1 **Title Page**

2

3 Pitch Pine (*Pinus rigida*) Response to Fire Absence and Topographic Factors at Mt. Desert Island

4

5 Authors and affiliations:

6 Jeff Licht[1], Risa McNellis[2] and Nicholas G. Smith[2]

7

8 [1]School for the Environment, University of Massachusetts, Dorchester, MA, USA 02110

9 [2]Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA 79409

10

11 Key words

12 *Pinus rigida*, Pitch pine, Mt. Desert Island, fire history, elevation, resilience, topography, water use

13 efficiency, soil water retention

14

15

16 **Abstract**

17 Globally rare pitch pine (*Pinus rigida*) is thought to depend on intermittent fire, which encourages

18 reproduction and niche preservation. At Mt. Desert Island in Acadia National Park (ME, USA) a major,

19 stand-replacing conflagration enveloped a portion of the island in 1947; since then there has been no

20 recurrence of fire. Other populations have been unaffected by fire disturbance for over one hundred and

21 twenty years. Despite the absence of fire, pitch pine persists at Mt. Desert Island, suggesting that other

22 environmental drivers may be as or more important than fire in that system. We examined the influence of

23 fire history and topography on individual trees in four separate stands at Mt. Desert Island. Generally,

24 topography was found to be a more important driver of leaf and plant level traits than fire history, with

25 individuals possessing greater stress tolerance traits at high elevation. We attribute this to changes in

26 topographical and soil characteristics along the gradient. These results challenge the suggestion that fire is

27 the primary driver of pitch pine persistence at Mt. Desert Island and indicate that pitch pine has the

28 capacity to thrive across a wider array of environments. These results can serve to better understand and

29 manage this species in an ever-changing future world.

30

31 **Introduction**

32 On Mt. Desert Island at Acadia National Park in Maine USA, pitch pine (*Pinus rigida*), the most

33 northerly member of the southern yellow pines (Plain *et al*. 1987), dwell at the edge of their northeastern

34 range (Fig. 1) in heathland-pine barren communities. Over millennia, their persistence (ability to remain

35   in a particular setting) is defined by frequent fire disturbance that regulates competition, removes post-fire

36   pyrogenic carbon (C) from the soil and drives the radiation of fire adaptations. Among the most

37   significant fire adaptations are serotinous cones (which typically open only when fire engulfs the tree),

38   thickened bark to withstand scorching, and epicormic sprouting along branches and trunk (Little 1953).

39   On Mt. Desert in 1947 an intense October fire started in a dump just west of Bar Harbor, on the east side

40   of the island (Fig. 1; Pyne 2019). Ferocious winds whipped the fire into a frenzy and, notwithstanding

41   efforts to subdue it, persevered for nearly two weeks. Since that time, fire suppression has been used to

42   avoid a repetition of the tragic consequences from that fire. Interestingly, in ensuing decades, tree

43   pyrogenic adaptations have diminished, perhaps as the result of phenotypic plasticity, shifting away from

44   cone serotiny (Conkey *et al*. 1995; Jordan *et al*. 2003). As there is less pressure to produce seeds that

45   survive in the midst of a fire (Givnish 1981), there may also be less need for thick bark or epicormic

46   sprouting (Renninger *et al.* 2013). Past studies have speculated that tree defenses are shifting from fire

47   resiliency to traits that may help deal with other abiotic conditions such as warmer temperatures and

48   increasing summer drought (Day *et al.* 2005; Buma *et al.* 2013).

49

50   Recent history of pitch pine population success in fire-suppressed locations such as Mt. Desert Island

51   defies a theory that fire (natural or proscribed) is likely a requirement every six to twenty-five years for

52   pine barren well-being (Jordan *et al*. 2003). While fire responses have been studied previously (Foereid *et*

53   *al.* 2015; Carlo *et al.* 2016; Neill *et al.* 2007), there is a critical need to understand other factors that might

54   be influencing pitch pine persistence in the absence of fire at Mt. Desert Island.

55

56   Here, we address one such factor, topography, that has not yet been thoroughly assessed at Mt. Desert in

57   comparison with fire history (Parshall and Foster 2002; Fig. 2). Specifically, we use four populations that

58   lie along a topographical and fire history gradient (Fig. S1) as proxies for more than a dozen other

59   colonies, to examine the effects of elevation, aspect and slope (Bolstad and Stowe 1994) on soil, leaf, and

60   plant-level traits. The four populations were chosen to represent a factorial combination of elevation (high

61   or low) and fire history (having experienced the 1947 stand-clearing fire or not). First, we characterize

62   differences in topographical features, including slope and aspect, given that these are likely important

63   non-elevation topographical drivers of the traits examined (Howard and Stelacio 2011; Hanson 2017;

64   Nowacki and Abrams 2008). We then explore aspects of the soil environment, including soil carbon as

65   well as macro and micro nutrient concentrations. Following previous studies, we expected to find less soil

66   carbon (DeBano 1998), greater alkali cations (Certini 2005) and increased solubilized minerals (Caldwell

67   and Richards 1989) in soils which experienced the 1947 fire. We predicted that there would be greater

68   soil carbon at low elevations due to thermal exfoliation (as explained by Shakesby and Doerr 2006) or a

69   failure of fire to remove pyrogenic carbon in former fire zones (Doerr *et al.* 2018). We also measured soil

70   water retention, which we expected to be greater at sites that experienced the 1947 fire, as pyrogenic

71   carbon is known to increase soil water retention (Licht and Smith, 2020). Beyond that, we anticipated

72   higher soil water retention at low elevations due to flat terrain (alleviating erosion mechanics).

73

74   We hypothesized that topographical and fire history-driven changes to the growth environment would

75   manifest in changes in leaf- and plant-level traits. We expected that stress induced by topographical

76   features and low soil water retention at high elevation would lead to increased intrinsic water use

77   efficiency (iWUE; Wang *et al.* 2017), as a stress tolerance response. We also hypothesized a reduction in

78   leaf nutrients at high elevation, mimicking likely reductions in the soil. In addition, there was the prospect

79   that fire history might alleviate these stress indicators, as a result of increased soil nutrients and water

80   retention. At the plant level, we predicted plants would be smaller in height and DBH, have narrower

81   canopy, and be more sparsely clustered (greater distance between conspecific neighbors) at high

82   elevation, again as a result of the topography- and soil-induced stress. We expected to find smaller trees

83   in areas that had experienced the 1947 fire due to age, but that the height difference would be less at high

84   elevation due to stress-reducing effects of fire on the soil environment, an effect that would be more

85   beneficial if higher elevation sites were less fertile.

86

87   **Methods**

88   *Study sites*

89   We investigated fifteen pitch pine specimens at each of four sites at Mt. Desert Island (Fig. 2, Tab. 1),

90   factorially crossed in a fire history (Miller *et al*. 2017) by elevation design: (1) Wonderland trail at an

91   average of 17.83 m elevation (low elevation, outside the footprint of the 1947 fire), (2) Gorham cliffs at

92   an average of 32.95 m (low elevation, within the footprint), (3) St. Sauveur trail at an average of 171.72 m

93   (high elevation, outside the footprint) and (4) South Cadillac trail at an average of 279.95 m (high

94   elevation within the footprint). Elevation differences were more stark at St. Sauveur and South Cadillac

95   trail transects. Soils at all four sites were overlain with rapidly drying needle duff, porous, and comprised

96   of acidic hornblende granite or Ellsworth schist (Day *et al.* 2005). In addition they were uniformly

97   shallow (varying between 0.7-2.5 cm), homogeneous, and low in fertility (Butak 2014). In some cases,

98   sampling was limited by time, weather and site access yielding uneven sample accumulations. Our

99   analytical methods were designed to deal with uneven sample sizes (see Statistical Analysis section

100 below).

101

Topographic features

A Kodak Trimble Juno 3B was used to obtain horizontal resolution of data plotted using between five and seven satellite telecommunication vehicles to maintain a maximum Position Dilution of Precision. These data were differentially corrected and have estimated accuracies in the horizontal and vertical direction of 2m, while selective availability was set to zero. Multiple satellite-configured GPS data (USGS 2m LIDAR 2010) determined coordinates for individual trees (Lubinski *et al*. 2003) as well as slope and aspect attributes using ArcGIS (version 10). Mapping of this type of data has been used in the past to compare physiography and recalcitrant chemical biogeography, particularly in fire prone contexts (Kolden and Weisberg 2007; Szpakowski and Jensen 2019).

Soil Elements and Water Retention (SWR)

Soils were excavated by hand trowel and soil probe (Accuproducts, Saline, MI, USA); soil C, N and C/N were calculated from elemental analysis. 70 mL soil samples were extracted at fifteen tree locations at four sites, from <10.5 cm (O_a-A_b) horizon above bedrock. In a laboratory 50 g H_2O were added to each aliquot to assess net water retention as a subset of soil moisture evaporation (ψ_g) to determine net evaporative loss or adsorption to surfaces. Soil water retention analysis was conducted according to the Fields method (Licht and Smith 2018). Retention effects of gravitational and evaporation forces was made on a wet basis where W_m=g H_2O ● (g moist soil)$^{-1}$ (Qi *et al.* 2018). We also used a set of #10-#140 mesh sieves (Advantech, Wisconsin, USA) to determine presence of close-to-the-surface fine charcoal particulate matter symptomatic of recalcitrant pyrogenic material at all four sites.

Leaf Traits

Maximizing seasonal data relative to active growth during the driest months of the summer was achieved by obtaining C isotopic data ($\delta^{13}C$) and N isotopic data ($\delta^{15}N$) of fully expanded leaves (needle cluster) of 15 individuals at each site. All individuals selected had stem diameter of the bole at breast height (DBH) greater than 13 cm. Sample fascicles (one per tree) were separated and dried for two days at 60 °C ground in a SPEX ball mill (Metuchen, NJ, USA), weighed to +/- 2 mg for leaf tissue and +/- 5 mg for soil using a Cole-Palmer (Vernon Hills, IL, USA) micro analytic balance and rolled in Costech (Valencia, CA, USA) 5 x 9 mm tin capsules. A Thermo Delta (Waltham, MA, USA) V+ IR-MS continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer with a universal triple collector was used. Combustion gasses were separated on a gas chromatograph column, passed through a diluter and reference gas box, and introduced into the spectrometer. $\delta^{13}C$ was used to indicate water use efficiency (iWUE$_{\delta^{13}C}$) (Farquhar et al. 1989). Leaf tissue was obtained from excision of basal fascicle bundles at 1.06 m. 50 mL samples of needles were separated, cut and dried for two days at 60 °C. Then they were ground in a SPEX ball mill (Metuchen, NJ,

4

136   USA), sieved to <10 mm, and <2 mL were fed to a Leco CN-2000 Carbon-Nitrogen Analyzer (Leco

137   Corp., St. Joseph, MI) coupled with the spectrometer to determine C and N concentrations. 35 mL

138   aliquots were submitted for standard plant tissue nutrient analysis using a TJA Model 975 AtomComp

139   ICP-AES (Thermo Jarrell-Ash Corp., Franklin, MA). The method comprised submersion in a 5 mL trace-

140   metal-grade HNO_3 treatment, then refluxed on hot block at 80 °C for two hours and diluted to 25 mL with

141   0.4 micron PTFE syringe filters to access extractable macro and micro inorganics.

142

143   *Plant-level Traits*

144   We measured individual tree height, stem diameter of the bole at breast height (DBH) and canopy spread.

145   Tree height was estimated using a plastic clinometer (Kager, Lunenberg, MA USA) and 30 m tape. DBH

146   was measured at 1.06 m using an expandable cloth measuring tape. Canopy spread across the first nodal

147   branch expanse below the crown was measured using calibration between two aluminum flags as a

148   ground truth reference. This method was selected to sort out upper canopy spread x height differences

149   where trees across all four stands, which exuded very similar height and DBH characteristics, dominated.

150   Mean distances between sampled trees were calculated including up to five of the nearest, reproductively

151   mature conspecific (within 5 m) neighbors (Churchill *et al.* 2013)—this clustering method served as a

152   surrogate, but inverse, measure for stand density (Mosseler *et al*. 2004).

153

154   *Statistical Analysis*

155   All data were analyzed using a similar linear model structure with elevation as a continuous independent

156   factor and presence of the 1947 fire (yes or no) as a categorical independent factor. The interaction

157   between elevation and presence of the 1947 fire was also included as an independent factor in each

158   model. In total, 25 models were fit with the following dependent variables: tree height (m), canopy spread

159   (m), DBH (cm), mean distance between neighbors (m), foliar carbon (C, %), foliar nitrogen (N, %), foliar

160   C/N (unitless), foliar δ^{13}C (‰), foliar δ^{15}N (‰), foliar aluminum (Al^+, mg kg^{-1}), foliar calcium (Ca^{2+}; mg

161   kg^{-1}), foliar magnesium (Mg^{2+}; mg kg^{-1}), foliar phosphorus (P; mg kg^{-1}), foliar potassium (K^+; mg kg^{-1}),

162   foliar zinc (Zn; mg kg^{-1}), soil C (%), soil N (%), soil C/N (unitless), soil Al^+ (mg kg^{-1}), soil Ca^{2+} (mg kg^{-1}),

163   soil Mg^{2+} (mg kg^{-1}), soil P (mg kg^{-1}), soil K^+ (mg kg^{-1}), soil Zn (mg kg^{-1}), and soil water retention (mg kg^{-1}

164   ^{1}). Tree height, canopy spread, DBH, foliar P, foliar K^+, foliar Zn and soil C/N were log transformed to

165   meet model assumptions of normality and heterogeneity of variances, while soil water retention was

166   arcsin square root transformed to meet model assumptions. All linear models were fit using the 'lm'

167   function in R (R Core Team 2019). Type II F-tests were used to determine the statistical significance of

168   each factor in each model using the 'Anova' function in the 'car' package in R (Fox and Weisberg, 2019).

169   Type II tests are robust to unbalanced designs (Langsrud 2003). Slopes and intercepts for plotting were

determined using the 'emmeans' package in R (Lenth and Lenth 2018). Because aspect data is circular in nature, we analyzed aspect data using a Watson's Two-Sample Test of Homogeneity as implemented in the R package 'circular' (Agostinelli and Lund 2017). Specifically, one-to-one comparisons were done between each site in all six possible combinations. All analyses were performed with R version 4.0.5 (R Core Team 2019).

Results

Topographical features

Watson's two sample t-tests indicated that the individual aspects of all sites differed with respect to one another except for the two sites that experienced the 1947 fire (Gorham cliffs and South Cadillac Trail), which had similar aspects (Fig. 3 and Tab. 2). There was an interaction between slope and fire history ($F_{1,56} = 108.1$, $P < 0.05$) that indicated that the slope was greater for individuals at the low elevation site that experienced the 1947 fire (Gorham cliffs) than low elevation individuals that did not experience the fire (Wonderland), with the reverse being true at high elevation (Table 1).

Soil elements and water retention

Soil C concentrations were greater at lower elevations ($P < 0.05$), but were unaffected by fire history or their interaction ($P > 0.05$ in all cases, Fig. 4 and Tab. 3). Soil N and C/N did not vary with elevation, fire history, or their interaction ($P > 0.05$ in all cases, Fig. 4 and Tab. 3).

Soil Ca^{2+} decreased with elevation ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 5 and Tab. 4), regardless of fire history. Soil P, Mg^{2+}, and Zn did not vary with elevation, fire history, or their interaction (Tab. 4). Fire accounted for a 48% reduction in K^+ at fire-experienced sites ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 5 and Tab. 4), regardless of elevation. There was an interaction between fire history and elevation ($P < 0.01$, Tab. 4) that indicated that soil Al^+ increased with elevation in sites that did not experience the 1947 fire and decreased with elevation at sites that did experience the 1947 fire (Fig. 5).

There was an interaction between elevation and fire history on soil water retention (SWR; $P < 0.01$, Fig. 4 and Tab. 3), driven by higher SWR at sites that experienced the 1947 fire at low elevation, an effect that was diminished at higher elevations (Fig. 4).

Leaf isotopes and elements

6

202 Trees at higher elevations experienced less negative δ^{13}C ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 6 and Tab. 5), reflecting greater

203 water use efficiency, regardless of fire history. There were no significant effect of fire history, elevation,

204 or their interaction on δ^{15}N ($P > 0.05$ in all cases, Fig. 6 and Tab. 5).

205

206 Foliar C was greater in trees at sites that experienced the 1947 fire ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 6 and Tab. 5),

207 regardless of elevation; however there was no effect of fire history, elevation, or their interaction on foliar

208 N or C/N ($P > 0.05$ in all cases, Fig. 6 and Tab. 5).

209

210 Foliar Ca^{2+} was negatively impacted by increasing elevation ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 7 and Tab. 6), regardless of

211 fire history. Our model indicated that foliar P was significantly higher at fire-involved sites ($P < 0.01$, Fig.

212 7 and Tab. 6), regardless of fire history. Foliar K^+ was reduced by fire involvement at high elevations, but

213 not low elevations (elevation x fire: $P < 0.05$, Fig. 8C and Tab. 6). Neither foliar Al^+ nor Mg^{2+} differed by

214 fire history, elevation, or their interaction ($P > 0.05$ in all cases; Fig. 7 and Tab. 6). Foliar Zn

215 concentrations decreased with increasing elevation ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 7 and Tab. 6), regardless of fire

216 history.

217

218 *Plant-level traits*

219 There was a significant interaction between fire and elevation on tree height ($P < 0.01$, Tab. 7), which

220 indicated that historical fire presence had a negative impact on tree height at high, but not low, elevation

221 (Fig. 8). Increasing elevation reduced DBH ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 8 and Tab. 7), regardless of fire history.

222 Canopy spread was reduced at high elevation ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 8 and Tab. 7), regardless of fire history.

223 Distance between neighbors was greater at high elevation sites ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 8 and Tab. 7), regardless

224 of fire history.

225

226 **Discussion**

227 *Soil characteristics*

228 Soil fertility and water retention varied across our environmental gradient. We were curious about the

229 influence of subsurface charcoal as a soil component in fire-exposed areas. At nearby, burned-over

230 Cadillac Brook, below the heights of South Cadillac trail, earlier paleo (Lafon *et al*. 2014) and fossil

231 indicator reports (Patterson *et al*. 1987; Verma and Jayakumar 2012) underscore the presence of charcoal.

232 However, there were no significant changes in soil C with fire history, although there was a reduction at

233 higher elevations.

234

Patel *et al.* (2019) studied soil N in several watersheds (drainages) below South Cadillac trail, at low to mid-elevation, to determine recalcitrant atmospheric deposition since the 1947 fire. Since fire is known to increase N losses there was an expectation of lower nitrogen at sites closer to the most intense burns, but they found no evidence for this (Patel *et al.* 2019). These are consistent with our findings. Fire also did not significantly influence any of the other soil nutrients we measured, despite strong topographical differences.

A previous pine barren study reported that pyrolysis (either natural or anthropogenic) increased SWR (Licht and Smith 2020) and we found support for this at low elevations at Mt. Desert. Interestingly, this occurred despite steeper slopes at one cliff site that experienced fire at low elevation, which we would have expected to reduce SWR.

Leaf traits

Intrinsic water use efficiency, indicated by $\delta^{13}C$, has been shown to increase in the presence of pyrolytic soil (Licht and Smith 2020). However, we found no effect of the 1947 fire on this trait. Instead, $\delta^{13}C$ (and, thus water use efficiency) increased with elevation, supporting previous findings (Wang *et al.* 2017; Chen *et al.* 2017, Körner *et al.* 1986; Friend *et al.* 1989; Bresson *et al.* 2009). At Mt. Desert, where elevation gradients are a significant feature of the landscape, this response is indicative of plant stress tolerance response (to higher wind turbulence, low pressure, and more quickly drying soils) as a feature of upper elevation life (Wang *et al.* 2017).

We expected that an increase in elevation would drive increases in leaf nutrients, particularly leaf N, to support high elevation photosynthesis at low stomatal conductance, as has been shown and is expected from physiological theory (Wang *et al.* 2017). This was not the case. A reduction in some soil nutrients (e.g., Ca^{2+}) may have played a role in this (Firn *et al.* 2019), but may also have been the effect of non-measured variables, such as nutrient mineralization.

Despite a lack of soil nutrient responses, we found that fire involvement significant increased foliar P, possibly as the result of greater P availability. However, this was not consistent with our soil analysis. Further studies are needed to understand the connection between fire involvement, topography, soil nutrients, and foliar nutrients at Mt. Desert Island. A closer examination of fungal processes (such as those conducted by Luo *et al.* 2017 following prescribed burns in New Jersey) may yield clearer findings (Dove and Hart 2017) necessary to understand the influence of mycorrhizae on pitch pine in disjunct populations.

269

Plant-level traits

Elevation was the primary driver of plant traits, resulting in smaller, less clustered trees at high versus low elevation. Interestingly, there was relatively little difference in these responses with fire involvement, which we expected to reduce clustering and tree size regardless of elevation due to effects on tree age. Tree cores taken near the sites we used suggest that trees located at sites outside of 1947 fire are likely 15-30 years older than trees withing the 1947 fire zone (Patterson *et al.* 2016). As such, we expected older and larger individuals at sites that did not experience the 1947 fire. In fact, this lack of difference may be an indicator of stimulation of pitch pine growth after fire, for instance as a result of reduced competition (Jordan *et al.* 2003). This might suggest that a shift back could further spur dispersal, but, despite a significant fuel buildup in the forests on the island, this would require a change in current management policies. Coupled with climate projections (Fernandez *et al.* 2015), we can predict potential ledge population enlargement is unlikely to occur as a function of anthropogenic intervention. Nonetheless, our findings in non-fire involved sites suggest that pitch pine can persist in the absence of fire. However, further studies are needed to examine how long this will last.

Disturbance, climate factors and predictions for species status

Until now, disturbances such as mechanical thinning and bioturbation (Abney *et al.* 2019), disease such as *Ploioderma lethale* (needle cast; Little and Garrett 1990), deer browsing and rodent damage (Ledig *et al.* 2013), and insect herbivory (Lesk *et al.* 2017) have not been management factors at Mt. Desert Island as they are in barrens elsewhere. Yet, a possibly catastrophic problem may occur due to a combination of a prolonged fire interval and increases in annual winter temperatures (Lesk *et al.* 2017)—namely the potential invasion within the next decade of an herbivore, Southern pine beetle (*Dendroctonus frontalis* or 'SPB'). This herbivore has already paid a deadly visit to New Jersey and Long Island NY (Dodds *et al.* 2018). Unless its progress is deterred by predators like double checkered clerid (*Thanasimus dubius*; Coulson and Klepzig 2011), or some undetermined climate factor, pitch pines, along with understory plants, butterflies and moth members of the Acadia ecosystem, are vulnerable to predation (Lesk *et al.* 2017).

It is no doubt that a warming climate is having the greatest impact on island vegetative prospects, including the fortunes of pitch pine. Models project a negative impact on future vegetative status at Mt. Desert Island (Fernandez *et al.* 2015; Swanston *et al.* 2018). According to several studies (Day *et al.* 2005; Lee *et al.* 2019) warming climate impacts habitat suitability and pitch pine tendencies to consolidate, regenerate, or migrate. What has been clear for almost three decades is the effect of global

climate change on physiological traits. Day *et al*. (2001) found an uptick in annual temperatures signaled increased leaf-air vapor pressure deficits that negatively impacted pitch pine stomatal conductance and limited gas exchange. In a related report, scientists found warming trends (Kunkel *et al* 2013) increased pitch pine reproductive difficulties (Ledig *et al*. 2015). These trends include weather-related effects such as episodic drought, harsh winds, and salt spray (Fernandez *et al.* 2015) as well as increased cold intolerance (Steiner and Berrang 1990). What is not clear is the extent to which tree plasticity (Day *et al.* 2014) will be shaped by a continuing rise in warming temperatures. What appears to be more certain is the prediction that pitch pine colonies will suffer due to a combination of diminished open space capacity, loss of enriched substrates and elimination of suitable habitats (Day *et al.* 2005). Our study indicates that pitch pine physiology may be more flexible than previously thought, as we find trait shifts and population persistence along a large topographical gradient. However, global changes are likely to present these populations with novel conditions that may override this flexibility. Future monitoring, manipulative, and modeling studies will be critical to ensure the future persistence of this important species.

317 **Table 1.** Mean topographic features for individuals at each site.

Site	1947 Fire	Type	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Elevation (m)	Slope (°)	Aspect (°)	Compass
Gorham Cliffs	Yes	Cliff	44.328	-68.185	31.7	31.9	151.5	SE
South Cadillac	Yes	Ledge	44.333	-68.224	284.0	9.4	138.1	SE
St. Sauveur	No	Cliff/Ledge	44.311	-68.326	182.0	13.3	262.6	W
Wonderland	No	Flat/Hilly	44.237	-68.316	16.3	3.7	208.1	S

318
319
320 **Table 2.** Watson's Two Sample Test of Homogeneity results for aspect at each site.*

	Gorham Cliffs	St. Sauveur	South Cadillac
Wonderland	t = 0.259 *	t = 0.288 **	t = 0.194 *
Gorham cliffs		t = 0.385 **	t = 0.166 *ns*
St. Sauveur			t = 0.519 ***

321 *Key: t = test statistic, *ns* = not significant, * = P < 0.05, ** = P < 0.01, *** = P < 0.001, P = P-value.
322
323
324 **Table 3.** Analysis of variance results for the linear models with soil carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and C/N, and soil water retention (SWR).*

	Soil C			Soil N			Soil C/N			SWR		
	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P
Elevation	1	4.675	**0.040**	1	0.190	0.667	1	3.853	*0.062*	1	2.503	0.122
Fire	1	2.718	0.111	1	0.260	0.615	1	1.493	0.235	1	12.400	**0.001**
Elevation x Fire	1	0.404	0.530	1	1.153	0.295	1	2.771	0.110	1	12.981	**0.001**
Residuals	*27*			*22*			*22*			*36*		

325 * P-values < 0.05 are bolded. Sample size is 26 for soil nutrients and 40 for SWR. Key: df = degrees of freedom, F = F-value, P = P-value.
326
327
328 **Table 4.** Analysis of variance results for the linear models with soil aluminum (Al^+), calcium (Ca^{2+}), potassium (K^+), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), phosphorus (P), and
329 zinc (Zn).*

		Soil Al^+		Soil Ca^{2+}		Soil K^+		Soil Mg^{2+}		Soil P		Soil Zn	
	df	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Elevation	1	1.342	0.257	6.729	**0.015**	2.284	0.142	2.525	0.124	2.829	0.104	2.079	0.161
Fire	1	0.032	0.860	0.041	0.840	6.664	**0.016**	0.254	0.618	1.015	0.323	0.082	0.776
Elevation x Fire	1	7.851	**0.009**	0.135	0.716	0.100	0.755	0.224	0.640	0.065	0.801	2.883	0.101
Residuals	*27*												

330 * P-values < 0.05 are bolded and < 0.1 are italicized. Sample size is 31. Key: df = degrees of freedom, F = F-value, P = P-value.
331
332
333

11

Table 5. Analysis of variance results for the linear models with foliar $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$, carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and C/N.*

	$\delta^{13}C$			$\delta^{15}N$			Foliar C			Foliar N			Foliar C/N		
	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P
Elevation	1	9.786	**0.003**	1	0.787	0.379	1	0.148	0.702	1	0.983	0.326	1	1.639	0.206
Fire	1	1.369	0.247	1	2.857	*0.097*	1	4.053	**0.049**	1	1.156	0.287	1	0.425	0.517
Elevation x Fire	1	0.227	0.636	1	1.831	0.182	1	0.001	0.981	1	1.020	0.317	1	1.707	0.197
Residuals	*51*			*51*			*56*			*52*			*52*		

* P-values < 0.05 are bolded and < 0.1 are italicized. Sample size is 55 for foliar isotopes and 56 for foliar nutrients. Key: df = degrees of freedom, F = F-value, P = P-value.

Table 6. Analysis of variance results for the linear models with foliar aluminum (Al^+), calcium (Ca^{2+}), potassium (K^+), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), phosphorus (P), and zinc (Zn).*

	Foliar Al^+			Foliar Ca^{2+}		Foliar K^+		Foliar Mg^{2+}		Foliar P		Foliar Zn	
	df	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Elevation	1	0.341	0.563	13.302	**0.001**	3.158	*0.084*	2.557	0.119	0.012	0.914	8.007	**0.008**
Fire	1	0.021	0.887	0.843	0.365	4.071	*0.051*	0.507	0.481	8.309	**0.007**	0.050	0.824
Elevation x Fire	1	0.187	0.668	0.088	0.769	4.863	0.034	0.377	0.543	0.407	0.527	1.458	0.235
Residuals	*36*												

* P-values < 0.05 are bolded and < 0.1 are italicized. Sample size is 40. Key: df = degrees of freedom, F = F-value, P = P-value.

Table 7. Analysis of variance results for the linear models with slope, tree height, canopy spread, diameter at breast height (DBH), and distance between neighbors.*

	Canopy Spread			DBH			Distance Between Neighbors			Tree Height		
	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P
Elevation	1	7.948	**0.008**	1	13.724	**0.001**	1	21.148	**<0.001**	1	3.451	*0.071*
Fire	1	0.012	0.914	1	1.100	0.301	1	1.418	0.248	1	0.097	0.757
Elevation x Fire	1	0.068	0.795	1	3.022	*0.091*	1	0.468	0.502	1	6.593	**0.015**
Residuals	*36*			*36*			*20*			*36*		

* P-values < 0.05 are bolded and < 0.1 are italicized. Sample size is 40 for tree height, canopy spread, and DBH and 60 for slope and distance between neighbors. Key: df = degrees of freedom, F = F-value, P = P-value, DBH = diameter at breast height.

12

Figure legends

Figure 1. Location of pitch pine populations on Mt. Desert Island used in this study. "H" and "L" indicate high and low elevation populations, respectively, within (orange) and outside (green) the 1947 fire extent. More information about the populations can be found in Table 1.

Figure 2. Topographical maps showing the location of pitch pine individuals (blue dots) within each studied population on Mt. Desert Island. Areas in orange represent areas exposed to the 1947 fire.

Figure 3. Circular plots indicating the aspect of individual trees at each site. Color of points indicates the fire history with red and blue indicating exposure and no exposure to the 1947 fire, respectively. For consistency with other plots, the shapes represent different sites. Circles, triangles, diamonds, and squares correspond to measurements at Gorham Cliffs, South Cadillac, St. Sauveur, and Wonderland, respectively (Table 1). Group letters were assigned using site-to-site Watson test comparisons, with different letters indicating significantly different aspects (Table 2).

Figure 4. Relationship between elevation and soil carbon (A), soil nitrogen (B), soil carbon/nitrogen (C) and soil water retention (D). Color of points and trendlines indicates the fire history with red and blue indicating exposure and no exposure to the 1947 fire, respectively. The trendlines indicate the modeled responses from the linear regression models. Only significant ($P < 0.05$ trends are shown. Black lines indicate relationships that are similar across fire history groups and blue and red lines indicate a difference in trends between fire history groups. Stars, triangles, diamonds, and squares correspond to measurements at Gorham Cliffs (GOR), South Cadillac (SCT), St. Sauveur (STS), and Wonderland (WON), respectively (Table 1).

Figure 5. Relationship between elevation and soil aluminum (A), calcium (B), potassium (C), magnesium (D), phosphorus (E), and zinc (F). Color of points and trendlines indicates the fire history with red and blue indicating exposure and no exposure to the 1947 fire, respectively. The trendlines indicate the modeled responses from the linear regression models. Only significant ($P < 0.05$ trends are shown. Black lines indicate relationships that are similar across fire history groups and blue and red lines indicate a difference in trends between fire history groups. Stars, triangles, diamonds, and squares correspond to measurements at Gorham Cliffs (GOR), South Cadillac (SCT), St. Sauveur (STS), and Wonderland (WON), respectively (Table 1).

13

381 **Figure 6.** Relationship between elevation and δ^{13}C (A) and δ^{15}N (B), foliar carbon (C), foliar nitrogen
382 (D), and foliar carbon/nitrogen (E). Color of points and trendlines indicates the fire history with red and
383 blue indicating exposure and no exposure to the 1947 fire, respectively. The trendlines indicate the
384 modeled responses from the linear regression models. Only significant ($P < 0.05$ trends are shown. Black
385 lines indicate relationships that are similar across fire history groups and blue and red lines indicate a
386 difference in trends between fire history groups. Stars, triangles, diamonds, and squares correspond to
387 measurements at Gorham Cliffs (GOR), South Cadillac (SCT), St. Sauveur (STS), and Wonderland
388 (WON), respectively (Table 1).

390 **Figure 7.** Relationship between elevation and foliar aluminum (A), calcium (B), potassium (C),
391 magnesium (D), phosphorus (E), and zinc (F). Color of points and trendlines indicates the fire history
392 with red and blue indicating exposure and no exposure to the 1947 fire, respectively. The trendlines
393 indicate the modeled responses from the linear regression models. Only significant ($P < 0.05$ trends are
394 shown. Black lines indicate relationships that are similar across fire history groups and blue and red lines
395 indicate a difference in trends between fire history groups. Stars, triangles, diamonds, and squares
396 correspond to measurements at Gorham Cliffs (GOR), South Cadillac (SCT), St. Sauveur (STS), and
397 Wonderland (WON), respectively (Table 1).

399 **Figure 8.** Relationship between elevation and canopy spread (A), diameter at breast height (DBH; B),
400 distance between neighbors (C), and tree height (D). Color of points and trendlines indicates the fire
401 history with red and blue indicating exposure and no exposure to the 1947 fire, respectively. The
402 trendlines indicate the modeled responses from the linear regression models. Only significant ($P < 0.05$
403 trends are shown. Black lines indicate relationships that are similar across fire history groups and blue and
404 red lines indicate a difference in trends between fire history groups. Stars, triangles, diamonds, and
405 squares correspond to measurements at Gorham Cliffs (GOR), South Cadillac (SCT), St. Sauveur (STS),
406 and Wonderland (WON), respectively (Table 1).

408 **Data availability statement**
409 Data used in this article can be found at the following repository:
410 https://github.com/SmithEcophysLab/mtDesertIsland_Pinusrigida (DOI:10.5281/zenodo.4663255).

412 **Author contributions**
413 JL and NGS conceived the work. JL, RM, and NGS contributed substantially to the interpretation of the
414 data and to drafting the manuscript, gave final approval of the version submitted, and agreed to be

415 accountable for all aspects of the work. Questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the
416 work are appropriately investigated and resolved. JL carried out sample collection and field
417 measurements, conducted soil water retention tests and prepared samples for EA-IRMS analysis. NS
418 performed C/N foliar analysis. NGS and RM conducted statistical analyses and formulated figures and
419 tables.
420

430

431 **ORCID**
432 Jeff Licht: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2248-2050
433 Risa McNellis: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3538-9269
434 Nicholas Smith: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7048-4387
435

440

441 **References**
442 Abney, R., Kuhn, T., Chow, A., Hockaday, W., Fogel, M., and Berhe, A. (2019). Pyrogenic carbon
443   erosion after the Rim Fire, Yosemite National Park: The role of burn severity and slope. *JGR*
444   *Biogeosciences* 124, 432–449. doi: 10.1029/2018JG004787
445 Agostinelli, C. and Lund, U. (2017). R package 'circular': Circular Statistics (version 0.4-93). URL:
446   https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/circular/.
447 Bolstad, P. and Stowe, T. (1994). An evaluation of DEM accuracy: elevation, slope, and aspect.
448   *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, *60*(11), 1327-1332.

449   Bresson, C., Kowalski, A., Kremer, A., and Delzon S. (2009). Evidence of altitudinal increase in
450   photosynthetic capacity: gas exchange measurements at ambient and constant CO2 partial pressures.
451   *Annals of Forest Science, 66*, 505–505. doi: 10.1051/forest/2009027
452   Buma, B., Brown, C., Donato, D., Fontaine, J., and Johnstone, J. (2013). The impacts of changing
453   disturbance regimes on serotinous plant populations and communities. *BioScience*, *63*(11), 866-876.
454   doi.org/10.1525/bio.2013.63.11.5
455   Butak, Amanda L. (2014). Vegetation Composition, Structure, and Ecophysiology of Maritime Ledge
456   Ecosystems. *Dissertation/Master's Thesis*. Orono (ME): University of Maine.
457   https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/etd/2212
458   Caldwell, M. and J. Richards. (1989). Hydraulic lift: water efflux from upper roots improves effectiveness
459   of water uptake by deep roots. *Oecologia, 79*, 1-5. doi.org/10.1007/BF00378231
460   Carlo, N., Renninger, H., Clark, K., and Schäfer, K. (2016). Impacts of prescribed fire on Pinus rigida
461   Mill. in upland forests of the Atlantic Coastal Plain. *Tree Physiology*, *36*(8), 967-982. doi:
462   10.1093/treephys/tpw044
463   Certini, G. (2005) Effects of fire on properties of forest soils: a review. *Oecologia, 143*, 1–10.
464   doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1788-8
465   Chen, Z., Wang, G., and Jia, Y. (2017). Foliar d^{13}C Showed No Altitudinal Trend in an Arid Region and
466   Atmospheric Pressure Exerted a Negative Effect on Plant d^{13}C, *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *8*, 1-9.
467   https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01070
468   Churchill, D., Larson, A., Dahlgreen, M., Franklin, J., Hessburg, P., and Lutz, J. A. (2013). Restoring
469   forest resilience: from reference spatial patterns to silvicultural prescriptions and monitoring. *Forest
470   Ecology and Management*, *291*, 442-457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.007
471   Conkey, L., Keifer, M., and Lloyd, A. (1995). Disjunct jack pine (Pinus banksiana Lamb.) structure and
472   dynamics, Acadia National Park, Maine. *Ecoscience*, *2*(2), 168-176.
473   doi.org/10.1080/11956860.1995.11682281
474   Coulson, R. and Klepzig, K. (2011). Southern Pine Beetle II. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-140. Asheville, NC:
475   U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 153-160.
476   doi.org/10.2737/SRS-GTR-140
477   Day, M., Greenwood, M., and White, A. (2001). Age-related changes in foliar morphology and
478   physiology in red spruce and their influence on declining photosynthetic rates and productivity with
479   tree age. *Tree Physiology*, *21*(16), 1195-1204. doi.org/10.1093/treephys/21.16.1195
480   Day, M., Schedlbauer, J., Livingston, Greenwood, M., White, M., and Brissette, J. (2005). Influence of
481   seedbed, light environment, and elevated night temperature on growth and carbon allocation in pitch

482   pine (*Pinus rigida*) and jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) seedlings. *Forest Ecology and Management*,

483   *205*(1), 59-71. doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.10.004

484 Day, M., Zazzaro, S., and Perkins, L. (2014). Seedling ontogeny and environmental plasticity in two co-

485   occurring shade-tolerant conifers and implications for environment–population interactions. *American*

486   *Journal of Botany*, *101*(1), 45-55. doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1300253

487 DeBano, L., Neary, D., and Ffolliott, P. (1998). *Fire effects on ecosystems*. John Wiley & Sons.

488 Dodds, K., Aoki, C., Arango-Velez, A., Cancelliere, J., D'Amato, A., DiGirolomo, M., *et al.* (2018).

489   Expansion of southern pine beetle into northeastern forests: Management and impact of a primary

490   bark beetle in a new region. *Journal of Forestry*, *116*(2), 178-191. doi.org/10.1093/jofore/fvx009

491 Doerr, S., Santin, C., Merino, A., Belcher, C., and Baxter, G. (2018). Fire as a removal mechanism of

492   pyrogenic carbon from the environment: effects of fire and pyrogenic carbon characteristics.

493   *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *6*, 127. doi: 10.3389/feart.2018.00127

494 Dove, N. and Hart, S. (2017) Fire Reduces Fungal Species Richness and In Situ Mycorrhizal

495   Colonization: A Meta-Analysis. *Fire Ecology, 13*, 37–65. doi: 10.4996/fireecology.130237746

496 Farquhar G., Ehleringer J., and Hubick K. (1989) Carbon Isotope Discrimination and Photosynthesis.

497   *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 40, 503–537. doi:

498   10.1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.002443

499 Fernandez, I., Schmitt, C., Birkel, S., Stancioff, E., Pershing, A., Kelley, J., *et al.* (2015). Maine's climate

500   future: 2015 update. University of Maine, Orono, ME. 24 pp. doi: 10.13140/2.1.3356.4961

501 Firn, J., McGree, J.M., Harvey, E., Flores-Moreno, H., Schütz, M., Buckley, Y.M., *et al.* 2019. Leaf

502   nutrients, not specific leaf area, are consistent indicators of elevated nutrient inputs. *Nature Ecology*

503   *& Evolution*, *3*(3), 400-406. doi: 10.1038/s41559-018-0790-1

504 Foereid, B., Lehmann, J., Wurster, C., and Bird, M. (2015). Presence of black carbon in soil due to forest

505   fire in the New Jersey pine barrens. *Journal of Earth Science and Engineering, 5*, 91–97. doi:

506   10.17265/2159-581X/2015.02.001

507 Fox, J. and Weisberg, S. (2019) An R Companion to Applied Regression, Third Edition. *Sage*.

508 Friend, A., Woodward, F. and Switser, V. (1989). Field Measurements of Photosynthesis, Stomatal

509   Conductance, Leaf Nitrogen and δ 13 C Along Altitudinal Gradients in Scotland. *Functional*

510   *Ecology, 3*, 117-122. doi: [10.2307/2389682](10.2307/2389682)

511 Givnish, T. (1981). Serotiny, geography, and fire in the pine barrens of New Jersey. *Evolution*, pp.101-
512   123.
513 Hanson, A. A. (2017). Distribution Patterns in Appalachian Table Mountain Pine and Pitch Pine Stands.

514   *Dissertation/Master's Thesis.* College Station (TX): Texas A&M University.

515   https://hdl.handle.net/1969.1/161568

516   Howard, L. and Stelacio, M. (2011). Fire and the development of high-elevation pitch pine communities

517   in northeastern West Virginia. *Bulletin of the New Jersey Academy of Science*, *56*(2), 19-23.

518   Jordan, M., Patterson III, W. and Windisch, A. (2003). Conceptual ecological models for the Long Island

519   pitch pine barrens: implications for managing rare plant communities. *Forest Ecology and*

520   *Management*, *185*(1-2), 151-168. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1127(03)00252-4

521   Kolden, C. and Weisberg, P. (2007). Assessing accuracy of manually-mapped wildfire perimeters in

522   topographically dissected areas. *Fire Ecology*, *3*(1), 22-31. doi: 10.4996/fireecology.0301022

523   Körner, C., Bannister, P. and Mark, A. (1986). Altitudinal variation in stomatal conductance, nitrogen

524   content and leaf anatomy in different plant life forms in New Zealand. *Oecologia* 69, 577–88.

525   Kunkel, K., Stevens, L., Stevens, S., Janssen, S., Wuebbles, D. and Dobson, J. (2013). Regional climate

526   trends and scenarios for the US national climate assessment: Part 1. Climate of the Northeast United

527   States. NOAA technical report NESDIS 142-1. Washington, DC. 87 pp. Digital Commons,

528   University of Nebraska.

529   Langsrud, Ø. (2003). ANOVA for unbalanced data: Use Type II instead of Type III sums of

530   squares. *Statistics and Computing 13*, 163–167. doi: 10.1023/A:1023260610025.

531   Lafon, C., Grissino-Mayer, H., Aldrich, S., DeWeese, G., Flatley, W., LaForest, L. *et al*. (2014). Three

532   centuries of Appalachian fire history from tree rings. (SRS-199), 99-103. USDA, Southern Research

533   Station.

534   Ledig, F., Hom, J., and Smouse, P. (2013). The evolution of the New Jersey pine plains. *American*

535   *Journal of Botany*, *100*(4), 778-791. doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1200581

536   Ledig, F., Smouse, P., and Hom, J. (2015). Postglacial migration and adaptation for dispersal in pitch pine

537   (Pinaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, *102*(12), 2074-2091. doi: 10.3732/ajb.1500009

538   Lee, C., Robinson, G., Robinson, I., and Lee, H. (2019). Regeneration of pitch pine (Pinus rigida) stands

539   inhibited by fire suppression in Albany Pine Bush Preserve, New York. *Journal of Forestry*

540   *Research*, *30*(1), 233-242. doi: 10.1007/s11676-018-0644-3

541   Lenth, R. and Lenth, M. (2018). Package 'lsmeans'. *The American Statistician*, *34*(4), 216-221.

542   http://glmmadmb.r-forge.r-project.org/repos

543   Lesk, C., Coffel, E., D'Amato, A., Dodds, K., and Horton, R. (2017). Threats to North American forests

544   from southern pine beetle with warming winters. *Nature Climate Change, 7*, 713–717. doi:

545   10.1038/nclimate3375

546   Licht, J. and Smith, N. (2018). The influence of lignocellulose and hemicellulose biochar on

547   photosynthesis and water use efficiency in seedlings from a Northeastern US pine-oak ecosystem.

548   *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, *37*(1), 25-37. doi: 10.1080/10549811.2017.1386113

549   Licht, J. and Smith, N. (2020). Pyrogenic Carbon Increases Pitch Pine Seedling Growth, Soil Moisture
550   Retention, and Photosynthetic Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency in the Field. *Frontiers in Forests and*
551   *Global Change*, *3*, 31. doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2020.00031

552   Little, S. (1953). Prescribed burning as a tool of forest management in the northeastern states. *Journal of*
553   *Forestry*, *51*, 496–500. doi: 10.1093/jof/51.7.496

554   Little, S. and Garrett, P. (1990). Pinus rigida Mill. pitch pine. *Silvics of North America*, *1*, 456-462.

555   Lubinski, S., Hop, K., and Gawler, S. (2003). US Geological Survey-National Park Service Vegetation
556   Mapping Program, Acadia National Park, Maine. *Project Report*. U.S. Department of Interior.

557   Luo, J., Walsh, E., Miller, S., Blystone, D., Dighton, J., and Zhang, N. (2017). Root endophytic fungal
558   communities associated with pitch pine, switchgrass, and rosette grass in the pine barrens ecosystem.
559   *Fungal. Biology*, *121*, 478–487. doi: 10.1016/j.funbio.2017.01.005

560   Miller, D., Castañeda, I., Bradley, R., and MacDonald, D. (2017). Local and regional wildfire activity in
561   central Maine (USA) during the past 900 years. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, *58*(4), 455-466.
562   doi.org/10.1007/s10933-017-0002-z

563   Mosseler, A., Rajora, O., and Major, J. (2004). Reproductive and genetic characteristics of rare, disjunct
564   pitch pine populations at the northern limits of its range in Canada. *Conservation Genetics*, *5*(5), 571-
565   583. doi.org/10.1007/s10592-004-1850-4

566   Neill, C., Patterson, W., and Crary, D. (2007). Responses of soil carbon, nitrogen and cations to the
567   frequency and seasonality of prescribed burning in a cape Cod oak-pine forest. *Forest Ecology and*
568   *Management*, *250*, 234–243. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2007.05.023

569   Nowacki, G. and Abrams, M. (2008). The demise of fire and "mesophication" of forests in the eastern
570   United States. *Bioscience, 58*, 123–138. doi: 10.1641/B580207

571   Parshall, T. and Foster, D. (2002). Fire on the New England landscape: regional and temporal variation,
572   cultural and environmental controls. *Journal of Biogeography*, *29*(10-11), 1305-1317.

573   Patel, K., Jakubowski, M., Fernandez, I., Nelson, S., and Gawley, W. (2019). Soil nitrogen and mercury
574   dynamics seven decades after a fire disturbance: a case study at Acadia National Park. *Water, Air, &*
575   *Soil Pollution*, *230*(2), 29. doi.org/10.1007/s11270-019-4085-1

576   Patterson III, W., Edwards, K., and Maguire, D. (1987). Microscopic charcoal as a fossil indicator of fire.
577   *Quaternary Science Reviews*, *6*(1), 3-23. doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(87)90012-6

578   Patterson, T. R. Maxwell, S. Harley, G. L., Oliver, J. S., Speer, J. H., Collins, S., Downe, M., Gannon, B.,
579   Ma, L., Raso, C., Russell, C., Teets, A. (2016). Climate—Growth Relationships of *Pinus*
580   *rigida* (Mill.) at the Species' Northern Range Limit, Acadia National Park, ME. *Northeastern*
581   *Naturalist*, 23(4), 490-500. doi.org/10.1656/045.023.0406

582 Plain, A., Kuser, J., and Ledig, F. (1987). Provenance and Progeny Variation in Pitch Pine from the

583   Atlantic Coastal Plain. *Forest Science*, *33*(2), 558-564. doi: 10.1093/forestscience/33.2.558

584 Pyne, S. (2019). The Northeast: A Fire Survey. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.

585   doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvckq9kd

586 Qi, Z., Jingfang, S., and Wenwei, L. (2018). A survey about characteristics of soil water retention curve.

587   In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 153, No. 6, p. 062076). IOP

588   Publishing.

589 Renninger, H., Clark, K., Skowronski, N., and Schäfer, K. (2013). Effects of a prescribed fire on water

590   use and photosynthetic capacity of pitch pines. *Trees*, *27*(4), 1115-1127. doi: 10.1007/s00468-013-

591   0861-5

592 Shakesby, R. and Doerr, S. (2006). Wildfire as a hydrological and geomorphological agent. *Earth-Science*

593   *Reviews*, *74*(3-4), 269-307. doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.10.006

594 Steiner, K. and Berrang, P. (1990). Microgeographic adaptation to temperature in pitch pine progenies.

595   *American Midland Naturalist*, 292-300. doi.org/10.2307/2426557

596 Swanston, C., Brandt, L., Janowiak, M., Handler, S., Butler-Leopold, P., Iverson, L., *et al.* (2018).

597   Vulnerability of forests of the Midwest and Northeast United States to climate change. *Climate.*

598   *Change* 146, 103–116. doi: 10.1007/s10584-017-2065-2

599 Szpakowski, D. and Jensen, J. (2019). A review of the applications of remote sensing in fire ecology.

600   *Remote Sensing*, *11*(22), 2638. doi.org/10.3390/rs11222638

601 Verma, S. and Jayakumar, S. (2012). Impact of forest fire on physical, chemical and biological properties

602   of soil: A review. *Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*,

603   *2* (3), 168.

604 Wang, H., Prentice, I., Davis, T., Keenan, T., Wright, I. and Peng, C. (2017) Photosynthetic responses to

605   altitude: an explanation based on optimality principles. *New Phytologist*, *213*, 976–982.

606   doi.org/10.1111/nph.14332