Math 220 8 - Leoture 3

January 8, 2021

Then
$$(*) \qquad F(2) = \frac{1}{1} \left(1 + f_k(2)\right).$$

$$* = 1$$

converges absolutely for all ze 21.

$$= \sum_{k=n}^{m} |f_k(z)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } z \in K$$

check.

m
$$\sum \sup_{k=n} |f_k(a)| \langle \epsilon, \langle = \rangle \sum \sup_{k=1} |f_k| \langle \infty.$$

$$k = n \quad \text{formal convergence}.$$

This is simply the Weierstaß m-test, with Mx (K) = sup (fx (2)) So [117 => 1€. Proposition Assume ZIIII converges locally uniformly. the partial products of (\*) converge locally uniformly to F F is holomorphic [11] F(2) =0 (=> 3 k w th 1+ fx (20) =0 Further more, ord  $(F, 20) = \sum_{k=1}^{6} \text{ ord } (1+f_k, 20).$ Proof Recall from last time  $Z_{og}(1+2)$  is confinuous in  $\Delta(0,1)$ Important inequality: 3 p < 1 such that

/ 209 (1+2) / \( \frac{3}{2} \) 12/ if 12/ \( \frac{1}{2} \)

```
Proof of 10 Zet K C u compact. By Remark 10
  JN such that if k > N => If (2)/<p for 2 e K
  => by important inequality
           /Zog (1+fk (2))/ < 3 1fk (2)/ for 2 EK, R>N.
    Since \( \frac{1}{4} \) converges uniformly by assumption,
companison to

=> \sum_{k>1} \log (1+f_k(2)) converges (absolutely). uniformly on k.

k=1
 W_{n}'k G_{n} = \sum_{k=N}^{n} \lambda_{og} (1 + f_{k}(2)) \xrightarrow{\kappa} G.
 Note that En is continuous since 209 (1+ w) is continuous
  for /w/ <p. Thus & is also continuous.
            Since Gn = G, by the claim a below
            = \frac{n}{11} \left( 1 + f_k(z) \right) \stackrel{\sim}{=} e^{G} \left( 1 + f_1(z) \right) \dots \left( 1 + f_{N-2}(z) \right) \left( + \right)
```

( Uniform convergence a fler multiplication uses claim 151 below)

Thus

 $F = e^{c} (1+f_1) \dots (1+f_{N-1})$  in K. & the convergence is

uniform, in x, completing the proof.

[11] F holomorphic by [1] (local uniform convergence)

& Weiershaß Convergence theorem.

IIII Recall from Cast home that

F(20) =0 (=> I & with 1+ fx(20) =0.

To prove the assertion about orders, consider (+)

in  $k = \overline{\Delta}$ ,  $\Delta$  neighb. of 20

$$F(2_0) = e^{G(2_0)} (1 + f_1(2_0)) \dots (1 + f_{-1}(2_0))$$

=> ord  $(F, 2_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ord}(1 + f_k, 2_0)$ 

 $=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ord} (1+f_k, \stackrel{?}{}_{\circ}).$ 

using that  $1+f_R \neq 0$  for  $R \geq N$  (because  $1+f_R + 1 in <math>K$ .)

Remark A newly ging the proof, we see the argument only requires

\[ \langle \la

The following standard claims were used in the proof:

6/aim 1al Jet un be continuous, un = u. Then e un = e.

15) If  $u_n = u$ ,  $v_n = v$  (  $u_n, v_n$  continuous). Then

 $u_n v_n \Longrightarrow uv$ 

Proof 101 Suffices to show suple 2n = 2/ -> 0.

Compuk  $\sup_{K} |e^{u_n} - e^{u}| = \sup_{K} |e^{u}| \cdot |e^{u_n} - n|$  $\leq \sup_{K} |\varepsilon^{u}| \cdot \sup_{K} |\varepsilon^{u_{n}-u}|$  $= M \cdot \sup_{K} |e^{2n-2t}| < \varepsilon M \quad for \quad n \ge N.$ why? By continuity, I soo: lew-1/ < E if IN/ < S. Since un => 2 with /un-u/25 on K => / e 2n-21 / < E Proof of 161 We show sup / zin vn - ziv/ -- o. Indeed by triangle inequality  $\sup_{K} |u_n v_n - uv| \leq \sup_{K} |(u_n - u)(v_n - v)| + \sup_{K} |u(v_n - v)|$ + sup / v (u, - u)/  $\leq \sup |u_n - u| \cdot \sup |v_n - v| + \sup |u| \cdot \sup |v_n - v| + \sup |v| \cdot \sup |u_n - u|$  K K K K $\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{since } \quad \sup_{K} |u_n - u| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and } \quad \sup_{K} |v_n - v| \longrightarrow 0.$ 

## Logarithmic derivative

Taking derivatives of products is messy. It is easier to

= holomorphic away from Zeroch)

Addition formula

$$\mathcal{L} = fg \implies \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{g'}{g}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}' = f'g + fg' = \frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{f'g + fg'}{\mathcal{L}g} = \frac{f' + g'}{f}.$$

$$\frac{\ln d \operatorname{uch} \operatorname{re}/y}{f} \qquad h = f, \dots f_s \implies \frac{h'}{h} = \frac{f_s'}{f_s} + \dots + \frac{f_s'}{f_s}$$

We prove the same for infinite products.

Proposition 
$$h = \frac{\pi}{11} f_{\overline{k}}$$
. Away from Zero(h):

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}'}{\mathcal{R}} = \sum_{A=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_A'}{f_A}$$

The RHS converges locally uniformly on U \ Zero(h).

Proof Recall from (+) in the previous Proof that

for  $K = D \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , D neighborhood of an arbitrary point

7 N with

$$F_n = \frac{n}{1/2} \quad f_k \xrightarrow{\ell.u.} F = e^G \quad \text{on} \quad \Delta$$

$$G = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \log (n + g_k)$$

Note 
$$h = f_1 - f_{N-1}$$
  $f_k = f_1 - f_{N-1}$   $e^c$ 

$$= \frac{f_1}{h} = \frac{f_1}{f_1} + \dots + \frac{f_{N-1}}{f_{N-1}} + \frac{(e^c)'}{e^c}.$$

$$= \frac{f_1}{h} = \frac{f_1}{f_1} + \dots + \frac{f_{N-1}}{f_{N-1}} + \frac{(e^c)^2}{e^c}$$

$$= \frac{h}{h} = \frac{f_1}{h} + \dots + \frac{f_{N-1}}{f_{N-1}} + \frac{f_2}{e^c}$$

$$= \frac{h}{h} = \frac{f_1}{h} + \dots + \frac{f_{N-1}}{f_N} + \frac{f_2}{h} = \frac{f_1}{h} + \dots + \frac{f_N}{f_N}$$

$$= \frac{h}{h} + \dots + \frac{f_N}{f_N} + \dots + \frac{f_$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=N}^{n} f_{k}}{f_{k}} = \frac{f_{n}}{f_{n}} \cdot so \text{ we show } \frac{f_{n}}{f_{n}} \stackrel{\text{l.u.}}{=} \frac{(e^{c})^{l}}{e^{c}}$$

Note 
$$F_n \stackrel{\text{e.u.}}{\longrightarrow} e^c$$
 so by Weiershap  $F_n \stackrel{\text{e.u.}}{\longrightarrow} (e^c)$ 

Claim ICI below.

$$=$$
  $\frac{1}{u_n} \stackrel{1}{\underset{\kappa}{\longrightarrow}} \frac{1}{u}$ .

Proof We show sup 
$$\left|\frac{1}{u_n} - \frac{1}{u}\right| \to 0$$
. for  $n \to \infty$ .

Compute sup 
$$\left|\frac{1}{u_n} - \frac{1}{u}\right| = \sup_{k} \frac{|u_n - u|}{|u_n|}$$

$$\leq \sup_{K} |u_n - u| \cdot \frac{1}{\inf_{K} |u_n|} \xrightarrow{\int_{K} |u_n|} 0$$