YOUNG SYMMETRIZER MODULES

Let $U = \mathbb{C}^2$ and let d > 0 be an integer.

Let A be the algebra $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} (\operatorname{Sym}^n U)^{\otimes d}$ and $B = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} D^d(\operatorname{Sym}^n U)$ the subalgebra of S_d -invariants. Then A is a free B-module and we explain how to decompose it.

For each partition λ of d, we let

$$M_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\operatorname{Sym}^n U)$$

be the corresponding module of covariants.

First, we record characters of $\mathbf{GL}(U)$ as q^i for the function $\begin{bmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto t_1^i t_2^j$ independent of what j is. So the character of $\operatorname{Sym}^n U$ is $1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^n=[n+1]_q$. Then the equivariant Hilbert series of A and B are

$$H_A(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} [n+1]^d t^n,$$

$$H_B(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} h_d(1, q, \dots, q^n) t^n$$

where h_d is the dth homogeneous symmetric polynomial. The first one simplifies by an identity of Carlitz as follows (see [C], though it's not stated in this exact form, but one that can be transformed to it). Given a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, σ has a descent at i if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$. We let $des(\sigma)$ be the number of descents, and $maj(\sigma)$ be the sum of the descents. Then Carlitz's identity says

$$H_A(t) = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} t^{\operatorname{des}(\sigma)} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}}{(1 - t)(1 - qt) \cdots (1 - q^d t)}.$$

For the second formula, we have the identity

$$h_d(1, q, \dots, q^n) = \begin{bmatrix} n+d \\ d \end{bmatrix}_q$$

and so

$$H_B(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^dt)}.$$

(This can be proven using q-Pascal identity.) Since B is free over A, the quotient

$$\frac{\mathbf{H}_{A}(t)}{\mathbf{H}_{B}(t)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{d}} t^{\operatorname{des}(\sigma)} q^{\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}$$

is the Hilbert series for the minimal generators for A as a B-module.

Next, given a standard Young tableau T, we say T has a descent at i if i+1 appears in a lower row than i. We define des(T) to be the set of descents of T and maj(T) to be the sum of descents of T. The RSK algorithm gives a bijection $\sigma \mapsto (P(\sigma), Q(\sigma))$ between

Date: October 27, 2019.

permutations and pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape. For our purposes, we just need to know that [St, Lemma 7.23.1]

$$des(\sigma) = des(Q(\sigma)).$$

In particular, let $SYT(\lambda)$ be the set of standard Young tableau of shape λ and let $f^{\lambda} = |SYT(\lambda)|$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} t^{\mathrm{des}(\sigma)} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{|\lambda| = d} f^{\lambda} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SYT}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{des}(T)} q^{\mathrm{maj}(T)}.$$

Our next goal is to show that this is compatible with the decomposition

$$A = \bigoplus_{|\lambda| = d} M_{\lambda}^{\oplus f_{\lambda}}.$$

Proposition 0.1. Let λ be a partition of d. We have

$$\sum_{n>0} s_{\lambda}(1,q,\ldots,q^n) t^n = \frac{\sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} t^{\text{des}(T)} q^{\text{maj}(T)}}{(1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^dt)}.$$

Proof. By [St, Proposition 7.19.12], we have

$$s_{\lambda}(1, q, \dots, q^n) = \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n - \operatorname{des}(T) + d \\ d \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\operatorname{maj}(T)}.$$

Now sum over all $n \geq 0$:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} s_{\lambda}(1, q, \dots, q^n) t^n = \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} q^{\text{maj}(T)} \sum_{n\geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n - \text{des}(T) + d \\ d \end{bmatrix}_q t^n$$

$$= \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} q^{\text{maj}(T)} \sum_{n\geq \text{des}(T)} \begin{bmatrix} n+d \\ d \end{bmatrix}_q t^{n+\text{des}(T)}$$

$$= \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} q^{\text{maj}(T)} \frac{t^{\text{des}(T)}}{(1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^dt)},$$

which is what we wanted.

The above identity actually works for any skew partition λ/μ .

Corollary 0.2. As a B-module, M_{λ} has one generator for every SYT T of shape λ and it lies in degree des(T). Furthermore, the character of the generators in degree i as an GL(U)-module is $\sum_{T, des(T)=i} q^{maj(T)}$.

It would be interesting to have some way to evaluate the sum appearing in the corollary. It is studied in [K] (see also references in that paper). There they are concerned with unimodality of the sum and say that it follows from a result of Kirillov-Reshetikhin. Namely, on p.7 it is stated that

$$q^{\binom{d}{2}} \sum_{T, \ \operatorname{des}(T) = i} q^{-\operatorname{maj}(T)} = \sum_{T, \ \operatorname{des}(T) = i} q^{\operatorname{charge}(\mathbf{T})},$$

which is I suppose why Jerzy and Andrei's note mention Kostka polynomials.

But note that unimodality also follows easily from the interpretation as the character of some SL_2 -representation.

References

- [C] L. Carlitz, A Combinatorial Property of q-Eulerian Numbers, American Mathematical Monthly 82, (1975), no. 1, 51–54.
- [K] William J. Keith, Families of major index distributions: closed forms and unimodality, arXiv:1808.01362v1.
- [St] Richard Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. 2