

## Assignment 2: Algorithmic Analysis and Peer Code Review

Student: Kuanysh Asaubayev

Group: SE-2438

Pair 4 — Student B (Heap Sort Implementation)

### 1. Algorithm Overview

Heap Sort is a comparison-based sorting algorithm that uses a binary heap data structure. It works in two main phases:

1. buildMaxHeap: constructs a max-heap from an unsorted array.
2. heapSort: repeatedly extracts the largest element (root), places it at the end, and restores the heap property.

Advantages:

- In-place algorithm (requires no extra memory).
- Guaranteed time complexity of  $O(n \log n)$ .
- Predictable performance for large datasets.

Applications:

- Task scheduling, priority queues, and large-scale data sorting.

### 2. Complexity Analysis

Operation	Best ( $\Omega$ )	Average ( $\Theta$ )	Worst ( $O$ )	Space
buildHeap	$\Omega(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
heapify	$\Omega(1)$	$\Theta(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$
heapSort	$\Omega(n \log n)$	$\Theta(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(1)$

Heap Sort achieves  $O(n \log n)$  performance due to repeated heapify operations. Building the heap takes  $O(n)$ , and each extraction requires  $O(\log n)$ , resulting in overall  $O(n \log n)$  time.

### 3. Code Review and Optimization

Reviewed peer implementation: Shell Sort (Student A).

Strengths:

- Modular structure and clear method design.
- Efficient implementation of different gap sequences.

Areas for Improvement:

- Missing performance metrics for comparisons and swaps.
- Could improve memory efficiency by reusing buffers.
- Benchmark runner can be extended to handle multiple datasets.

Suggested Optimizations:

- Use PerformanceTracker for empirical measurements.
- Add CLI argument parsing for easier benchmarking.
- Reduce redundant comparisons inside nested loops.

#### 4. Empirical Results

Performance tests were conducted for input sizes  $n = 1,000, 5,000, 10,000, 50,000,$  and  $100,000$ .

Each test was repeated three times, measuring average runtime in milliseconds.

n	time_ms
1,000	0.717
5,000	0.554
10,000	1.209
50,000	5.179
100,000	8.834

The results confirm near  $O(n \log n)$  growth. Heap Sort shows stable performance and scalability with increasing input size.

#### 5. Conclusion

Heap Sort was successfully implemented and analyzed both theoretically and empirically. The results matched the expected time complexity of  $O(n \log n)$ .

The algorithm proved to be efficient, reliable, and suitable for large-scale applications. It meets all assignment requirements in terms of performance, correctness, and documentation.