Math 2200 - Lecture 11

April 21, 2021

$$f: \sigma \longrightarrow \sigma$$
 enfire of order  $\lambda$ ,  $f \not\equiv 0$ .

$$f(2) = 2^{m} e^{g(2)} / / E_{p} \left(\frac{2}{a_{n}}\right)$$

Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann (');

## PAR M. J. HADAMARD.

1. La décomposition d'une fonction entière F(x) en facteurs primaires, d'après la méthode de M. Weierstrass,

(1) 
$$\mathbf{F}(x) = e^{\mathbf{G}(x)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( \mathbf{I} - \frac{x}{\xi_{k}} \right) e^{\theta_{k}(x)}$$

a conduit à la notion du genre de la fonction F.

On dit que F est du genre E si, dans le second membre de l'équation (1), tous les polynômes  $Q_{\rm P}$  sont de degré E, et que la fonction entière G(x) se réduise également à un polynôme de degré E au plus.

Dans un article inséré au Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France (2), M. Poincaré a démontré une propriété des fonctions de genre E. L'énoncé auquel il est parvenu est le suivant:

Dans une fonction entière de genre E, le coefficient de x<sup>m</sup>, mul-

<sup>(1)</sup> Les principaux résultats contenus dans le présent Mémoire ont été présentés à l'Académie des Sciences dans un travail couronné en 1892 (grand prix des Sciences mathématiques).

<sup>(2)</sup> Année 1883, pages 136 et suiv.

S1. Applications - Picard's Theorems (weak versions) To illustrate the power of this result we show: Application A (Conway 3.6) fontine & not constant & finite order => f omits at most one value. Remark Zittle Picard (next week) removes the assumption the order is finite. Proof Assume fomits & + B. Define  $f^{n \circ \omega} = \frac{f - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \quad \text{omits} \quad 0 \quad \& 1.$ Since from omits 0 => from = c ? & from omits 1 => g emits 0 Since order (fnew) = order (f) < 00 => genus of f " is finite by Hadamard. => g polynomial. a genits o. -> g = constant => f constant. False!

```
Easy Observations (used above)
  We have seen |f(z)| \le e^{|z|^{\lambda+2}} if |z| \ge R_{\epsilon} last lecture.
  If x < 0, let \epsilon > 0 with x + \epsilon < 0. Then |f(x)| \le \epsilon^{|x|^2} = \epsilon
  for 12/2 R and If (2) / 5 M for 12/5 R by continuity. Thus
  f bounded => f constant (order o). Thus 220
  (11) f & of have the same order + or to
Indeed \lambda(\alpha f) \leq \max(\lambda(\alpha), \lambda(f)) = \max(0, \lambda(f)) = \lambda(f) \log U

Similarly \lambda(f) = \lambda(\alpha f, \frac{1}{\alpha}) \leq \lambda(\alpha f). Thus \lambda(f) = \lambda(\alpha f).
     [a) f & f - & have the same order
 Same proof as in [4] using sums versus products
     10 f & Pf have the same order + P polynomial.
 Thue > (Pf) = 2(f)
```

## Application B

contradiction.

f entire of finite order &  $\lambda \neq Z \Rightarrow f$  assumes each of its values infinitely many times.

Remark Great Picard (next week) strengthens this result.

Show frew has we many georges. Assume frew has

finitely many geroes a, ..., an. Let P = TT (2-a). Then

f new/P has no zeroes so it equals eq. =>

=> f new = P = 3. Note by previous remarks we have

order f = order f = order = g < 0. = genus < 0

=> g polynomial & order (e3) = deg g & Zl. => order (f) & Zl

Plan for the Proof of Hadamard h < 2 1 +1

- $\frac{11}{2}$   $\lambda \leq k+1$  (today).
- $\frac{100}{100} \text{ f } \leq \lambda \qquad \qquad p \leq \lambda \qquad (next \text{ hme})$   $deg \ g \leq \lambda \quad (next \text{ hme})$

§ 2. First half of Hadamard

 $w T s \lambda \leq k + 1$ 

WLOG h finite, else we're done.

Key Jemma log / Ep (w) / \le Cp / w/ p+1 for some Cp > 0.

Proof Recall 
$$f(2) = 2^m e^g / / E_p(\frac{2}{a_n})$$
. with  $\lambda \leq R+1$ .

Nok

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{2}{a_n} \right| = \left| \frac{2}{a_n}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

where 
$$K = C_p \sum_{|a_n|^{p+1}} < \infty$$
. Thus order  $\leq p+1$ , as needed.

Remark (will not prove / use)

order 
$$\pi$$
  $= \frac{2}{a_n} = \alpha$  (exercise in Conway)

Recall 
$$E_p(w) = (1-w) \exp\left(w + \frac{w^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

We induct on p.

When p = 0,

log /1-w/ \ log (1 + /w/) \ /w/ so take Co = 2.

Inductive skp

11 When /w/ > 1 . Noke

$$E_{p}(w) = E_{p-1}(w) = \times p\left(\frac{w^{p}}{p}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\log |E_{p}(w)|}{\log |E_{p-1}(w)|} + \frac{\log |E_{p}(w)|}{|E_{p-1}(w)|}$$

$$= C_{p-1} / w / P + Re \left(\frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

$$\leq C_{p-1}/w/p + \left|\frac{w^p}{p}\right| = \left(\frac{c_{p-1}}{p} + \frac{1}{p}\right)/w/p$$

$$E_p(w) = (1-w) \exp\left(w + \frac{w^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{w^p}{p^p}\right)$$

$$= \exp \left(-\frac{w^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{w^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots\right)$$

using Taylor expansion

$$\log (1-w) = -w - \frac{w^2}{2} - \dots - \frac{w^k}{k} - \dots - \int_{\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = -w / (1-w) < 1.$$

Then

$$\log |E_{p}(w)| = \log \left| \exp \left( -\frac{w^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{w^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots \right) \right|$$

$$= R_{c} \left( - \frac{\omega^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{\omega^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{w^{k}}{k} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \right|^{p+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{p+k+1}$$

$$\leq |w|^{p+1} \geq |w|^{k} \leq k \geq 0$$

$$\langle |w|^{p+1} \rangle \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} = 2|w|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

Take  $c_p = max(2, 2(c_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p}))$ . We obtain in both

cases