

1) In \mathbb{R}^3 , let $\alpha_1 = (1, 0, 1)$ $\alpha_2 = (0, 1, -2)$, $\alpha_3 = (-1, -1, 0)$

a) If f is a linear functional on \mathbb{R}^3 s.t.

$$f(\alpha_1) = 1 \quad f(\alpha_2) = -1 \quad f(\alpha_3) = 3$$

$\alpha = (a, b, c)$ find $f(\alpha)$

$$1x_1 + 0x_2 + 1x_3 = 1$$

$$0x_1 + 1x_2 - 2x_3 = -1$$

$$-1x_1 - 1x_2 + 0x_3 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 4 \quad x_2 = -7 \quad x_3 = -3$$

$$f(\alpha) = 4a - 7b - 3c$$

b) Describe explicitly the linear functional f on \mathbb{R}^3 s.t.

$$f(\alpha_1) = f(\alpha_2) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad f(\alpha_3) \neq 0$$

$$x_1 + x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_3 = -x_1$$

$$x_2 - 2x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 2x_1$$

$$-x_1 - x_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow x_1 \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 \neq 0 \quad x_2 = -2x_1 \quad x_3 = -x_1$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha) = a_1x_1 - 2a_2x_2 - a_3x_3$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 \neq 0 \quad x_2 = -2x_1 \quad x_3 = -x_1$$

c) Let f be any linear functional s.t. $f(\alpha_1) = f(\alpha_2) = 0$
and $f(\alpha_3) \neq 0$

If $\alpha = (2, 3, -1)$ show that $f(\alpha) \neq 0$

$$f(\alpha) = 1(2) - 2(3) - 1(-1) = 2 - 6 + 1 = 3 - 6 = -3 \neq 0$$

from functional found in (b)

2) Let $\beta = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ be the basis for \mathbb{C}^3 defined by

$$d_1 = (1, 0, -1) \quad d_2 = (1, 1, 1) \quad d_3 = (2, 2, 0)$$

Find the dual basis of β

$$\text{dual basis } f_i(d_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad f_1(d_1) = 1$$

$f_1(x)$ is solution to

$$x_1 - x_3 = 1$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 1 \quad x_2 = -1 \quad x_3 = 0$$

$$f_1(a) = a_1 - a_2$$

$f_2(x)$ is sol. to

$$x_1 - x_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 1 \quad x_2 = -1 \quad x_3 = 1$$

$$f_2(a) = a_1 - a_2 + a_3$$

$f_3(x)$ is sol to

$$x_1 - x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad x_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$f_3(x) = -\frac{1}{2}a_1 + a_2 - \frac{1}{2}a_3$$

$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ is the dual basis

3) If A and B are $n \times n$ matrices over F ,
show that $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$.

$$\text{trace}(A) = \sum_i [A]_{ii} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{ii entry of } A \\ \downarrow \text{row} \\ \text{dot product} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{trace}(AB) = \sum_i [AB]_{ii} = \sum_i \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{b}_i = \sum_i$$

Dot product is commutative

$$= \sum_i \vec{b}_i \cdot \vec{a}_i = \sum_i [BA]_{ii} = \text{trace}(BA)$$

Now show that similar matrices have the same trace.

$$B = C^{-1}AC \quad \begin{matrix} B, A \text{ similar} \\ \text{from above} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{trace}(B) = \text{trace}(C^{-1}AC)$$

$$(CB: \text{ from above}) \quad \text{trace}(C^{-1}(AC))$$

$$= \text{trace}((AC)C^{-1})$$

$$= \text{trace}(A\mathbb{I}) = \sum_i a_{ii} = \text{trace}(A)$$

$$\text{trace}(B) = \text{trace}(A)$$

$$\sum_i [AB]_{ii} = \sum_i a_{ii} b_{ii}$$

$$\sum_i (i \cdot b_{ii}) = \sum_i a_{ii} b_{ii} = 0$$

$$\sum_i [BA]_{ii} = \sum_i b_{ii} a_{ii}$$

#7 p106

Let V be the V.S. of all polynomial functions p from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} which have degree 2 or less:

$$p(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2$$

Define three linear functionals on V by

$$f_1(p) = \int_0^1 p(x) dx \quad f_2(p) = \int_0^2 p(x) dx \quad f_3(p) = \int_0^{-1} p(x) dx$$

Show that $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ is a basis for V^* by exhibiting the basis for V of which it is the dual

find p_1, p_2, p_3 s.t.

$$f_i(p_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$f_1(p_1) = \int_0^1 p_1(x) dx = 1$$

$$f_1(p_2) = \int_0^1 p_2(x) dx = 0$$

$$f_1(p_3)$$

If A and B are $n \times n$ complex matrices,

Show that $AB - BA = I$ is impossible.

Look at trace of $(AB - BA)$ and trace I

$$\text{trace}(AB - BA) = \sum_i [AB]_{ii} - [BA]_{ii}$$

$$= \text{trace}(AB) - \text{trace}(BA) = \text{trace}(AB) - \text{trace}(AB) = 0$$

From problem 3.

but if I is $n \times n$ $\text{trace } I = n$

Therefore, if we assume equality

$$AB - BA = I$$

we arrive at a contradiction, because the diagonals of the LHS and RHS are different, therefore, not equal.

D) p11

Let n be a positive integer and F a field. Let ω be the set of all vectors (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) in F^n s.t

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0 \quad (\star)$$

a) prove that ω° consists of all linear functionals f of the form $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

ω° is by definition the set of all functionals f s.t. $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \omega$.

STS $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in \omega$

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \omega$ is included

$$f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c(0) = 0$$

thus $f(x) = c \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is the annihilator of ω ,

ω°

it is also linear

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax_1 + x_2) &= c \sum_{j=1}^n (ax_{j1} + x_{j2}) = c \left(\sum_{j=1}^n ax_{j1} \right) + c \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_{j2} \right) \\ &= ac \sum_{j=1}^n x_{j1} + \sum_{j=1}^n x_{j2} \\ &= af(x_1) + f(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Show that the dual space w^* of w can be
(naturally) identified with the linear functionals.

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n$$

on f^n which satisfy $c_1 + \dots + c_n = 0$

We can identify elements in w^* with a linear functional

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n \text{ where } \sum c_i = 0$$

because $w \rightarrow w^*$ is an isomorphism.

Since we have this isomorphism, the dual space

w^* can be identified from w .
 w^* is described through the dual basis which are
linear functionals.

#2) Let m, n be positive integers and consider vectors $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Show that these vectors are linearly independent as vectors in \mathbb{R}^n over the field of scalars \mathbb{R} iff they are linearly independent as vectors in \mathbb{C}^n over the field of scalars \mathbb{C} .

wts that $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0$ with $c_i = 0 \forall i \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0 \quad \text{with } c_i = 0 \forall i \quad c_i \in \mathbb{C}$$

Assume $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{C}^n over \mathbb{C}

then $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0$ with $c_i = 0 \forall i \quad c_i = a+bi \in \mathbb{C}$

if $c_i = 0$ both $a=b=0$

then, c_i can be viewed as $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ because there is no imaginary component.

Since $v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_i = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}

$\therefore v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ lin ind } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ over } \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ lin ind } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ over } \mathbb{R}$

In \mathbb{C} , there is more freedom to find a non-zero solution to for c :

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0$$

if a solution cannot be found except $c_i = 0$, further restricted the set of possible c_i 's will certainly not give a solution.

Now Assume $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n over \mathbb{R} .
and are lin independent

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0 \quad c_i = 0 \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

Consider the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

hint you can tell if rows
are lin independent if
 $\# \text{rows} = \# \text{ pivots}$
because row rank = col rank
or no zero row

for any matrix with linearly independent rows can be row
reduced to a matrix R with the first non-zero entry of each row
being 1. and each column containing the leading non-zero entry of some
row has all its other entries 0. In other words, a lin ind set of
vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is equivalent to considering $\{c_i\}$ for some set of pos. integer

Consider extending the field to \mathbb{C} . The test for lin
independence stays the same.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = 0 = 0 + 0i, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (a+bi)v_i = 0 + 0i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m av_i + ibv_i = 0 + 0i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m av_i + i \sum_{i=1}^m bv_i = 0 + 0i$$

but $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, thus we know from the vectors being
lin ind in \mathbb{R}^n , $\sum_{i=1}^m av_i = 0$ with $a_i = b_i = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m bv_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m (av_i) = 0 \text{ with } (av_i) = 0$$

\Rightarrow vectors are lin independent in \mathbb{C}^n over \mathbb{C}

Tyler Olivier PS7 #3

Let V be a v.s., Let W be a subspace of V .

Let S be a subset of V .

a) if S is a linearly independent subset of V , must

SNW be a linearly independent subset of W ?

SNW will contain either all
Yes. The intersection of SNW will contain either all

If S , if SCW or a subset of S .

In the first case, when SCW and the intersection

$SNW = S$ then the intersection is certainly linearly independent

because S is assumed to be a linearly independent subset.

SNW will be always contained in W .

In the other scenario, it will be a subset of S , which

will still be linearly independent.

Any subset of a linearly independent set is linearly
independent. H&K section 2.3.

10/31/2023

b) If S spans V , must SNW span W ?

Yes. If S spans V , it is a basis for V .

assume that $w \in V = \text{span}(S)$ because W is subspace of V .

$$w \in \text{span}(w) \subset \text{span}(S) = V$$

$$S \cap W = \text{span}(w) \subset \text{span}(SNW)$$

$$\text{span}(w) = W$$

restricting S to W

is at least as big as $\text{span}(w)$.

so SNW must span W

Now if V is span W

$$w \in V$$

$$SNW \subset V$$

$SNW \subset W$ because $\text{span}(w) \subset \text{span}(S)$

$$SNW \subset W$$

$$w \in W$$

$w \in \text{span}(w) \subset \text{span}(S)$

$$SNW \subset \text{span}(S)$$

where S is a basis

for W so S is linearly independent

and $S \subset SNW$

since $w \in V \subset W$

$$w \in$$

span (S)

$w \in$

span (SNW)

$w \in$

span (W)

$$\Rightarrow w \in \text{span}(W)$$

so SNW spans W

3 c)

If S is a basis of V , must SNW be a basis of W .

If S is a basis of V , S is lin independent and $S \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ spans V .

SNW will be a basis of W iff SNW is lin independent set in W . SNW spans W .

$\Rightarrow SNW$ is a linearly independent subset from part a, if S is a linearly independent subset of V , SNW will be a linearly independent subset of W .

Since S is a basis of V , S is a linearly independent subset of V . Therefore, SNW is a linearly independent subset of W .

from part b, if S spans V , SNW spans W .

Since S is a basis, it spans V , so SNW spans W .

Now SNW is a linearly independent subset of W

SNW spans W

$\Rightarrow SNW$ is a basis of W .

d) If $\text{ann}(w) \subset \text{ann}(s)$, must $s \subset w$?

$$\text{ann}(w) \subset \text{ann}(s) \Rightarrow s \subset w$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ann } w = \text{ann } w \cap \text{ann } s$$

$$= \text{ann}(w \cup s)$$

$$\subset \text{ann}(\text{span}(w \cup s))$$

$$= \text{ann}(w + s) \leftarrow \text{subspace}$$

not sure if equality holds here

Since w is a subspace of V . (assuming V is finite dimensional)

$$\dim \text{ann}(w) = \dim V - \dim w$$

is $w+s$ a subspace? i think so

$$\dim(\text{ann}(w+s)) = \dim V - \dim(w+s)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(w) \leq \dim(w+s)$$

$$\text{but } w \subset w+s$$

$$\therefore w = w+s$$

$$w+s \supset w$$

$$\Rightarrow s \subset w$$

not quite sure about this one?

If X, Y are subspaces of a v.s V , write $V = X \oplus Y$
 if every element $v \in V$ can be written in exactly one way as
 $v = x + y$ with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

- a) If $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ and X is the x -axis, find a subspace $Y \subset V$
 s.t. $V = X \oplus Y$. Find the dimensions of $V, X, Y, V^*, \text{ann}(X), \text{ann}(Y)$.
 What relationships do you notice among these dimensions?
 clearly, $\dim(V) = 3$ (\mathbb{R}^3 has dim 3)

$$X = \{v \in V \mid v = (a, 0, 0), a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(X) = 1$$

then if $V = X \oplus Y$, $v = x + y$ for $x \in X, y \in Y$

$$Y = \{v \in V \mid v = (0, b, c), b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(Y) = 2$$

$$V = X \oplus Y = \{(a, 0, 0) + (0, b, c) \} = \{v; (a, b, c)\}$$

furthermore, $0 \in V \quad x \in X, y \in Y$

$$x + y = 0$$

$$(a, 0, 0) + (0, b, c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a = b = c = 0$$

$$x = 0, y = 0 \quad (\text{or } X \cap Y = \{0\})$$

$$\Rightarrow V = X \oplus Y$$

$$\dim(V^*) = \dim(V) = 3$$

$\dim(\text{ann}$

$$\dim(\text{ann}(X)) = ?$$

Since X is a subspace of V

$$\dim V = \dim(X) + \dim(\text{ann}(X))$$

$$3 = 1 + \dim(\text{ann}(X))$$

$$\dim(\text{ann}(X)) = 2$$

Similarly for Y .

$$\dim V = \dim(Y) + \dim(\text{ann}(Y))$$

$$3 = 2 + \dim(\text{ann}(Y))$$

$$\dim(\text{ann}(Y)) = 1$$

$$\dim(X) < \dim(Y) \quad \text{but} \quad \dim(\text{ann}(Y)) > \dim(\text{ann}(X))$$

$$\dim(X) + \dim(Y) = \dim(V)$$

4(b) Let V be any finite dim v.s with subspaces X, Y :

Show that $V = X \oplus Y$ iff the following two conditions hold:

$$X+Y = V \text{ and } X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

Assume $V = X \oplus Y$ i.e. every element $v \in V$ is written in exactly one way
 $x+y$ for $x \in X, y \in Y$

clearly, if $V = X \oplus Y$, $V = X+Y$ because $X \oplus Y$ is
a more stringent condition.

$V = X+Y$ is $\{x+y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$ all possible sums $x+y$.

If $V = X \oplus Y$ holds, there is at least one sum, and therefore

$$V = X+Y \Rightarrow V = X \oplus Y$$

Now, if $V = X \oplus Y$, $X \cap Y = \{0\}$. This comes from the fact
that for every vector $v \in V$ $v = x+y$ in exactly one way

Assume $X \cap Y \neq \{0\}$ then $\exists x_1, a \in X, y_1, a \in Y, x_1, y_1 \in V$

$$x_1 + y_1 = a$$

$$x_1 + y_1 - a = 0$$

$$(x_1 - a) \in X, (y_1 - a) \in Y$$

$$(x_1 - a) + y_1 = 0$$

$$(y_1 - a) + x_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V \neq X \oplus Y$$

because $0 \in V$ is not described
in exactly one way

$X \cap Y \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow V \neq X \oplus Y$ by contrapositive

$$V = X \oplus Y \Rightarrow X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

so $V = X \oplus Y \Rightarrow V = X+Y$ and $X \cap Y = \{0\}$

Now assume $X+Y = V$ and $X \cap Y = \{0\}$ wts $V = X \oplus Y$

clearly for every $v \in V$, there exists $x \in X, y \in Y$ such that $v = x+y$ in exactly one way.

Consider having two vectors $v \in V$

$$v = x_1 + y_1 \quad v = x_2 + y_2 \quad x_1, x_2 \in X \quad y_1, y_2 \in Y.$$

then

$$x_1 + y_1 = x_2 + y_2$$

$$x_1 = x_2 + y_2 - y_1$$

$$y_1 = x_2 + y_2 - x_1$$

this shows that vector x_1 is a linear combination of vectors in X and Y .

similarly, for y_1

$$\Rightarrow X \cap Y \neq \{0\}$$

and we arrive at a contradiction to our assumption.

Therefore, $V = X+Y$ in exactly one way from

$$X+Y = V \quad X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

$$\forall v \in V \quad X+Y = V \quad \text{and} \quad X \cap Y = \{0\} \Rightarrow V = X \oplus Y$$

and finally

$$V = X \oplus Y \Leftrightarrow X+Y = V \quad \text{and} \quad X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

b) Explain what $\Psi_{V,\beta}$ does to each basis vector of V . [Tyler Olivier PS]
 and show that $\Psi_{V,\beta} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is an isomorphism.
 Also show that $\Psi_{V,\beta}$ is the same as the isomorphism $ev : V \rightarrow V^{**}$
 given by $v \mapsto ev_v$ where $ev_v(f) = f(v)$ for $f \in V^*$.
 (Hint: Show $\Psi_{V,\beta}(v_i) = ev_{v_i}$ for all i). Then deduce that $\Psi_{V,\beta}$
 does not depend on the choice of basis β (and in that sense
 is "natural")

$\Psi_{V,\beta}$ takes basis vectors in V and evaluates the vector on
 the corresponding basis vector in V^* , giving the i th coordinate
 of a vector.

The proof that $\Psi_{V,\beta} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is an isomorphism is given
 in H&K Theorem 17 in 3.6

They show $\Psi_{V,\beta}$ is linear.

Let $\gamma_V = c\alpha + \beta$, for each f in V^*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\gamma_V, \beta}(f) &= f(\gamma_V) = f(c\alpha + \beta) = cf(\alpha) + f(\beta) = cf(\alpha) + f(\beta) \\ &= c\Psi_{\alpha, \beta}(f) + \Psi_{\beta, \beta}(f)\end{aligned}$$

so $\Psi_{V,\beta} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is a linear transformation.

and $\Psi_{V,\beta} = 0$ iff $v = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ non-singular

furthermore, $\dim V^{**} = \dim V^* = \dim V \Leftrightarrow$ invertible transformation
 \Leftrightarrow isomorphism.

4.) Let V be a ftrs w/ subspaces X, Y s.t.

$$V = X \oplus Y$$

i) Show that if A is a basis of X and B is a basis of Y , then $A \cup B$ is a basis of V .

Since $V = X \oplus Y$, $X \cap Y = \{0\}$ (proved in 4(b))

therefore, X and Y are disjoint subspaces.

furthermore, $\forall x \in X, x \notin \text{span}(Y)$
 $\forall y \in Y, y \notin \text{span}(X)$

the basis A, B are linearly independent sets.
 and due to the disjoint property of the subspaces,

$\{A \notin \text{span}(B)\} \cap \{B \notin \text{span}(A)\}$

$\Rightarrow A \cup B$ is a linearly independent set.

furthermore since $V = X \oplus Y$ $V = X + Y$ for $x \in X, y \in Y$

$x \in \text{span}(A), x \in \text{span}(A \cup B)$

$y \in \text{span}(B), y \in \text{span}(A \cup B)$

$x+y \in \text{span}(A \cup B)$

$\Rightarrow A \cup B$ spans V .

$\Rightarrow A \cup B$ is a basis for V .

ii) Prove that the numerical relationships you noticed in part a hold.

Prove $\dim(V) = \dim(X) + \dim(Y)$ if $V = X \oplus Y$

We know $\dim(V) =$ # elements in basis.

Since we know the basis for V is the union of the basis of X and Y , then

$$\dim V = \dim X + \dim Y$$

as the length of the union of the disjoint bases will be the length of the first basis + length of the second basis.

4c iii) Show that $V^* = \text{ann}(x) \oplus \text{ann}(y)$ by Olivier PS

STS $V^* = \text{ann}(x) + \text{ann}(y)$ and $\text{ann}(x) \cap \text{ann}(y) = 0$

We know there is a unique dual basis A^*, B^* for x, y .

A unique (dual*) basis $(A \cup B)^*$ for V .

we know $\dim V = \dim V^*$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \dim x + \dim(\text{ann}(x)) = \dim V \\ \dim y + \dim(\text{ann}(y)) = \dim V \end{array} \right\} H \& K$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim x + \dim y &= \dim V \\ \dim(\text{ann}(x)) + \dim(\text{ann}(y)) &= \dim V \quad \text{part (4c)ii)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{ann}(x)) = \dim(y) \dim(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{ann}(y)) = \dim(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{ann}(x)) + \dim(\text{ann}(y)) = \dim V$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{ann}(x)) + \dim(\text{ann}(y)) = \dim V^* \quad (1)$$

Since $\text{ann}(x), \text{ann}(y) \in V^*$, we have that

basis $(A \cup B)^*$ is concatenation of basis A^* and B^*
and $(A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$

$$\Rightarrow (A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$$

$$\text{and } f_v = f_x + f_y \text{ for } f_v \in V^*$$

$$f_x \in \text{ann}(x) \quad f_y \in \text{ann}(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow V^* = \text{ann}(x) + \text{ann}(y)$$

$$\text{So } \dim V^* = \dim(\text{ann}(x) + \text{ann}(y)) = \dim(\text{ann}(x)) + \dim(\text{ann}(y)) - \dim(\text{ann}(x) \cap \text{ann}(y))$$

but also

and from (1)

$$\dim V^* = \dim(\text{ann}(x) \cap \text{ann}(y)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ann}(x) \cap \text{ann}(y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V^* = \text{ann}(x) \oplus \text{ann}(y)$$

- 5) For any f.d.s V with basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and corresponding dual basis $\beta^* = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of V^* , define $\phi_{V,\beta} : V \rightarrow V^*$ by $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i$.

In particular, since V^* is finite dimensional with basis

β^* , we can also consider the map $\phi_{V^*, \beta^*} : V^* \rightarrow V^{**}$.

Let $\Psi_{V,\beta} = \phi_{V^*, \beta^*} \circ \phi_{V,\beta} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$

- a) Show that $\phi_{V,\beta} : V \rightarrow V^*$ is an isomorphism, but it depends on choice of basis β . [Hint: For the second part, choose two different bases β, β' of some vector space V ; e.g. take V to be the one-dimensional space \mathbb{R} . Then compare the two maps $\phi_{V,\beta}$ and $\phi_{V,\beta'}$, and verify they are not the same.]

Two vector spaces are isomorphic if there is an invertible linear map from one vector space onto the other one.

The map $\phi_{V,\beta}$ is clearly linear (sending linear combination of basis vectors to a linear combination of basis vectors), where there is a unique linear map between the basis vectors.

Since $\phi_{V,\beta}$ sends $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i$ it is clearly surjective because $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ span V^* and it is injective because $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ are linearly independent. Thus $\phi_{V,\beta}$ is bijective $\Rightarrow \phi_{V,\beta}$ is an isomorphism.

To show it depends on the choice of basis we consider the one-dimensional v.s \mathbb{R} with two different basis for $V = \mathbb{R}$

$$\beta = \{1\} \quad \beta' = \{2\}$$

Clearly, $\beta \neq \beta'$

$$\phi_{V, \beta} : V \rightarrow V^* \quad a_i \beta_i \mapsto a_i f_i$$

$$f_1(\beta_1) = 1$$

$$f_1(1) = 1$$

$$f_1(a) = a$$

$$\phi_{V, \beta'} : V \rightarrow V^* \quad a_i \beta'_i \mapsto a_i f'_i$$

$$f'_1(\beta'_1) = 1$$

$$f'_1(1) = 1$$

$$f'_1(a) = 1/2a$$

Clearly $f_1(a) \neq f'_1(a)$

So we have two different isomorphisms from two different choice of Basis β, β'

$$\Psi_{V_i, \beta}(\epsilon) = f(v_i)$$

$$ev_{v_i}(f) = f(v_i)$$

function of vector,
not basis?

$$\Psi_{V, \beta}(\alpha) = \Psi_{V, \beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \right) = \phi_{V^*, \beta^*} \circ \phi_{V, \beta} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$$

$$= \phi_{V^*, \beta^*} \left(\psi_{V, \beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \right) \right)$$

$$= \phi_{V^*, \beta^*} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_{V^*, \beta^*}(f_i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i L_i(f) \quad L_i(f) = 1 \text{ or } 0.$$

evaluates to

$$= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \alpha \quad \text{which doesn't depend on choice of basis}$$

for a different choice of basis β' we induce $ev: V \rightarrow V^{**}$
 ↓ same as previous case

$$ev_\alpha(\alpha) = ev \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v'_i \right) = \phi_{V^*, \beta'^*} \circ \phi_{V, \beta'} : V \rightarrow V^{**}$$

$$= \phi_{V^*, \beta'^*} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i f'_i \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i L'_i(f'_i) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \alpha$$