\overline{\Delta}(a, r) \subseteq \Delta(a, R) \subseteq G$  Write

$u = \operatorname{Re} f$ ,  $f$  holomorphic in  $\Delta(a, R)$ .

Cauchy Integral Formula gives

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Delta(a, r)} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz. \quad \begin{array}{l} z = a + r e^{it} \\ dz = r i e^{it} dt \end{array}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(a + r e^{it})}{r e^{it}} \cdot r i e^{it} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(a + r e^{it}) dt.$$

Take real part on both sides & conclude.

## Maximum Principle

$u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in C^0(G)$  satisfies MVP. Assume

$\exists a \in G$ ,  $u(a) \geq u(z) \forall z \in G$ . Then  $u$  is constant.

Proof Let  $\Omega = \{z : u(z) = u(a)\} \subseteq G$ .

(1)  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  because  $a \in \Omega$ .

(2)  $\Omega$  is closed, since  $u$  is continuous.

(3)  $\Omega$  is open.

Then  $G$  connected  $\Rightarrow \Omega = G \Rightarrow u$  constant.

### Proof of (3)

Let  $z_0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $\bar{\Delta}(z_0, r) \subseteq G$ . We show  $\Delta(z_0, r) \subseteq \Omega$ .

Let  $w \in \Delta(z_0, r)$ .  $\Rightarrow \rho = |w - z_0|$ . Write MVP for  $\partial\Delta(z_0, \rho)$

$$u(a) = u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + \rho e^{it}) dt.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u(z_0 + \rho e^{it}) - u(a)) dt = 0 \Rightarrow$$

Let  $f(t) = u(a) - u(z_0 + \rho e^{it})$ . By assumption,  $f(t) \geq 0$

since  $a$  is a maximum for  $u$ .

Using the Lemma, we have  $f \equiv 0$ . Since  $|w - z_0| = \rho$ , write

$$w = z_0 + \rho e^{it_0} \Rightarrow f(t_0) = u(a) - u(w) = 0 \Rightarrow u(a) = u(w)$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \Omega \Rightarrow \Delta(z_0, r) \subseteq \Omega \Rightarrow \Omega \text{ open.}$$

Lemma  $f: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \geq 0$  and  $f$  continuous

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt = 0 \Rightarrow f \equiv 0.$$

Proof If  $f(t_0) > 0$ , by continuity we can find  $\delta > 0$

such that  $f(t) > \frac{f(t_0)}{2} \quad \forall t \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \cap [0, 2\pi]$ .

Assume  $t_0 \neq 0, 2\pi$  since the proof is similar in those cases.

Then  $f \geq 0$  gives

$$0 = \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt \geq \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} f(t) dt > \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \frac{f(t_0)}{2} dt = \delta f(t_0) > 0.$$

contradiction. Thus  $f \equiv 0$ .

---

### Remark

[I]  $u$  harmonic  $\Rightarrow u$  satisfies maximum principle

[II]  $u$  harmonic  $\Rightarrow -u$  harmonic

$\Rightarrow -u$  satisfies maximum principle

$\Rightarrow u$  satisfies minimum principle

---



*Georg Friedrich Bernhard Riemann*

*17 September 1826 – 20 July 1866*

*Eine harmonische Function  $u$  kann nicht in einem Punkt im Innern ein Minimum oder ein Maximum haben, wenn sie nicht überall constant ist.*

*(A harmonic function  $u$  cannot have either a minimum or a maximum at an interior point unless it is constant.)*

"Grundlagen für eine allgemeine Theorie der Functionen einer veränderlichen complexen Grösse,"  
Dissertation (1851)