Math 220 A - Lecture 13

November 9, 2020

Jogish'es

Wed, Nov 11 - holiday - no lecture

Office Hour - Wed 4- 5-PM (discuss homework, midlerm)

Questions about the midterm?

dast hme - Laurent expansion

Theorem Zet f: a (a; r, R) - a holomorphic. Then

 $f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - a)^k \quad \text{can be represented as}$

Zaurent series, converging absolutely & uniformly on compact subsets of \triangle (a, r, R).

Today - classification of singularities Conway V. 1.

- characterization of singularities.

Types of singularities f: A* (a, R) - o , holomorphic. $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - a)^n$ dangent sories. Terminology (1) the coefficient of (2-a): $a_{-1} = R_{es} f = residue$ $\frac{-1}{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}} a_n (a-a)^n = principal part.$ A a = 0 + k < 0 (=> Taylor expansion f extends holomorphically across a Removable singularity 187 ak =0 + k<-N, a-N +0 Pole of order N. 10 a to, k to happens infinitely often

Essential singularity

Case A a removable singularity

Thrown A TFAE f: D* (a, R) - & holomorphic

11 f extends holomorphically across a

in fextends continuously across a

Im f bounded mear a

//v/ /im $f(z) \cdot (z-a) = 0$.

Proof [1] => [11] => [11] is obvious

WLOG a = 0, elee work with f(2+a).

We show ak = 0 + k <0. Fix E>0. Since

 $\lim_{z\to 0} z f(z) = 0 = > |f(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|z|} \text{ if } |z| < \delta$.

We have for oxrxs<R:

 $|a_{k}| = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(2)}{2^{k+1}} dz / \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{k+1}} \cdot 2\pi r$

= <u>\&</u>. -

 $/f \quad k = -1: \quad |a_{-1}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall \quad \varepsilon > 0 \implies a_{-1} = 0.$

/f & <-1, take E=1, /a / < 1 make r→0

to obtain a = 0. since k <-1.

Example f: u - a holomorphic

 $g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{2 - a}, & 2 \neq a \\ f'(a), & 2 = a \end{cases}$ $f'(a), & 2 = a \end{cases}$

Indeed f(z) - f(a) has a removable singularity at a

by Hem M. & g is the continuous I holomorphic extension across a.

$$f(2) = (2-a)^{-N}g(2)^{-N} holomorphic$$

$$g(2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k-N} (2-a)^k, \quad g(a) = a_{-N} \neq 0.$$

$$\frac{1}{f(z)} = (2-a)^{N} \cdot \frac{1}{g(z)}, \quad \frac{1}{g} \text{ holomorphic mean } a$$

$$f$$
 pole of order N at $a \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{f}$ zero of order N at a

$$\frac{P_{coof}}{P_{coof}} \implies \boxed{10} \implies w_{nik} \quad f(2) = (2-a)^{-N}g(2).$$

$$= \frac{1f(2)}{-\frac{1g(2)}{2-a}} \ge \frac{M}{2-a} \quad Make 2 \longrightarrow a \neq 0$$

conclude lim f(z) = 00.

|U| = |U| |U|

=> $\frac{1}{f}$ bounded mear $a => \frac{1}{f}$ can be extended across a holomorphically. Note the extension vanishes at a , say of order N => f has a pole at a of order N.

Definition 5 & u discrete. A function of holomorphic in U \ 5, with at worst poles at S is called meromorphic.

Example $f(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad u = c \quad meromorphic.$

 $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{x}}, \quad \alpha = c^{\times}.$

Check 2 = 1 , n ∈ Z ore poles. These do not

$$u = c^*$$

a essential singularity
$$\epsilon g$$
. $f(z) = c^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$E \times ample \qquad f(x) = e^{\frac{1}{x^2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \frac{1}{x^k} \cdot \Rightarrow$$

Question How does f behave near a?

Theorem (Big Picard Theorem) - 220 C.

$$\forall \Delta^*(a, E) \subseteq \Delta^*(a, R), f(\Delta^*(a, E)) = C \text{ or } C \setminus \{point\}.$$

Example f(2) = 0 2. a = 0.

 $\frac{C \ln m}{r} \qquad f \quad (\Delta^* (o, \varepsilon)) = C \setminus \{o\}, \quad \forall \ \varepsilon. > o$

 $\frac{p_{-0}}{2} \qquad y \neq 0: \qquad y = c^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad z \in \Delta^*(0, \varepsilon).$

(=) = log y + 2 n Ti for any choice of log

 $(=) 2 = \frac{1}{\log y + 2n\pi^2} \cdot \in \Delta^*(0, \Sigma) \text{ if } n >> 0.$

Thrown c (Casorati - Woiorstaß) f: 2*(a, R) - c

f has essential singularity at a

[11] + △*(a, E) ⊆ △* (a, R), f (△*(a, E)) is

dense in C.

Proof I => [11] Assume for some E70, the set $f(\Delta^*(a, E))$ is not dense in C. Then $A \Delta(\lambda, R)$ $(*). \quad f(\Delta^*(q \epsilon)) \cap \Delta(\lambda, R) = \phi.$ Define $g = \frac{1}{f-\lambda}$ in $\Delta^*(q \in \Sigma)$. By (*) we know $|f-\alpha| \geq R$ in $\Delta^*(q_{\epsilon}) = \frac{1915}{R}$ in $\Delta^*(q_{\epsilon})$ Thm A a is removable singularity for g. But $f = \lambda + \frac{1}{g} \cdot (+)$ If a is not a zero for g => 1 holomorphic =>

f exknds holomorphically across a => removable singularity. If a is a gero for $g = 3 \frac{1}{g}$ has a pole at a = 3=> f has pole. at a. Both cases are impossible.

III => 11 Assume a removable singularity =>

ThmA => f bounded near a => JM>0, E>0 with

1 f (2) / < M in = = (a, E)

=> f (\(\D * (a, \varepsilon) \) cannot be dense.

Assume a pole => /m $f(z) = \infty =>$ $z \rightarrow a$

=> 3 E>0, 1f(2)/21 in 4 (a,E) =>

=> f (1 * (a, E)) cannot be dense.

Thus a is essential singularity.



Jelice Casorati 1835 - 1890



Weierstraf

Karl Weiershaß

1815 - 1897



Emile Ficard