




Photosynthesis is a key component of the global carbon cycle




Photosynthesis is a key component of the global carbon cycle




Photosynthesis is a key component of the global carbon cycle



But predictions are uncertain!




But predictions are uncertain!



Future model uncertainty (14 Pg) > current fossil fuel emissions (9.5 Pg)

This uncertainty is driven by uncertainty in photosynthesis



Why the uncertainty? Theoretical models for photosynthesis exist

Planta 149, 78-90 (1980)




A Biochemical Model of Photosynthetic CO₂ Assimilation in Leaves of C₃ Species

G.D. Farquhar¹, S. von Caemmerer¹, and J.A. Berry²


Department of Environmental Biology, Research School of Biological Sciences, Australian National University, P.O. Box 475, Canberra City ACT 2601, Australia and

² Carnegie Institution of Washington, Department of Plant Biology, Stanford, Cal. 94305, USA

These produce short term responses that match data



Why the uncertainty? Long-term responses differ from short-term responses due to <u>acclimation</u>




Acclimation: an example

Acclimated to high light

Photosynthetic rate

Acclimated to low light




Acclimation: an example

Acclimated to high light

Photosynthetic rate

Acclimated to low light



Photosynthesis peaks a light level close to the level the leaf is acclimated to

Acclimation is ubiquitous and well known...

CO₂: Bazzaz (1990)

Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 1990. 21:167-96 Copyright © 1990 by Annual Reviews Inc. All rights reserved

THE RESPONSE OF NATURAL ECOSYSTEMS TO THE RISING GLOBAL CO₂ LEVELS

F. A. Bazzaz

Light: Boardman (1977)

Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 1977. 28:355-77
Copyright © 1977 by Annual Reviews Inc. All rights reserved

COMPARATIVE PHOTOSYNTHESIS OF SUN AND SHADE PLANTS

N. K. Boardman

Division of Plant Industry, CSIRO, Canberra City, A.C.T. 2601, Australia

Temperature: Berry & Björkman (1980)


Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 1980. 31:491-543
Copyright © 1980 by Annual Reviews Inc. All rights reserved

PHOTOSYNTHETIC RESPONSE AND ADAPTATION TO TEMPERATURE IN HIGHER PLANTS


Joseph Berry and Olle Björkman¹

...and can impact carbon cycling and climate

Acclimation increase future C storage by ~6%



Acclimation alters future temperature by >1°C





Unfortunately, no theoretical model for photosynthetic acclimation exists

Lack of theory results in...

Lack of theory results in...


- Unreliable future predictions (overparameterization, tuning)
 - Reliance on statistical models






Lack of theory results in...

Inability to test mechanisms







Global Change Biology

REPORT 🙃 Open Access 💿 📵 🖘




Increasing the spatial and temporal impact of ecological research: A roadmap for integrating a novel terrestrial process into an Earth system model

Emily Kyker-Snowman X, Danica L. Lombardozzi, Gordon B. Bonan, Susan J. Cheng, Jeffrey S. Dukes, Serita D. Frey, Elin M. Jacobs, Risa McNellis, Joshua M. Rady, Nicholas G. Smith ... See all authors 🗸

First published: 20 September 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15894 | Citations: 27

See also commentary on this article by Moore, 28, 343–345



So, we developed a mechanistic model of photosynthetic acclimation

Based on **optimization** and the **first principles** of plant physiological theory

Eco-evolutionary optimality as a means to improve vegetation and land-surface models

Sandy P. Harrison , Wolfgang Cramer, Oskar Franklin, Iain Colin Prentice, Han Wang, Åke Brännström, Hugo de Boer, Ulf Dieckmann, Jaideep Joshi, Trevor F. Keenan, Aliénor Lavergne, Stefano Manzoni, Giulia Mengoli, Catherine Morfopoulos, Josep Peñuelas, Stephan Pietsch, Karin T. Rebel, Youngryel Ryu, Nicholas G. Smith, Benjamin D. Stocker, Ian J. Wright ... See fewer authors ^

First published: 15 June 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17558

Optimization: Least cost theory

Optimally, plants will maintain fastest rate of photosynthesis at the lowest summed resource cost (water and nutrient use)

Photosynthesis = f{stomatal conductance, photosynthetic biochemistry}

Photosynthesis = f{stomatal conductance, photosynthetic biochemistry}


Must predict optimal rates of both

Photosynthesis = *f*{**stomatal conductance**, photosynthetic biochemistry}

[TALK TO ME LATER ABOUT THIS IF YOU ARE INTERESTED]

Photosynthesis = f{stomatal conductance, photosynthetic biochemistry}

Biochemistry optimization



Plant biochemistry setup will aim for equal limitation by all factors


[TALK TO ME LATER ABOUT THE MATHS]

Optimally: electron transport-limited (A_i) = Rubisco-limited (A_c)


$$A_j = A_c$$

 $A_j = f\{\text{light, T, CO}_2\}$
 $A_c = f\{V_{cmax}, T, CO_2\}$


$$V_{\text{cmax}} = f\{\text{light, T, CO}_2\}$$




 $V_{\rm cmax}$ increases with light because of greater electron transport



 $V_{\rm cmax}$ increases with temperature because of greater electron transport and photorespiration




 $V_{\rm cmax}$ decreases with ${\rm CO_2}$ because of greater ${\rm CO_2}$ in the leaf and less photorespiration




 $V_{\rm cmax}$ decreases with soil N limitation because Rubisco requires a lot of N


We can predict optimal traits in different environments





Ok great, but does it work?

Global V_{cmax} dataset











Optimal $V_{\rm cmax}$ is similar to observed values


What does acclimation mean for future terrestrial biogeochemical cycling? An Example

Expected future responses




 V_{cmax} decreases with CO_2 because of greater CO_2 in the leaf and less photorespiration





V_{cmax} changes with CO₂ in ways expected from optimization

Boxes = data = -0.0063 Pa^{-1} Circles = predicted = -0.0066 Pa^{-1}




We further confirmed this universality of this response under 18 nitrogen by inoculation treatments

Treatment

- Elevated CO₂, inoculated
- Elevated CO₂, uninoculated
- Ambient CO₂, inoculated
- Ambient CO₂, uninoculated


This generally suggests lower nitrogen demand under future conditions




Let's run a model out into the future!




Photosynthesis increases with elevated CO₂ at lower leaf N




Leaf N reduction is due to a reduction in photosynthetic capacity



Plants build more leaves and fine roots




Leaf N savings increases long-term ecosystem carbon stocks



50% increase in ecosystem carbon from CO₂ acclimation


Need to rethink nutrient limitation in models?




Extensions of this approach

Extensions of this approach


• C₄ photosynthesis





Extensions of this approach

Other leaf traits




Theory development can help with prediction, but is also a powerful tool to understand mechanism

- Photosynthetic responses to nutrient availability (Waring et al., 2023; Stocker et al., 2025; Perkowski et al., 2025; Cheaib et al., 2025a)
- Plant interactions with microbial symbionts (Perkowski et al., 2025; Cheaib et al., 2025b)
- Photosynthetic trait influences on populations (Cheaib et al., in prep) and communities (Kelley et al., in prep)




 Photosynthetic responses to nutrient availability (Waring et al., 2023; Stocker et al., 2025; Perkowski et al., 2025; Cheaib et al., 2025)




Leaves more responsive to soil N when demand is high (low MI, low T)

• Plant interactions with microbial symbionts (Perkowski et al., 2025; Cheaib et al., 2025)



Scavenging strategy (e.g., AMF) is less costly when soil N is high and mining strategy (e.g., EcMF) is less costly when soil N is low

• Photosynthetic trait influences on populations (Cheaib et al., in prep) and communities (Kelley et al., in prep)




As predicted from theory, increased leaf N confers greater species population growth in dry sites and this is enhanced by eutrophication.

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Plants aren't dumb!
 - Assuming plants don't dynamically respond to their environment can lead to poor understanding of ecological functioning from individuals to the Earth system



Conclusions

Quantified physiological theory can:

- 1. Produce more reliable future projections
- Improve mechanistic understanding of physiological processes that underlie higher level responses



Acknowledgements

- Current and former lab members
 - Alissar Cheaib (postdoc)
 - Evan Perkowski (postdoc)
 - Snehanjana Chatterjee (PhD)
 - Monika Kelley (PhD)
 - Isabella Beltran (PhD)
 - Daniel Owusu Kwakye (PhD)
 - Garrison Garza (PhD)
 - Clara Drake (MS)
 - Gwen Wattt (MS)
 - Brad Posch (postdoc)
 - · Jeff Chieppa (postdoc)
 - Lizz Waring (postdoc)
 - · Kieran Carroll (lab manager)
 - Christine Vanginault (MS)
 - Zinny Ezekannagha (MS)
 - Eve Gray (MS)
 - Risa McNellis (MS)
 - Helen Scott (MS)
 - Too many (40+) undergrads to list!

- Collaborators around the world
- Funding
 - GRC
 - NSF
 - USDA
 - NPS
 - USGS
 - · Schmidt Futures
 - Texas EcoLab















