

1	####	1	x	x	x	x	ML1: Review Confirmation / Contracts
1.1	####	1	x	x	x	x	Is KOSTAL Supplier APQP confirmed and prepared? e.g. - Define Scope - Define Tools and address the Scope - Define the Team - Develop the Quality Plan - Prepare/train the Team
1.2	####	1	x	x	x	x	Have the KOSTAL contractual conditions been accepted? - NDA: Non Disclosure Agreement - TCs: Terms and conditions of purchase - WA: Warranty Agreement - Tool Loan Contract/Tool rental agreement - Quality Guideline for Suppliers - Logistics Guideline
2	####	2	x	x	x	x	ML2: Review Quality Program / Product Design
2.1	####	2	x	x	x	x	Does the time plan of the supplier match with the KOSTAL time plan? (planning to confirmation see APQP Overview)
2.2	####	2	x	x	x	x	Have a cross-functional project team and a team leader been defined? Are all project related resources defined?
2.3	####	2	x	x	x	x	The supplier confirms the planning and execution of appropriate tests for the requalification.
2.4	####	2	x	x	x	x	Has the supplier planned sufficient production resources? (Nominal and maximum capacity; peak volumes; capacity planning).
2.5	####	2	x	x	x	x	Has the supplier understand the related documents and specifications in KOSTAL APQP requested drawing/document?
2.6	####	2	x	x	x	x	Are the contact persons (Link) complete? Is anyone missing?
2.7	####	2	x	x	x	x	Are the risks for part form the supplier to KOSTAL understandable in accordance with the description of the Reasons of APQP (see APQP Overview)?
2.8	####	2	x	x	x	x	Have further risks been identified at the supplier? If so, which ones (please list on the right)
2.9	####	2	x	x	x	x	Has a timing plan been generated to monitor new tooling & equipment in order to meet the deadline for PPAP submission?
3	####	3	x	x	x	x	ML3: Process Planning
3.1	####	3	x	x			Is there agreement of requirements, testing and documentation, Pre-Series quantities and timing?
3.2	####	3	x	x		x	Has the design been checked for possible potential improvement in terms of manufacturing costs?
3.3	####	3	x	x			Do the supplier confirm the Pre-Series requirements for the part(s)?
4-I	####	4	x	x	x	x	ML4: Process Verification
4.1	####	4	x	x			Does the time plan of the supplier match with the KOSTAL time plan? (planning to confirmation see APQP Overview)
4.2	####	4	x	x			Are the development objectives & component functions (performance specification, function, dimensions, weight, materials, Interfaces) sufficiently specified?
4.3	####	4	x	x			Are the quality and reliability objectives defined and known (including warranty and quality targets, ppm)?
4.4	####	4	x	x			Can the KOSTAL Special Characteristics be fully implemented?
4.5	####	4	x	x			Does the supplier has defined own Special Characteristics?
4.6	####	4	x	x			Are reviews been planned (especially for product design, process, manufacturing feasibility study)?
4.7	####	4	x	x			Has the design been checked for possible potential improvement in terms of risk (see APQP Overview) e.g. actions, manufacturing costs? If so, which ones (please list on the right).
4.8	####	4	x	x			Has manufacturing feasibility (inc. design, quality & reliability targets) been assessed and documented by all departments?

4.9	####	4	x	x	x	x	Will there be a required maturity level of the feasibility study to ML 4.5 (e.g. completed, approved and fulfillment of the KOSTAL specifications)?
4.10	####	4	x	x	x	x	Will the execution of a risk assesment of sub-supplier be necessary (for example, for quality planning, consideration of sub-supplier components, ...)?
4.11	####	4	x	x	x	x	Is there agreement of requirements, testing and documentation, quantities and timing?
4.12	####	4	x	x	x	x	In the supplier's opinion should an additional independent expert be brought in to provide assurance (additional costs will/can be generated)?
4.13	####	4	x	x			Do the pre-series parts meet the current drawing level (e.g., performance, fit, finish, quality, dimensions, identification, IMDS, etc.), or must non-conformances be expected/corrected?
4.14	####	4	x	x			The supplier confirms that the requirements to Pre-Production Parts 1 are fulfilled?
4-II	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	ML4.5: Review: Final Design and Supplier Sourcing
4.15	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Are the specified development objectives & component functions (performance specification, function, dimensions, weight, materials, Interfaces) confirmed?
4.16	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Are the specified quality and reliability objectives (including warranty and quality targets, ppm) confirmed?
4.17	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Has the scope of the requalification tests, start time, interval and documentation been coordinated with KOSTAL?
4.18	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Is the feasibility study completed, approved and does the feasibility study fulfil the KOSTAL specifications?
4.19	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Has risk assesment of sub-supplier been carried out? Has quality planning (e.g. APQP) been generated/considered for sub-supplier components?
4.20	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Are all the required documents for nomination available? Are these documents listed in the APQP Overview>For APQP agreed documents.
4.21	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Is timing plan up to date and cover all timings (to include: tools, equipment, test planning, test criteria, etc.) and can the deadline for PPAP/PPF submission be met?
4.22	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Has any product specific traceabilty been defined and agreed with supplier (e.g. PCBA labelling)?
4.23	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Are all essential KOSTAL specifications/ requirements and customer (OEM) specifications known & available, up-to-date and released? Are they understood and accepted?
4.24	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Have all non-conforming requirements been clarified/agreed? (e.g. clashing requirements in drawings, drawing changes by KOSTAL, delivery dates, prices)
4.25	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Has sufficient test capacity/ressources been planned for the tests to be carried out? Does project timing plan consider capacity and testing requirements?
4.26	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Are you planning to produce at more than one location? If yes: Was it indicated in RFQ with DUNS? Are there corresponding PPAP / PPF processes?
4.27	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Is the quotation based on the latest drawing?
4.28	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Are the specifications (technical, quality) confirmed (e.g. nominal and maximum capacity, customer loadings, capacity planning, ...)?
4.29	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Has the design been checked for possible potential improvement in terms of manufacturing costs?
4.30	####	4.5	x	x	x	x	Does the supplier have sufficient resources (e.g. peak volumes/ product mix, ...)?
5	####	5	x	x	x	x	ML5: Process Valdidation / Conditions
5.1	####	5	x	x	x	x	Do the pre-series parts meet the KOSTAL requirements (e.g. current drawing level, performance, fit, finish, quality, dimensions, identification, IMDS, etc.)?
5.2	####	5	x	x	x	x	Are the KOSTAL packing guideline known/communicated?
5.3	####	5	x	x	x	x	Have additional packaging specification been defined?

5.4	####	5	x	x	x	x	Has alternative packaging been agreed?
5.5	####	5	x	x	x	x	Is the Quality Requirement Specification available?
5.6	####	5	x	x	x	x	Are the viewing zones for decorative parts been (correctly) defined?
5.7	####	5	x	x	x	x	Is there an order for PPAP/tool order/samples placed by KOSTAL?
5.8	####	5	x	x	x	x	Is the PAET document carried out & agreed by supplier & KOSTAL?
5.9	####	5	x	x	x	x	Have the PAET requirements for PPAP/PPF release been accepted?
5.10	####	5	x	x	x	x	Has the process flow for new parts been developed based on Lessons learnt from previous products/ PFMEA's?
5.11	####	5	x	x	x	x	Does the process flow match the KOSTAL requirements?
5.12	####	5	x	x	x	x	Have "lessons learned" taken into account (e.g. recalls, internal factory requirements, similar PFMEAs, failures, warranty data)?
5.13	####	5	x	x	x	x	Have the special characteristics (according to valid VDA/AIAG) been incorporated in the Process FMEA and identified?
6-I	####	6	x	x	x	x	ML6-I: Review CP (Control Plan)
6.1	####	6	x	x	x	x	Are the type and extent of the EOL (End Of Line) tests / checks and the associated checking equipment specified and agreed with KOSTAL?
6.2	####	6	x	x	x	x	Is there a safe-launch-concept planned and presented to KOSTAL?
6.3	####	6	x	x	x	x	Are the KOSTAL Capacity Analysis (Verification Stage 1) targets agreed and signed?
6.4	####	6	x	x	x	x	Are environmental restrictions considered in terms of IMDS?
6.5	####	6	x	x	x	x	Have the requirements for FOT/C0 been met and is everything on schedule?
6.6	####	6	x	x	x	x	Have provisional process capability (pp/ppk) requirements been documented?
6.7	####	6	x	x	x	x	Are the requalification tests fully documented in the control plan in accordance with the agreement in 4.17?
6-II	####	6	x	x	x	x	ML6-II: Review Logistics / Packaging
6.8	####	6	x	x	x	x	Has orders for packaging been placed & has returnable packaging system been implemented?
6.9	####	6	x	x	x	x	Verify that a management system is in place to monitor, control returnable packaging for damage, qty (etc. trays); if yes (G) please specify.
6.10	####	6	x	x	x	x	Packaging concept per piece part is reviewed for suitability of assembly/ automation/ handling.
6-III	####	6	x	x	x	x	ML6-III: Review Tools / Production Location(s)
6.11	####	6	x	x	x	x	Has a plan been developed for the preventive maintenance of tools and equipment?
6.12	####	6	x	x	x	x	Is there a system in place to ensure that any reworked products are identified and checked before they are used?
6.13	####	6	x	x	x	x	Does a clear quarantine and labelling process exist for Non conforming parts?
6-IV	####	6	x	x	x	x	ML6-IV: Review Parts and Process Quality
6.14	####	6	x	x	x	x	Are special characteristics for processes and products agreed and laid down in drawings, documents and operator training?
6.15	####	6	x	x	x	x	All Kostal/Customer tooling is clearly identified at Supplier
6.16	####	6	x	x	x	x	Have instructions and visual aids been developed in order to ensure the correct use of the gauges and test equipment during production?
6.17	####	6	x	x	x	x	Are instructions available regarding SPC, special characteristics, monitoring rework and preventive actions, as well as maintenance plans?
6.18	####	6	x	x	x	x	Is the FOT parts status sufficient for maturity level 6 (time planning)?
7-I	####	7	x	x	x	x	ML7-I: Series Parts from Series Tools

7.1	####	7	x	x	x	x	Are the production machines, tools and equipment available at planned places available?
7.2	####	7	x	x	x	x	Do the PV/Cn Samples (series parts) meet the current drawing level (e.g., performance, fit, finish, quality, dimensions, identification, IMDS, etc.), or must non-conformances be expected/corrected?
7.3	####	7	x	x	x	x	1st IS&PPAP submission on schedule?
7.4	####	7	x	x	x	x	Has the supplier started to collect boundary sample parts?
7-II	####	7	x	x	x	x	ML7-II: Measurement / PV preparation
7.5	####	7	x	x	x	x	Have all method, test/inspection equipment/gauges and systems been released by Quality Assurance as capable (documents)?
7.6	####	7	x	x	x	x	Is the qualification plan & test equipment (PV) available?
7.7	####	7	x	x	x	x	Are the acceptance criteria for PV testing agreed?
7.8	####	7	x	x	x	x	Have the PAET requirements for PPAP/PPF fully met?
7-III	####	7	x	x	x	x	ML7-III: Trial runs / PV Samples
7.9	####	7	x	x	x	x	Have trial productions runs successfully been carried out under production conditions for internal approval/release?
7.10	####	7	x	x	x	x	Are work instructions and process instructions verified during the trial production run, to ensure that they correspond with the actual process?
7.11	####	7	x	x	x	x	Are the tools, equipment, facilities, work operations, personnel and packing all at full production level?
7.12	####	7	x	x	x	x	Are products / components stored appropriately and are the packing arrangements suitable for any special characteristics of the products / components?
7.13	####	7	x	x	x	x	Are parts (samples from series production) available for KOSTAL if required? Note: Delivery to KOSTAL only under Sample condition!
7.14	####	7	x	x	x	x	Were the parts 100% measured and the dimensional data recorded?
7.15	####	7	x	x	x	x	Is it confirmed that the qualification (PV) and PPAP submission (IS&ISIR) will be done according to schedule (Deadline PPAP Submission)?
7.16	####	7	x	x	x	x	Is the successful process audit (process acceptance result) available?
8-I	####	8	x	x	x	x	ML8-I: PPAP / Short-term process capability
8.1	####	8	x	x	x	x	The supplier confirmed the final document(s)/drawing(s) [Process FMEA & Control plan, Process flow, ...] and the completion of Manufacturing Feasibility is completed.
8.2	####	8	x	x	x	x	Has the quantity under production conditions (duration) to be produced during the run@rate requirement: ordered peak volume plus flex rate been agreed?
8.3	####	8	x	x	x	x	Is it confirmed that the qualification (PV) is successful (acceptance criteria were met) completed?
8.4	####	8	x	x	x	x	Has the effectiveness of risk minimization measures been proven (scrap, process capability, ...)?
8.5	####	8	x	x	x	x	Was the required and confirmed scope of PPAP (specified and confirmed in PAET) submitted in time (deadline submission date)?
8.6	####	8	x	x	x	x	Can all capabilities completely fulfilled (no non-capable existing) in processes/corrective actions?
8.7	####	8	x	x	x	x	Have the parts from the supplier's production line achieved the KOSTAL requirements (e.g. state of the art & passed measurement report)?
8.8	####	8	x	x	x	x	Has the supplier involved their sub-suppliers to support the final design manufacturing feasibility review (if applicable)?
8.9	####	8	x	x	x	x	Have short-term process capability studies been carried out for all defined characteristics in the production plant (as documented in the pre-production control plan) and has the required Ppk values (Quality Requirement Specification) been achieved?
8-II	####	8	x	x	x	x	ML8-II: Supplier Production approval / Run @ Rate

8.9	####	8	x	x	x	x	Are the production / hard tools, production process equipment, production gauges for the first production stream installed in their facility at the final production location (DUNS) been approved?
8.10	####	8	x	x	x	x	Have the relevant operators been trained and instructed? Are work-plans accessible at the work-place? Note: This is the Self-assessment and part of the PAET
8.11	####	8	x	x	x	x	Can all requirements regarding volumes be met (specified in Capacity Planing sheet)? Typical: Run@Rate requirement: ordered peak volume plus flex rate
8.12	####	8	x	x	x	x	Are the results and retained reference samples archived (if applicable: Are Master samples/ boundary samples defined; agreed with Supplier and archived)?.
8.13	####	8	x	x	x	x	Are the tests carried out with parts which were made in the Run@Rate, including parts from alternative paths (e.g., from repair/rework in the process and alternative manufacturing processes)?
8-III	####	8	x	x	x	x	ML8-III: PPAP/PPF Submission
8.14	####	8	x	x	x	x	The supplier confirms that all part dimencons specified in the Design Record have been masured using a capable measurement system.
8.15	####	8	x	x	x	x	The supplier documents the results and ensures compliance with the part specifications.
8.16	####	8	x	x	x	x	Has the supplier completes the inital process capability studies for all Special Characteristics?
8.17	####	8	x	x	x	x	The supplier ensures that all necessary corrections to the measurement system are implemented prior to initiating the process capability study.
8.18	####	8	x	x	x	x	Are the KOSTAL requirements completely fulfilled or agreed in the PAET of the PPAP/PPF?
8.19	####	8	x	x	x	x	Is it ensured that the submitted initial samples (typ. 5 parts per cavity) can be clearly assigned to the measurement report (typ. clearly labelled)?
8.20	####	8	x	x	x	x	Are initial samples and the ISIR (Initial Sample Inspection Report) submitted on time (PPAP submission)?
9	####	9	x	x	x	x	ML9: Review KOSTAL PPAP/PPF Decision
9.1	####	9	x	x	x	x	The supplier confirms that there are no changes to manufacturing process that affected the PPAP/PPA release for the material at production location.
9.2	####	9	x	x	x	x	Has the delivery release been given to the supplier with KOSTAL signed PSW (PPAP released [green], or at least interim PPAP released [yellow])? In case of rejection (PPAP rejected in PSW): 1) Were the reasons for rejection accepted and Post PPAP according to KOSTAL sampling process with new Index auf PPAP number started? 2) Is a Deviation Request according KOSTAL conditions requested (if applicable)?
9.3	####	9	x	x	x	x	In case of rejection: Is an action plan available (typ. 6 weeks time period to solve the problem)? Note: Every delivery without PPAP release needs a deviation approval.
10	####	active	x	x	x	x	Active: Long-term process capability
10.1	####	as of ramp up	x	x	x	x	Are there any potential supply bottlenecks due to ramp-up problems recognizable?
10.2	####	as of ramp up	x	x	x	x	Are all process long-term capabilities calculated and confirmed?
10.3	####	as of ramp up	x	x	x	x	Is the actual yield/yield loss/scrap rate evaluated and does it fit to the calculated scrap rate?
10.4	####	as of ramp up	x	x	x	x	Are all open points from the APQP process closed?
10.5	####	as of ramp up	x	x	x	x	Has a documented Lessons Learned session been carried out?