

## HW1 - SOLUTIONS

**Q1.** Write  $f = u + iv$ . Since  $u^2 + iv^2$  is twice complex differentiable,  $u^2$  is harmonic. We find

$$\begin{aligned}(u^2)_{xx} &= (2uu_x)_x = 2uu_{xx} + 2u_x^2, \quad (u^2)_{yy} = 2uu_{yy} + 2u_y^2 \\ \implies (u^2)_{xx} + (u^2)_{yy} &= 2u(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) + 2(u_x^2 + u_y^2).\end{aligned}$$

Using that  $u$  is harmonic, we find  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ . We derive

$$u_x^2 + u_y^2 = 0 \implies u_x = u_y = 0.$$

Therefore,  $u$  is constant on  $U$ . In a similar fashion,  $v$  is constant on  $U$ , hence  $f$  is constant.

**Q2.** (i) We find the radius of convergence using the ratio test. Let

$$c_n = \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} \frac{1}{n!}.$$

The ratio of two consecutive coefficients is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} &= \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{(a_j)_{n+1}}{(a_j)_n} \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{(b_j)_n}{(b_j)_{n+1}} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} = \prod_{j=1}^p (a_j + n) \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{b_j + n} \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= n^{p-q-1} \prod_{j=1}^p \left( \frac{a_j}{n} + 1 \right) \prod_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{b_j}{n} + 1 \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

The last product terms converge to 1. The behavior of the limit is dictated by  $n^{p-q-1}$ . Indeed,

- If  $p - q < 1$ , the above ratio converges to 0, hence  $R^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = 0 \implies R = \infty$ .
- If  $p - q = 1$ , the above ratio converges to 1, hence  $R = 1$ .
- If  $p - q > 1$ , the above ratio converges to  $\infty$ , hence  $R = 0$ .

(ii) We differentiate term by term within the radius of convergence  $R = 1$  to find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dw}{dz} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n}{(b)_n} \frac{z^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n}{(b)_n} \frac{z^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}.\end{aligned}$$

The identity

$$z(1-z) \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + (b - (1 + a_1 + a_2)z) \frac{dw}{dz} - a_1 a_2 w = 0$$

follows by direct computation, by explicitly computing the coefficient of  $z^n$  on the left hand side to be 0. We will leave the routine verification to the reader.

(iii) We have  $(1)_n = n!$ ,  $(2)_n = (n+1)!$ . By direct computation

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 1; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_n(1)_n}{(1)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(1, 2; 1; z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_n(2)_n}{(1)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)z^n = \frac{d}{dz} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} \right) = \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{z}{1-z} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Q3.** We write  $f(x, y) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  where

$$u(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y^2}{x^2 + y^4} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases} \text{ and } v(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y^3}{x^2 + y^4} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}.$$

We claim

$$u_x(0, 0) = v_x(0, 0) = u_y(0, 0) = v_y(0, 0) = 0.$$

For instance

$$u_x(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(h, 0) - u(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0 - 0}{h} = 0.$$

The other derivatives are found in a similar fashion. Hence, the Cauchy-Riemann equations are trivially satisfied at  $z = 0$ .

However, let  $z_t = ct^2 + it$ . Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_t) - f(0)}{z_t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{c^2 t^2 t^2 (ct^2 + it)}{c^2 t^4 + t^4} \frac{1}{(ct^2 + it)} = \frac{c^2}{c^2 + 1}.$$

Hence, the limit depends on the value of  $c$  which mean  $f$  is not differentiable at 0.

This does not contradict with the result proved in a class because partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are not continuous at  $z = 0$ . For instance, direct calculation shows that

$$u_x(t^2, t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \neq u_x(0, 0) = 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Q4.** (i) By the quotient rule we find

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{\exp(\ell(z))}{z} \right) = \frac{\ell' z \exp(\ell(z)) - \exp(\ell(z))}{z^2} = 0.$$

It follows that  $\exp(\ell(z)) = cz$  for some constant  $z$ . Note  $c \neq 0$  since otherwise  $\exp(\ell(z)) = 0$  which is impossible. Let  $d \in \mathbb{C}$  be a constant such that

$$\exp(d) = \frac{1}{c}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\exp(\ell(z) + d) = \exp(\ell(z)) \cdot \exp(d) = cz \cdot \frac{1}{c} = z.$$

(ii) The radius of convergence of the power series is

$$R = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{k} = 1.$$

For  $z \in \Delta_1(1)$ , we can differentiate term by term within the radius of convergence

$$L'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} k (z-1)^{k-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (z-1)^k = \frac{1}{1+(z-1)} = \frac{1}{z}.$$

Note that the third equality is because the series is geometric. Also,  $L(1) = 0$ .

Hence, by (i),  $L(z)$  is a logarithm function on  $\Delta_1(1)$ .

(iii) Note if  $z \in \Delta_{|a|}(z)$  then  $|\frac{z}{a} - 1| < 1$ . Therefore, we are in the regime where part (ii) applies. We clearly have that  $L(\frac{z}{a}) + b$  is continuous. Furthermore, we compute using (ii) that

$$\exp\left(L\left(\frac{z}{a}\right) + b\right) = \exp\left(L\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)\right) \cdot \exp(b) = \frac{z}{a} \cdot a = z.$$

This proves that  $L(\frac{z}{a}) + b$  is a logarithm function in  $\Delta_{|a|}(a)$ .

(iv) Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  be two logarithm functions. Then

$$e^{\ell_1(z) - \ell_2(z)} = 1$$

for  $z \in U$ . Therefore, for any  $z \in U$ , there exists  $n_z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\ell_1(z) - \ell_2(z) = 2n_z i\pi.$$

However, as  $\frac{1}{2\pi}(\ell_1(z) - \ell_2(z))$  is a continuous function on  $U$  which is integer valued, it must be locally constant, hence constant since  $U$  is connected. Therefore,

$$\ell_1 - \ell_2 = 2\pi in$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(v) Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  be a connected open set. Suppose  $a \in U$  and pick  $\epsilon < 1$  sufficiently small so that  $\epsilon\Delta_{|a|}(a) \subseteq U$ . By (iii) and (iv), there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\ell(z) = L\left(\frac{z}{a}\right) + b_a + 2\pi in \text{ for } z \in \epsilon\Delta_{|a|}(a).$$

It is clear from this expression that  $\ell$  is complex differentiable at  $a$ . As  $a$  is arbitrary in  $U$ ,  $\ell$  is differentiable everywhere on  $U$ .

**Q5.** Let  $f$  be complex differentiable. For simplicity, we write  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  for the two derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ . The Cauchy Riemann equations give

$$\bar{\partial}f = 0.$$

Taking complex conjugates, we have

$$\partial\bar{f} = 0.$$

We compute

$$\partial\bar{\partial}|f|^2 = \partial\bar{\partial}(f \cdot \bar{f}) = \partial(f \cdot \partial\bar{f} + f \cdot \bar{\partial}\bar{f}) = \partial(f \cdot \bar{\partial}\bar{f}).$$

Here, we used the product rule and the fact that  $\partial\bar{f} = 0$  in the last step. Applying the product rule again, we find

$$\partial\bar{\partial}|f|^2 = \partial f \cdot \bar{\partial}\bar{f} + f \cdot \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{f} = \partial f \cdot \overline{\partial f} + \bar{\partial}\partial\bar{f} = |\partial f|^2 + 0 = |\partial f|^2 = |f'(z)|^2.$$

For the second equality, we used that  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  commute, and for the next equality, we used that  $\partial\bar{f} = 0$  one more time.

Assuming  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are complex differentiable such that

$$|f_1(z)|^2 + \dots + |f_m(z)|^2 = 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}(|f_1(z)|^2 + \dots + |f_m(z)|^2) &= 0 \implies |f'_1(z)|^2 + \dots + |f'_m(z)|^2 = 0 \\ \implies f'_k(z) &= 0 \implies f_k = \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .