

Math 240B, Winter 2020

Solution to Problems of HW #3

B. Li, Jan 2020

1. (1) Clearly $L^p(\mu) \cap L^r(\mu)$ is a vector space, since it is a vector subspace of the space of all measurable functions. Since $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_r$ are norms on $L^p(\mu)$ and $L^r(\mu)$, respectively, $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_p + \|\cdot\|_r$ is a norm on $L^p(\mu) \cap L^r(\mu)$. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence, i.e., $f_n \in L^p(\mu) \cap L^r(\mu)$, $(n=1, 2, \dots)$ and $\|f_n - f_m\| = \|f_n - f_m\|_p + \|f_n - f_m\|_r \rightarrow 0$. Then, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mu)$, and in $L^r(\mu)$. Thus, $\exists f \in L^p(\mu)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mu)$, and $\exists g \in L^r(\mu)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^r(\mu)$.

Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mu)$, there exists a subsequence $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e. Since $f_{n_k} \rightarrow g$ in $L^r(\mu)$, there exists a subsequence of f_{n_k} that converges μ -a.e. to g . Hence $f = g$ μ -a.e. and $f \in L^p(\mu) \cap L^r(\mu)$, $\|f_n - f\| = \|f_n - f\|_p + \|f_n - f\|_r \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $L^p(\mu) \cap L^r(\mu)$ is a Banach space.

It follows from Proposition 6.10 that $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_r^{1-\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Thus, if $\|f_n - f\| = \|f_n - f\|_p + \|f_n - f\|_r \rightarrow 0$

then $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ and $\|f_n - f\|_r \rightarrow 0$, and hence $\|f_n - f\|_q \leq \|f_n - f\|_p^\lambda \|f_n - f\|_r^{1-\lambda} \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, the inclusion map $L^p(\mu) \cap L^r(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is continuous.

(2) clearly $L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$ is a vector space as both $L^p(\mu)$ and $L^r(\mu)$ are vector subspaces of the space of measurable functions.

Let $f \in L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$. Then, clearly $\|f\| \geq 0$.

If $f=0$ then $f=g+h$ with $g=0$ $h=0$. So $\|f\|=\|0\|=0$.

If $\|f\|=0$. then $\exists g_n \in L^p(\mu)$, $h_n \in L^r(\mu)$ such that $f = g_n + h_n$ and $\|g_n\|_p + \|h_n\|_r \rightarrow 0$. Since $g_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mu)$, $\exists g_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Since $h_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^r(\mu)$, $\exists h_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Thus $f = g_{n_k} + h_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Hence $f=0$ a.e. i.e., $f=0$ in $L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$.

clearly $\|\lambda f\| = |\lambda| \|f\| \quad \forall \lambda \in K (= \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$ and $\forall f \in L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$. To show the triangle inequality, let $f_1, f_2 \in L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then,

$\exists g_j \in L^p(\mu)$ and $h_j \in L^r(\mu)$ ($j=1,2$) such that $\|f_j\| \geq \|g_j\|_p + \|h_j\|_r - \varepsilon$, $f_j = g_j + h_j$, $j=1,2$. Thus, $f_1 + f_2 = g_1 + h_1 + g_2 + h_2 = (g_1 + g_2) + (h_1 + h_2)$ with $g_1 + g_2 \in L^p(\mu)$ and $h_1 + h_2 \in L^r(\mu)$. Thus,

$$\|f_1 + f_2\| \leq \|g_1 + g_2\|_p + \|h_1 + h_2\|_r \leq \|f_1\| + \|f_2\| + 2\varepsilon$$

Hence $\|f_1 + f_2\| \leq \|f_1\| + \|f_2\|$. Therefore $L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$ is a normed vector space.

Let $f_n \in L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$ ($n=1,2,\dots$) and assume $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\| < \infty$. $\forall n \geq 1$, $\exists g_n \in L^p(\mu)$ and $\exists h_n \in L^r(\mu)$ such that $\|f_n\| \geq \|g_n\|_p + \|h_n\|_r - \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $f_n = g_n + h_n$. Thus, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|_r < \infty$. But both $L^p(\mu)$ and $L^r(\mu)$ are complete. Hence, $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \in L^p(\mu)$ and $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \in L^r(\mu)$. These mean that $\|\sum_{n=1}^N g_n - g\|_p \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\sum_{n=1}^N h_n - h\|_r \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $f = g + h \in L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n - f \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N (g_n + h_n) - (g + h) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^N g_n - g \right) + \left(\sum_{n=1}^N h_n - h \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N g_n - g \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N h_n - h \right\|_r \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n = f$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$.
Thus, $L^p(\mu) + L^r(\mu)$ is a Banach space.

2. Since $[L^p(\mu)]^* = L^q(\mu)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we need only to show that for any $g \in L^q(\mu)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n g \, d\mu = \int f g \, d\mu. \quad (*)$$

Fix $g \in L^q(\mu)$. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a simple function $\phi \in L^q(\mu)$ such that $\| \phi - g \|_q < \varepsilon$, $\|\phi\| \leq \|g\|$.

Let $C = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_p < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int (f_n - f) (g - \phi) \, d\mu \right| + \left| \int (f_n - f) \phi \, d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g - \phi\|_q + \left| \int (f_n - f) \phi \, d\mu \right| \\ & \leq (C + \|f\|_p) \varepsilon + \left| \int (f_n - f) \phi \, d\mu \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu \right| \leq (C + \|f\|_p) \varepsilon$$

as $\int (f_n - f) \phi \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$ by the assumption.

Hence $\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$. (*) is true.

3. (1) Let $f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_{n,k} = [\frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n})$ ($k=1, \dots, 2^n$) and define $f_n(x) = (-1)^k$ if $x \in I_{n,k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq 2^n$) and $f_n(1) = 1$. We show that $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in $L^2([0, 1])$. We use the criteria for such convergence stated in the above problem. Clearly $\|f_n\|_{L^2([0, 1])} = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. So, we need only to show that if $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ is measurable, then $\int_E f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$, (μ denotes the Lebesgue measure.)

First, consider $E = (a, b) \subseteq [0, 1]$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{2^N} < \frac{b-a}{2}$. Let $1 \leq j < k \leq 2^N$ be such that $a \leq \frac{j-1}{2^N} < \frac{j}{2^N}$ and $0 \leq b - \frac{k}{2^N} \leq \frac{1}{2^N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{(a,b)} f_n d\mu - \int_{(a,b)} f d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_{(a,b)} f_n d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{(a, \frac{j}{2^N})} f_n d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{(\frac{k}{2^N}, b)} f_n d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{(\frac{j}{2^N}, \frac{k}{2^N})} f_n d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^N} + \frac{1}{2^N} + \frac{1}{2^N} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

[For the estimate $\int_{(\frac{j}{2^N}, \frac{k}{2^N})} f_n d\mu$, use the fact that f_n takes 1 and -1 alternatively on intervals of length $1/2^n$.] Hence $\int_{(a,b)} f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_{(a,b)} f d\mu$.

Now, if $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ is Lebesgue-measurable. Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exist disjoint open intervals $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_k, b_k)$, such that $\mu(E \Delta \bigcup_{j=1}^k (a_j, b_j)) < \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \left| \int_E f_n d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_E f_n d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_E f_n d\mu - \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f_n d\mu \right| + \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f_n d\mu \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq m\left(E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k (a_j, b_j)\right)\right) + \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f_n dm \right| \\
&\leq m\left(E \triangle \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k (a_j, b_j)\right)\right) + \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f_n dm \right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f_n dm \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Since each $\int_{(a_j, b_j)} f_n dm \rightarrow \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f dm = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_E f_n dm - \int_E f dm \right| \leq \varepsilon$.

Thus, $\int_E f_n dm \rightarrow \int_E f dm$. Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in $L^2([0, 1])$.

clearly, $m(\{|f_n - f| \geq \frac{1}{2}\}) = m([0, 1]) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, $f_n \not\rightarrow f$ in measure.

Let $A = \{\frac{k}{2^n} \in [0, 1] : k=0, 1, \dots, 2^n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $m(A) = 0$. Let $x \in [0, 1] \setminus A$.

Suppose $f_n(x) = 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $x \in (\frac{2k-1}{2^n}, \frac{2k}{2^n})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}$). Let $J_p = (\frac{2k-1}{2^n}, \frac{2k-1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^p})$ ($p=1, 2, \dots$).

Since the length of $J_p \rightarrow 0$, there exists the smallest positive integer p such that

$x \notin J_p$. Then, $f_{n+p}(x) = -1$. Similarly, if $f_s(x) = -1$.

($s \in \mathbb{N}$) then $\exists q \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $f_{s+q}(x) = 1$. Hence, there exist infinitely many n such that $f_n(x) = 1$, and also there exist infinitely many n such that $f_n(x) = -1$. Hence, $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ diverges.

(2) Let $f \equiv 0$ on $[0, 1]$. $f_n(x) = n \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Clearly each $f_n \in L^2([0, 1])$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $m(\{|f_n - f| \geq \varepsilon\}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$. Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure. If $0 < x \leq 1$ then $f_n(x) = 0$ if $\frac{1}{n} < x$ that is $n > \frac{1}{x}$. Hence $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) = 0$. Hence, $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. Finally, let $g(x) \equiv 1$ on $[0, 1]$.

$$\int_0^1 f_n(x) g(x) dx = 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$\int_0^1 f(x) g(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{Note: } g \in L^2([0, 1])$$

So, $f_n \not\rightarrow f$ weakly in $L^2([0, 1])$.

4. Define $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y}$, $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. K is measurable.
 $K(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda^{-1} K(x, y) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \forall x, y > 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} C_p &= \int_0^\infty |K(x, t)| x^{-\frac{1}{p}} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^{\frac{1}{p}}(x+t)} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^{\frac{1}{p}}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6.20, we have $\|Tf\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p \quad \forall f \in L^p([0, \infty))$.

5. (1) By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X \left(\int_Y |K(x, y) f(y)| d\nu(y) \right)^2 d\nu(x) \\ &\leq \int_X \left(\int_Y |K(x, y)|^2 d\nu(y) \cdot \int_Y |f(y)|^2 d\nu(y) \right) d\nu(x) \\ &= \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \|K\|_{L^2(\mu \times \nu)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\int_Y |K(x, y) f(y)| d\nu(y) < \infty$ n.e.

(2) By Minkowski's inequality for integrals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Tf\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 &= \left\| \int_Y K(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y) \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\int_Y \|K(x, y) f(y)\|_{L^2(\mu)} d\nu(y) \right)^2 \\
 &= \left(\int_Y \left(\int_X |K(x, y) f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\nu(y) \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\int_Y \left(\int_X |K(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f(y)| d\nu(y) \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \int_Y \int_X |K(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \cdot \int_Y |f(y)|^2 d\nu(y) \\
 &= \|K\|_{L^2(\mu \times \nu)}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Tf \in L^2(\mu)$ and $\|Tf\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \|K\|_{L^2(\mu \times \nu)} \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}$.

6. Let $f \in L^2((0, \infty))$. Then for any $x > 0$, $f \in L^2((0, x))$ which implies that $f \in L^1((0, x))$. Hence, $Tf(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is well-defined. Clearly, T is linear. Since $x^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ is a continuous function for $x \in (0, \infty)$ and since $\int_0^x f(t) dt$ is absolutely continuous for $x \in (0, \infty)$, Tf is a continuous function on $(0, \infty)$.

For any $x > 0$, we have by Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Tf(x)| &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^x |f(t)| dt \\
 &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^x |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|f\|_q \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty).$$

Hence, $Tf \in C((0, \infty))$. $\|Tf\|_c \leq \|f\|_q$. We have shown $T: L^q((0, \infty)) \rightarrow C((0, \infty))$ is linear and $\|Tf\|_c \leq \|f\|_q \quad \forall f \in L^q((0, \infty))$. We need to show that $Tf(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and $Tf(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

By the above inequality

$$|Tf(x)| \leq \left(\int_0^x |f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0^+$$

Since $f \in L^q((0, \infty))$ and we can use the absolute continuity of the integral of $|f|^q$.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, since $f \in L^q((0, \infty))$, $\exists A > 0$ such that $\int_A^\infty |f|^q dt < \varepsilon^q$. This follows from the monotone convergence theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x |f(t)|^q dt &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \chi_{[0, x]}(t) |f(t)|^q dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty |f(t)|^q dt. \end{aligned}$$

If $x > A$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |Tf(x)| &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^A |f(t)| dt + x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_A^x |f(t)| dt \\ &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^A |f(t)| dt + x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_A^x |f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (x-A)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^A |f(t)| dt + \left(\int_A^\infty |f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq x^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^A |f(t)| dt + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |Tf(x)| \leq \varepsilon$.

Thus, $|Tf(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.