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Pitch Pine Stands Recover, Adapt And Persist In The Absence Of Fire Under The Influence Of Elevation And Topographic Factors
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**ABSTRACT**

Globally rare pitch pine (*Pinus rigida* Miller) is thought to depend on intermittent fire, which encourages reproduction and niche preservation. At Mt. Desert Island in Acadia National Park (ME, USA) a stand-replacing conflagration enveloped a portion of the island in 1947. However, there has been no recurrence of fire. Other populations on the island have been unaffected by fire disturbance for over one hundred years. Fire history is shown to influence plant form and functioning, yet these impacts are not well quantified for pitch pine in relation to factors such as elevation and topography, which are also known to impact traits of this species. We studied the impact of fire history on traits of pitch pine individuals across elevation (9.5 to 404 m) and topographic (flat, ledge and cliff) gradients at Mt. Desert Island. Traits included growth, stand density, photosynthetic water use efficiency, and foliar nutrients. We also measured site data such as soil nutrients, soil water retention, elevation, slope and aspect. Elevation was found to be a greater driver of persistence than fire history and there was little interaction between elevation and fire history. Our data indicates that fire return intervals were likely too infrequent to support selection for recovery capacity traits; instead, persistence capacity traits increased in response to low intensity disturbances. Trees at upper elevations were smaller, narrower and less canopied than those at lower elevations and had greater water use efficiency, indicating a preference for stress resistance over growth at harsher, high elevation sites. At modest elevation trees exhibited greater capacity for growth and a reduction in stress tolerance traits due to more favorable conditions, including greater soil moisture retention.

**INTRODUCTION**

On Mt. Desert Island in Maine USA, globally threatened pitch pine (*Pinus rigida* Miller) dwell at the edge of their northeastern range. Some fire ecologists in Northeast USA suggest wildfire is required every six to twenty-five years to perpetuate and rejuvenate pitch pine (Jordan *et al* 2003) such as these. Yet, on Mt. Desert Island, pitch pine survive despite fire suppression (Miller *et al* 2017), which has persisted in the aftermath of the infamous 1947 conflagration (Fig. 1). It is not entirely clear what factors contribute to recovery and persistence in the absence of fire (Patterson Saunders and Horton 1983; Parshall *et al* 2003). In coastal Atlantic states further south, recovery and persistence are further complicated by a combination of natural fire (Foereid *et al* 2015) and anthropogenic controlled burns (Carlo *et al* 2016) which comprise a system where there is reduced fuel, removal of evergreen competition and opening of canopies (Neill *et al* 2007).

At Mt. Desert Island, trees in low-lying undisturbed (non-1947 fire) areas (Fig. 2) appear engaged in seedling recruitment superior to trees in the upper elevation fire zone. Indeed, reaction to fire absence manifests as physiological and morphological adjustments over many years (Little 1953). On the island, cone serotiny (Givnish 1981), thick bark and epicormic re-sprouting (Renninger *et al* 2013) have disappeared in formerly fire-prone pitch pine ecosystems (Jordan *et al* 2003). Suppression makes it likely that former fire adaptive mechanisms like these (no longer required for stand sustenance) are wasted investments which likely encourage under-competition with other evergreens (Buma *et al* 2013). Critically, it is not clear if, in reaction to the former pyrogenic forest effect, recovery accelerates the disappearance of adaptive traits (Heuss 2018) or if this is a result of extrinsic responses to other, low intensity disturbances. Previous studies (e.g., Ibáñez *et al* 2019) have framed resilience as an artifact of recovery (Charpentier 2020) specifically in the absence of further fire disturbance and adaptation to climate change (Swanston *et al* 2018). We elect to distinguish between recovery and persistence capacity through a new qualitative model which explains pitch pine responses to fire history and non-fire environmental constraints through the lenses of elevation gradients and topography (Dunne *et al* 2004) (Fig. 3). It is informed by earlier resilience theories (Jordan Patterson and Windisch 2003; Howard and Stelacio 2011; Ibáñez *et al* 2019). Here, we posit pitch pine populations exhibit non-mutually exclusive responses: (1) **recovery capacity** as a measure of plant performance (Ibáñez *et al* 2019) long after fire disturbance (Patterson Saunders and Horton 1983) and (2) **persistence capacity** that reflects responses in the absence of disturbance (Brand and Jax 2007).

Each capacity metric reflects an ecologically stable strategy (Day and Greenwood 2011), e.g., tradeoffs between growth and stress avoidance) and colony retreat and expansion (Swanston *et al* 2018), as a function of stand density (Churchill *et al* 2012). Recovery capacity, linked to fire history, is the better understood of the two pathways given the extensive literature covering resilience (Ibáñez *et al* 2019) and tree health (Fuller and Quine 2016). Persistence capacity on the other hand, is linked to topography and elevation, e.g., where shade-intolerant pitch pine out-compete other evergreens such as red spruce (*Picea rubens*), hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) and balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) expanding into new, mostly uninhabited territory. There is currently a paucity of biogeochemical, elevation and topographical data at Mt. Desert Island to inform the functionalities within this model. To remedy this, we consider soil and plant nutrition including C and N, stable isotope analysis to derive intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUEδ13C), soil moisture retention, growth and stand density set against fire history.

Fire history is revealed by subsurface charcoal remnants found in previous fire zones. Charcoal pyrogenic carbon (PyC) festoons selected areas of the north side of Cadillac Mountain (Patterson Edwards and Maguire 1987). These deposits suggest the possibility of recalcitrant soil C and high mineral holding capacity long after a fire event (Pingree and DeLuca 2017). Fire history artifacts like charcoal (Licht *et al* 2017) and increased elevation (Wang *et al* 2017) have been linked to increased intrinsic water use efficiency. Given the difference in fire histories between Mt. Desert Island populations, this provides a nearly ideal testbed to better understand chemical geography status (Verma and Jayakumar 2012) and address a number of key questions with regard to leaf traits, tree growth and stand dynamics as well as possible interactions between fire history and elevation.

Indeed our foremost aim is to examine a proposed model through an analysis of four key pitch pine populations according to the interactive influence of fire and elevation. It is important to acknowledge previous studies of fire involvement and post-fire photosynthetic response (Chen Wang and Jia 2017) relative to elevation and topography. We develop an hypothesis which predicts greater pitch pine growth and population expansion at low elevation sites reflective of higher soil C and foliar C (Butak 2014) compared to upper sites, more negative iWUEδ13C (Licht and Smith 2020) at upper sites and greater soil water retention as indicators of persistence capacity at lower locations. Additionally, we theorize trees at low elevations display a greater propensity for growth in height, canopy and DBH based on more competitive resource conservation (Stambaugh *et al* 2015). Concomitantly, we conjecture ubiquitous topographic changes will be seen at lower elevations and less at upper ledge elevations (Howard and Stelacio 2011) which limit clustering (stand density), colonization (Lafon *et al* 2014) and expansion.

**METHODS**

**Study Extraction Sites**

We investigated fifteen pitch pine specimens at each of four sites at Mt. Desert Island, factorially crossed in a fire history (Miller *et al* 2014) by elevation design: (1) Wonderland trail between 9 and 25 m elevation (low elevation, outside the footprint of the 1947 fire), (2) Gorham cliffs between 24 and 36 m (low elevation, within the footprint), (3) St. Sauveur trail between 134 and 198 m (high elevation, outside the footprint) and (4) South Cadillac trail between 188 and 417 m (high elevation within the footprint).

**Allometry**We measure individual tree height, canopy spread and stem diameter of the bole at breast height (DBH). Tree height was estimated using nested, 2 m calibrated, aluminum rods (Garelick, St. Paul, MN, USA). DBH was measured at 1.06 m using a ProSkit electronic digital caliper (Amelia, VA, USA). Canopy spread was measured using the span between the same calibrated aluminum rods fixed with two landscape flags as a ground truth reference.

**Clustering**Mean distances between sampled trees (*N*=167) up to five of their nearest, reproductively mature conspecific neighbors (within 5 m) in the same clump (Churchill et all 2012) were calculated as mean neighbor distance—a surrogate, but inverse, measure for stand density (Mosseler Rajora and Major 2004).  
**Topographic features**

We used a Kodak Trimble Juno 3B unit to obtain horizontal resolution of data plotted using between five and seven satellite telecommunication vehicles to maintain a maximum PDOP (Position Dilution of Precision). These data were differentially corrected and have estimated accuracies in the horizontal and vertical direction of 2 meters, while SA (selective availability) is set to zero. Multiple satellite-configured GPS data (USGS 2m LIDAR 2010) determined coordinates for individual trees (Lubinski Hop and Gawler 2003) as well as slope and aspect attributes using ArcGIS (version 10). Mapping of this type of data is used in the past to compare physiography and recalcitrant chemical biogeography, particularly in fire prone contexts (Szpakowski and Jensen 2019).

**Isotopic analysis**

We obtained C isotopic data (δ13C) and N isotopic data (δ15N) of fully expanded leaves (needle cluster) of 15 individual at each site. Sample fascicles were separated and dried for two days at 60 ◦C ground in a SPEX ball mill (Metuchen, NJ, USA), weighed to +/- 2 mg for leaf tissue and +/- 5 mg for soil using a Cole-Palmer (Vernon Hills, IL, USA) micro analytic balance and rolled in Costech (Valencia, CA, USA) 5 x 9 mm tin capsules. A Thermo Delta (Waltham, MA, USA) V+ IR-MS continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer with a universal triple collector was used. Combustion gasses were separated on a gas chromatograph column, passed through a diluter and reference gas box, and introduced into the spectrometer. δ13C was used to indicate water use efficiency (iWUEδ13C) (Farquhar et al. 1989).

**Foliar tissue analysis**

Leaf tissue was obtained from excision of basal fascicle bundles at 1.06 m. 50 mL samples of needles were separated, cut and dried for two days at 60 ◦C. Then they were ground in a SPEX ball mill (Metuchen, NJ, USA), sieved to <10 mm, and <2 mL were fed to a Leco CN-2000 Carbon-Nitrogen Analyzer (Leco Corp., St. Joseph, MI) coupled with the spectrometer to determine C and N concentrations. 35 mL aliquots were submitted for standard plant tissue nutrient analysis using a TJA Model 975 AtomComp ICP-AES (Thermo Jarrell-Ash Corp., Franklin, MA). The method comprised submersion in a 5 mL trace-metal-grade HNO3 treatment, then refluxed on hot block at 80 ◦C for two hours and diluted to 25 mL with 0.4 micron PTFE syringe filters to access extractable macro and micro inorganics.

**Soil analysis**

Soils (porous and acidic hornblende granite or Ellsworth schist) were uniformly shallow, homogeneous, low fertility (varying between .7-2.5 cm) and overlain with rapidly drying needle duff (Day *et al* 2005). All sites were excavated similarly by hand trowel and soil probe (Accuproducts, Saline, MI, USA). Aliquots were extracted from Oa-Ab horizon soil pockets of organic and mineral deposits within 50 cm of the tree base. 250 mL soil samples were sieved (#10) and measured. Drying was performed in an oven at 100°C for two days. Analysis was performed using a modified Mehlich method using inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy, pH measurement via proton activity of a 1:1 slurry and effective soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) via formic acid extraction. These methods are described more fully elsewhere (Lichtand Smith 2018). Soil C and N were calculated using elemental analysis in a similar fashion to method above for foliar samples.

**Soil Water Retention (SWR)**

70 mL soil samples were extracted at fifteen tree locations at four sites, from <7.5 cm (Oa-Ab) horizon above bedrock. In a laboratory 50 g H2O were added to each aliquot to assess net water retention as a subset of soil moisture evaporation (*ψ*g) to determine net evaporative loss or adsorption to surfaces. Soil water retention analysis was conducted according to the Fields method (Lichtand Smith 2018). Retention effects of gravitational and evaporation forces was made on a wet basis where Wm=g H2O **●** (g moist soil)-1 (Jingfang and Wenwei 2018).

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were analyzed using a similar linear model structure with elevation (high or low) and presence of the 1947 fire (yes or no) as categorical fixed factors. The interaction between elevation and presence of the 1947 fire was also included in each model. In total, twenty four models were fit with the following dependent variables: tree height (m), canopy spread (m), DBH (cm), foliar: C (%), N (%), C/N (unitless), δ13C (‰), δ15N (‰), Ca (g g-1), P (g g-1), K (g g-1), Mg (g g-1), Al (g g-1), Zn (g g-1), soil: C (g g-1), N (g g-1), C/N (unitless), Ca (g g-1), P (g g-1), K (g g-1), Mg (g g-1), Al (g g-1), Zn (g g-1) and water retention (%). Tree height, canopy spread, DBH, foliar P, foliar K, foliar Zn, soil P, soil Al, soil Zn, and soil CN were log transformed to meet model assumptions of normality and heterogeneity of variances. Soil water retention was arcsin square root transformed to meet model assumptions.

All linear models were fit using the ‘lm’ function in R (R Core Team 2019). Significance tests for each fixed factor was performed using the ‘anova’ function in R (R Core Team 2019). Post-hoc Tukey’s tests were done to examine significant interactions between elevation and the presence of the 1947 fire using the ‘emmeans’ package in R (Lenth 2018).

Because aspect data is circular in nature, we analyzed aspect data using a Watson’s Two-Sample Test of Homogeneity as implemented in the R package ‘circular’ (Agostinelli and Lund 2017). Specifically, one-to-one comparisons were done between each site in all six possible combinations.

All analyses were performed with R version 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2019).

**RESULTS**

*Aspect*

Watson’s two sample t-tests indicated that the aspects of all sites differed with respect to one another except for the two sites that experienced the 1947 fire (Gorham Cliffs and South Cadillac Trail), which had similar aspects (Tab. 2 and Fig. 4).

*Allometry and Stand Density*

There was a significant interaction between fire and elevation on tree height (*P* < 0.01) and DBH (P < 0.05; Fig. 6A and Tab. 3), with trees at higher elevation that experienced the 1947 fire being shorter than those at low elevation that did not experience the fire and having a smaller DBH than all other sites. Canopy spread tended to be reduced at high elevation (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 6B and Tab. 3), although Tukey’s tests revealed no difference between sites at α=0.05. Distance between neighbors was greater at high elevation sites, particularly the one that experienced the 1947 fire (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 6D and Tab. 3).

*iWUEδ13C*

Trees at higher elevations experienced less negative iWUEδ13C (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 7A and Tab. 6), reflecting greater water use efficiency, regardless of fire history. There were no significant differences between tree populations for δ15N (*P* > 0.05, Fig. 7B and Tab. 6)

*Foliar organics*

On average, foliar C was greater at upper elevations, however the results were not statistically significant (*P* > 0.05, Fig. 8A and Tab. 4); nor was there a difference in C/N between sites (*P* > 0.05, Fig. 8C and Tab. 4). Our linear model suggested that fire accounted for a significant influence on foliar N (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 8B and Tab. 4), however post-hoc Tukey’s tests found no difference between sites at α=0.05 (Fig. 8B).

*Foliar macronutrient*

Foliar Ca+2 was negatively impacted by increasing elevation (*P* < 0.001, Fig. 9A and Tab. 5). Our linear model suggested that foliar P was significantly higher at fire-involved sites (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 9B and Tab. 5), although this was not confirmed by post-hoc Tukey’s tests (Fig. 9B). Foliar K+ was reduced in the high elevation site that experienced fire as compared to the other sites (elevation x fire: *P* < 0.05, Fig. 9C and Tab. 5). Neither foliar Al+ nor Mg+ differed by site (*P*>0.05 in both cases; Fig. 9C and Tab. 5). Foliar Zn concentrations were 9% lower in the high elevation sites than on the low elevation sites (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 9F and Tab. 5), due to a particularly strong reduction at the high elevation site that experienced fire.

*Soil organics*

Soil C concentrations were greater at lower elevations (*P*<0.05) and sites that did not experience the 1947 fire (*P*<0.05, Fig. 10A and Tab. 7). Soil N did not vary between sites (*P* > 0.05, Fig. 10B and Tab. 7). Soil C/N was 15% lower at high elevation sites (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 10C and Tab. 7), but we found no significant disparity in C/N when either fire history or fire history x elevation interactions were examined (*P* > 0.05 in both cases).

*Soil macronutrient*

Soil Ca+2 decreased with elevation (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 11A and Tab. 8). P, Mg and Zn were not significantly different across sites (Tab. 8). However, fire accounted for a 48% reduction in Kat fire-experienced sites (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 11C and Tab. 8). While there was no significant interaction between elevation and fire history according to foliar Al+ availability, we found that interaction was significant in soil Al+ (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 11E and Tab. 8) suggesting soluble Al+ is quite likely a contributor to growth limitations (Cumming and Weinstein 1990) at higher elevations at Mt. Desert Island.

*Soil Water Retention, CEC and pH*

There was an interaction between elevation and fire history on soil water retention (SWR) (*P* <0.01, Fig. 12A and Tab. 9), with markedly higher values at Gorham cliffs, the low elevation site that experienced fire, as compared to other sites. Soil pH was not different between sites (P > 0.05, Tab. 9). Our linear model indicated that cation exchange capacity (CEC) was higher at the high elevation sites (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 12C and Tab. 9), but this was not confirmed by our post-hoc Tukey’s tests, which indicated no difference between sites (Fig. 12C).

**DISCUSSION**

Elevation, as opposed to fire, was the dominant driver of plant and ecosystem processes we measured, which indicated that persistence capacity was more important than recovery capacity at Mt. Desert Island, at least over the last one hundred years according to twenty statistically significant response variable data. Our findings underscore differences between recovery capacity and persistence capacitypathways and provide an explanation to resolve an enigma of persistence in fire absence and the dominant influence of elevation.

Elevation played a role in several meaningful ways. We confirmed that increased elevation tends to favor efficiency (increased iWUEδ13C over growth (Wang *et al* 2017; Chen, Wang and Jia 2017). Further, we found distance between neighbors at higher elevation was greater compared to lower elevation sites, with sparser tree aggregation was more of a reflection of recovery than persistence capacity*..* At the highest elevation, South Cadillac trail, we expected to find the steepest slopes but they were far less inclined than those at Gorham cliffs. We anticipated low elevation (<50 m) populations would feature a greater number of close conspecific neighbors as a function of no fire history, flat or cliff orientation, evidenced by a gentle slope (<10°), and tendency towards a southerly aspect (*µ*=180°). A portion of the resistance capacity theory was borne out most forcefully at Wonderland, where trees, living on by far the flattest terrain, achieved the widest distribution over the widest contiguous area with the lowest mean distance between population members. ~~There was sufficient variability in some of the data to underscore differences between flat, cliff and ledge communities, even if at a small spatial scale~~.

Fire was less meaningful than elevation, even at high elevation ledge communities. We found little compelling empirical evidence that it is a necessary ingredient in population perpetuation at Mt. Desert Island. Fire return intervals have lengthened at Mt. Desert Island to the point where they are quite likely to be too intermittent to perpetuate previous fire resistance traits. A shift back to fire, accompanied by a re-introduction of serotinous characteristics, is not impossible in the future; however, current climate projections advise against this occurrence (Fernandez *et al* 2015). Despite the absence of fire, there is no absence of fire remnants long after the 1947 event. In fact, discounting topography and elevation, the authors (Licht and Smith 2020) reported anthropogenic fire charcoal (PyC) was associated with (more negative) iWUEδ13C, negligible consumption of Ca, K and Mgand enhanced soil moisture holding capacity. Here, we found lower iWUEδ13C at high elevations regardless of fire history, consistent with reported outcomes for non-glaciated populations in flat, sand plain New Jersey Pine Barrens (Mikita-Barbato *et al* 2015; Schafer and Bohrer 2016). There is evidence from previous investigations that post-fire PyC remnants endure in the soil layer (DeBano 1981), increase alkali cations (Kolden *et al* 2017), solubilize minerals (Caldwell and Richards 1989) and are likely linked to thermal exfoliation (Shakesby and Doerr 2006). From the standpoint of reproduction, the absence of fire, at locations such as persistence capacity-oriented Wonderland trail (Butak 2014), does not appear to be slowing down expansion in that flat-sloped region nor or ledge locations (e.g., St. Sauveur) that we and others (Howard 2010) studied.

One could argue that charcoal remnants likely play a role in recovery capacity at burned-over Cadillac Brook (below the heights of South Cadillac trail) but it is not known to what extent these benefit the larger ecosystem. Developing further insights into the ecological stoichiometry of pitch pine at Mt. Desert Island marks an important step in achieving a firmer purchase of the relation between biogeochemistry, fire history and elevation factors. Our nutrient analysis derived from burned and unburned trees is similar to others such as those in the pine barrens of New Jersey (e.g., Renninger *et al* 2013). P concentration at upper elevations at Mt. Desert Island contrasted with more modest availability at other, low-lying pinelands at Mt. Desert Island and. One explanation for substantial soil P availability is that it derives from charcoal remnants; however, lacking mycorrhizal studies we were unable to confirm the extent of P liberated from the charcoal in the two 1947 fire precincts. Investigators found P, a limiting factor to growth (Verma and Jayakumar 2012), was substantially more concentrated at South Cadillac by 20-45% than at lower elevations. We expected this result would associate to larger pools of soil C (Preston and Schmidt 2006) and C enrichment (Patel *et al* 2016) as measured in soil columns (Hart Horn and Grissino-Mayer 2008). This in fact was the case. We conjecture soil C persistence since the 1947 perturbance at burned-over areas such as South Cadillac trail reflects a failure of pyrogenic carbon removal (Doerr *et al* 2018), though elsewhere, in non-fire populations, lower C availability is attributable to greater consumption by fungi (Luo *et al* 2017). However, foliar C outcomes registered as much as 35% higher at South Cadillac than at non-fire locales, a likely indicator of post-fire recovery. These results are consistent with findings by others in New Jersey (Renninger *et al* 2013; Alkañiz *et al* 2018). Elevation is a key factor and we noted previously that foliar Zn availability was higher at lower elevations at Mt. Desert Island; this was consistent with findings by others in another New Jersey investigation (Kolker *et al* 2013). Our findings led us to speculate that persistence capacity may be tied to higher concentrations of foliar Ca2+ and K+ but recovery capacity was more likely connected to higher P (Mg2+ foliar availability was not significant in this study) as indicated above.

Climate is likely the final arbiter of decline rather than stand-replacing fire disturbance in the case of long-term pitch pine livelihood. Recent climate change models anticipate negative impact on future vegetative status at Mt. Desert Island (Fernandez *et al* 2015) but these do not specifically address the vicissitudes of pitch pine nor the extent to which plasticity (Day *et al* 2014) is shaping tendency towards persistence capacity orrecovery capacity. What has been clear for at least two decades is the effect of global climate change on ecophysiology traits. Day, Greenwood and White (2001) found an uptick in annual temperatures signaled increased leaf-air vapor pressure deficits which negatively impacted pitch pine stomata response and limited gas exchange. In a related report, scientists found warming trends (Kunkel *et al* 2013) increased pitch pine difficulties in reproduction (Ledig Smouse and Hom 2015). This includes weather-related effects such as episodic drought, harsh winds and salt spray (Schmitt 2015; Fernandez *et al* 2015) as well as conditions that increased cold intolerance (Berang and Steiner 1985). Increases in annual winter temperatures (Lesk *et al* 2017) coupled with an absence of fire cause concern about a quite different disturbance—potential invasion within the next decade of an herbivore, Southern pine beetle (*Dendroctonus frontalis* Zimmer or ‘SPB’). Although deer browse and rodent damage (Ledig *et al* 2013) historically impeded tree survival in pine barrens, SPB has already paid a deadly visit to Long Island NY (Dodds *et al* 2018). Unless its progress is deterred by other insect predators like double checkered clerid (*Thanasimus dubius*) (Coulson and Klepzig 2011), it is possible that pitch pines along with understory plants, butterflies and moth members of the Acadia ecosystem will suffer the same fate experienced in more southerly locations (Lesk *et al* 2017). According to several authors (Day *et al* 2005; Lee *et al* 2019) warming climate impacts the suitability of habitat; pitch pine tendencies to consolidate, regenerate or migrate may be in jeopardy. These effects are likely to eventually limit aspects of persistence capacity such as niche expansion, if they have not already, through a combination of diminished open space capacity, loss of enriched substrates and elimination of fortuitous or ‘safe’ sites (Day *et al* 2005).

Pitch pine is considered an important guardian of underlying heath communities at Mt. Desert Island; it is foundational as a necessary ecosystem component in a stressed environment. Despite increasing climate pressures, tree retreat into ever more sparse conditions reinforces their facilitator status (Connell and Slatyer 1977) for this species explicitly maintains the livelihood of underlying flora through a sharing and distribution of ecoservices. Nevertheless, competitive advantages enjoyed currently may even give way to ‘mesophication’—negative feedback for shade intolerant trees like pitch pine (Nowacki and Abrams 2008), perhaps more widespread at the confluence of fire suppression, overabundance of deer and climate change.

The model we proposed is not built on a quantitative framework nor is it intended as a predictive model, *per se*, yet results attached to this model are useful in several ways. First, these metrics provide a context for describing recovery or persistence in mathematical relationships along an adaptivity curve. Second, our method operationalizes recovery and persistence mechanisms fit to an ecological framework (Brand and Jax 2007). Finally, our model may be used to better understand how pitch pine in other ecosystems, outside of the Northeast U.S., discriminate between recovery capacity and persistence capacityat a given elevation and within certain topographic parameters. The present data provides a firmer purchase on current regeneration and expansion concepts—essential to an appreciation of influences on persistence in the absence of forest or prescribed fire.

**CONCLUSION**

Here, we present an explanatory model of pitch pine post-fire recovery and persistence capacities to analyze population status as a function of fire and topography. We found adaptivity effects (growth, expansion into greater stand density) account for greater growth and stand density on more hospitable terrain, with little impact from fire history. This is likely due to the fact that fire return intervals are so infrequent as to reduce recovery features found elsewhere (e.g., cone serotiny). Flat and ledge pitch pine populations exhibited greater buoyancy than trees in more strenuous cliff situations. We also identified a selective preference for either growth at low elevations or stress tolerance at high elevations using multiple plant and ecosystem metrics. Our findings unravel an enigma about persistence in a post-fire milieu during a critical phase of the Anthropocene age (Crutzen and Stoermer 2000). At lower elevations, which represent the vast majority of pitch pine populations in the Northeast US, we predict newly pioneered locations reflect a continuation of persistence capacity signaled by significant differences in density, slope and aspect. At a time when continued climate change may tip the scale away from survival, our findings encourage the use of a model by forest managers to better understand the imposition of fire absence on flat and ledge communities.
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