



Cauchy

Weiershap





Let us a open a connected set.

Doff f: u - & is complex differentiable (CD) provided Neau.

$$\lim_{k\to 0} \frac{f(2+k)-f(2)}{k} := f'(2).$$

Examples

II fig complex differentiable => f+g, fg complex diff- (CD?

[ 1, 2, 2°, ... 2°... are CD.

CD = complex differentiable

RD = real differentiable

We have seen the same definition for f: u - R, u = R.

The two definitions have different consequences. For imstance

17 f RD. this statement fails.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{2} \sin \frac{1}{x^{2}}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow f = \begin{cases} 2x^{2} \cos \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \cos \frac{1}{x^{2}}, & -\frac{2}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

f' is not even continuous af o.

7 RD. => 7 - Tay for =xanoron.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

This function is to and f (h) (o) = o.

The Taylor series of f is gero but f(x) fo for a near o

Full & cb on u = e + f bounded =7 & constant This fails for RD. f (x) = sin x, coo x

 $|V| \neq g \in D & \neq g \quad \text{on} \quad V \subseteq U \quad \Rightarrow f \equiv g \quad m \quad 2U.$ This fails for RD.

A more appropriate comparison is with functions of two real variables.

Identify & & R?, & = x + iy \ [\frac{x}{y}] \ \ R?

Dof f: u = R? - R? is said to be rol diff. (RD). provided ¥ 2 e U 3 A : R2 - R, 5 R - Cinear & A = Of (2). Lim 1 7 (2+h) - f (2) - A 2 1 = 0. (Rudin, 3.11).

Romark If f is CD. => f is RD. Indeed, we can take

A: R? \_\_\_\_ R?, A = multiplication by f'(2).

Romark/f f is RD. Write f = u + iv. = > au, au, av, ay exist

Claim A = [ux 21y] = Jacobian matrix

Indeed if f is RD => lim 1f(x+h,y) - f(x,y) -hA[0]11

there is RD => lim 1f(x+h,y) - f(x,y) -hA[0]11

=> A [1] = fx = [4x]. Similarly A [1] = [4]

Romank Conversely, if ux, uy, v, vy all exist #> f is RD.

 $T_{\alpha} k_{e} = f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0, (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$ 

If ux, uy, vx, vy exist & are continuous => f is Rt. (Rudin 9.21).

Zemma A: R? - R? IR - linear map. TFAE.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$
 for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

67 = 10 clear.

$$A \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] = A i = di = ai - b = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -b \\ a \end{array} \right]$$

(5) => (1) 17 A = [ 0 a ] => set \ \alpha = a + 6. . Apply part [5] to conclude AZ = QZ.

Remark det A = a2 + 62 => either det A>0. or else A = 0. => either A = 0 or A is enestation preserving.

Remark The lemma shows that TEAE

The first CD.

11) f is RD. & Df (2) is & - linear.

Remark ( Caushy - Rieman reguation).

If f is cb =  $Df(2) = \begin{bmatrix} u_x & v_x \\ u_y & v_y \end{bmatrix}$  is  $\alpha - linear$ 

 $= \begin{cases} u_{\times} = v_{y} \\ v_{\times} = -u_{y} \end{cases} \quad (Cauchy - Riemann).$ 

Remark Conversely. if u, v are c'a satisfy Cauchy - Riemann equation

=> f = a + iv is CD.

Indeed, f is RD + Df(+) = [ vx ug ] is C-linear

since of has the shape in demma [].

## Harmonic Junctions

Assume 21, 2 satisfy Cauchy - Rismann (CR)

Assums u, v are C.

 $\Rightarrow 2 u_{xx} + 2 u_{yy} = 0.$   $\Rightarrow u, v \text{ are harmonic}$ 

=> v<sub>xx</sub> + v<sub>zz</sub> =0.

Corolusion If fio CD => Ref = u, Imf = v are harmonic

(u,v) are said to be harmonic conjugates

## Notation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

$$2 = x + iy = x = \frac{1}{2} (2 + 2)$$

$$\overline{2} = \times -iy = y = \frac{1}{2i} (z - \overline{2})$$

Think of 2, 2 as being independent variables. Apply chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}$$

$$=\frac{3}{3\times}\cdot\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{3y}\cdot\frac{1}{2i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{3\times}-2\cdot\frac{3}{3y}\right).$$

The case 2 is similar.

"fonly depends on z not on Z.

$$\frac{\chi_{emma}}{2} = 0.$$

$$\frac{Poo \circ f}{\partial \overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2} (f_{\times} + i f_{\overline{y}}) \stackrel{?}{=} \circ \langle = \rangle f_{\times} \stackrel{?}{=} - i f_{\overline{y}}.$$

$$\langle = \rangle u_{\times} + i v_{\times} = - i (u_{\overline{y}} + i v_{\overline{y}})$$

$$\langle = \rangle u_{\times} = v_{\overline{y}}$$

$$v_{\times} = - u_{\overline{y}}$$

These are the Cauchy - Riemann equations.