

Math 220 A - Lecture 11

October 30, 2020

Midterm - Friday Nov 6

- closed book, closed notes
- honor code - no zoom proctoring
- available Friday 3PM, due Friday 4 PM.
- upload answers in Grade Scope
- time zone issues - email me
- buffer : 10 minutes to upload solutions, 4:10 PM.
- if questions arise, please email.

## [1.] Last time

We looked at **zeros** of holomorphic functions.

The following result guarantees **existence**.

Lemma  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\overline{\Delta}(a, r) \subseteq U$

Assume  $\min_{z \in \partial \Delta} |f(z)| > |f(a)|$ . Then  $f$  has a zero in  $U$ .

Proof Assume  $f \neq 0$ , let  $g = \frac{1}{f}$ .

$$\text{Note } |g(a)| = \frac{1}{|f(a)|} > \frac{1}{\min_{z \in \partial \Delta} |f(z)|} = \max_{z \in \partial \Delta} |g(z)|.$$

This contradicts the  $k=0$  case of **Cauchy's estimate**

$$|g(a)| \leq \max_{z \in \partial \Delta} |g(z)| \quad (\text{last time})$$

Thus  $f$  has a zero in  $U$ .

Main Theorems [i] Identity Principle

[ii] Open Mapping Theorem

[iii] Maximum Modulus Principle

## [2] Open Mapping Theorem.

Recall:  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is open map if  $\forall U \subseteq X$  open,

$f(U)$  is open.

$f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x^2$  is not open,  $U = (-1, 1)$ ,  $f(U) = [0, 1)$

$f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto z^2$  is open. This is because:

Theorem  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  not constant holomorphic  $\Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  is open.

Proof Suffices to show  $f(U)$  is open. Else if

$V \subseteq U$ , work with  $f|_V: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . This is not constant

because of identity principle.

Let  $a \in U$ . We may assume  $f(a) = 0$ .

Claim  $\exists r$  such that  $\Delta(0, r) \subseteq f(u)$ . This would show  $f(u)$  contains a neighborhood of  $f(a) = 0$ .  $\Rightarrow f(u)$  open.

Proof Since  $u$  open  $\Rightarrow \exists \bar{\Delta}(a) \subseteq u$ . We may assume  $f|_{\partial \bar{\Delta}(a)}$  has no zeros. (Argue by contradiction. This would give a sequence of accumulating zeros for  $f$  contradicting identity principle).

$$\text{Let } r = \frac{1}{2} \min_{z \in \partial \bar{\Delta}(a)} |f(z)| > 0.$$

Let  $w \in \Delta(0, r)$ . We need to show  $\exists z \in u, f(z) = w$ .

Apply the lemma to  $f - w$  to guarantee  $\exists$  zero  $z$  for  $f - w$ .

We need

$$\min_{z \in \partial \bar{\Delta}(a)} |f(z) - w| > |f(a) - w| = |0 - w| = |w|$$

In deed,

$$|f(z) - w| \geq |f(z)| - |w| \geq 2r - |w| \stackrel{?}{>} |w|$$

since  $|w| < r$ . This completes the proof.

Example  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  not constant

$$P(\operatorname{Re} f, \operatorname{Im} f) = 0 \Rightarrow f \text{ constant.}$$

$$P = ax + by - c.$$

$$a \operatorname{Re} f + b \operatorname{Im} f = c \Rightarrow f \text{ constant.}$$

$$P = x^{2020} + y^{2020} - 1.$$

$$(\operatorname{Re} f)^{2020} + (\operatorname{Im} f)^{2020} = 1 \Rightarrow f \text{ constant.}$$

Proof By OMT,  $f(U)$  is open so it contains a disc  $\Delta$ .

$$\text{Since } P(\operatorname{Re} f, \operatorname{Im} f) = 0 \Rightarrow f(U) \subseteq \{(x, y) : P(x, y) = 0\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \subseteq \{(x, y) : P(x, y) = 0\} \text{ This cannot happen.}$$

$$\text{Indeed, write } P(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k(x) y^k.$$

Fix  $x$  such that  $a_N(x) \neq 0$ . (finitely many roots). For such

$x$ ,  $y$  takes on at most  $N$  values for which  $P(x, y) = 0$ .

But if  $\Delta \subseteq \{(x, y) : P(x, y) = 0\}$ , for each  $x$  there would be

$\infty$ -many  $y$ 's. contradiction.

Example  $f: U \rightarrow V$  bijective, holomorphic &  $f'(a) \neq 0$

$\forall a \in U$ . Then  $f^{-1}$  holomorphic.

Proof We show  $f^{-1}$  continuous. This is the OMT.

$$(f^{-1})^{-1}(W) = f(W) = \text{open}. \quad \forall W \subseteq V \text{ open}.$$

We show  $f^{-1}$  is differentiable. Use the definition.

$$\begin{aligned} / &= \frac{f(f^{-1}(z+h)) - f(f^{-1}(z))}{h} = \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(f^{-1}(z+h)) - f(f^{-1}(z))}{f^{-1}(z+h) - f^{-1}(z)} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{-1}(z+h) - f^{-1}(z)}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

The first limit exists since  $f$  is holomorphic &  $f^{-1}$  is

continuous. It equals  $f'(f^{-1}(z)) \neq 0$ . The second limit must

exist as well, giving the derivative  $(f^{-1})'(z) = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(z))}$ .

Remark We assumed  $f'(a) \neq 0 \quad \forall a$ . This is automatic

(see later).