

Tyler Oliveira HWS

1) a) Express $u = (7, -1, 5)$ as a linear combination of $v = (3, -1, 2)$ and $w = (1, 1, 1)$

$$u = 2v + w$$

$$(7, -1, 5) = 2(3, -1, 2) + (1, 1, 1)$$

$$(7, -1, 5) = (6, -2, 4) + (1, 1, 1)$$

$$(7, -1, 5) = (7, -1, 5)$$

b) Show that $z = (0, 0, 1)$ is not a linear combination of v and w .

It will show z, v, w are linearly independent. Then z is not a linear combination of v and w .

let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$

Assume

λ_1

$$\lambda_1 v + \lambda_2 w + \lambda_3 z = 0$$

$$\lambda_1(3, -1, 2) + \lambda_2(1, 1, 1) + \lambda_3(0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\lambda_1 3 + \lambda_2 1 + \lambda_3 0 = 0 \Rightarrow 3\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = -\frac{\lambda_2}{3}$$

$$\lambda_1(-1) + \lambda_2 1 + \lambda_3 0 = 0 \Rightarrow -\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$$

$$\lambda_1 2 + \lambda_2 1 + \lambda_3 1 = 0 \Rightarrow 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$$

The eq: $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\lambda_2}{3}$ $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ can only be satisfied if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$
 $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0 \Rightarrow 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \{w, v, z\}$ is a linearly independent set

1) c) Can z be expressed as a linear combination

of the vectors u, v, w ?

No z cannot be expressed as a linear combination of u, v, w .

$\{u, v, w\}$ are a linearly dependent set (part a)

$\{v, w, z\}$ are a linearly independent set (part b)

STS z is not in span of $\{u, v, w\}$ / $\{v, u, w, z\}$ is lin ind set as a linear combination

Assume z can be expressed as a linear combination of $\{u, v, w\}$ s.t. $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and either $d_1 \neq 0$, $d_2 \neq 0$, $d_3 \neq 0$, $d_4 \neq 0$ (linear dependent set) $z = d_1 u + d_2 v + d_3 w = d_4 z$ linearly dependent set \nexists a linear

since $\{u, v, w\}$ are a linearly dependent set (part a) combination of $\{v, w\}$ to express u $\beta_1 \neq 0, \beta_2 \neq 0$

$$\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ either } \beta_1 \neq 0, \beta_2 \neq 0$$

$$\beta_1 v + \beta_2 w = 0$$

$$d_1 (\beta_1 v + \beta_2 w) + d_2 v + d_3 w = d_4 z$$

$$d_1 \beta_1 v + d_1 \beta_2 w + d_2 v + d_3 w = d_4 z$$

$$(d_1 \beta_1 + d_2) v + (d_1 \beta_2 + d_3) w = d_4 z \Rightarrow (d_1 \beta_1 + d_2) v + (d_1 \beta_2 + d_3) w = d_4 z$$

but since $\{u, w, z\}$ are lin. ind. we reach a contradiction

$(d_1 \beta_1 + d_2) = 0$ $(d_1 \beta_2 + d_3) = 0$ $d_4 = 0$ z is not in span of $\{u, v, w\}$

This linear combination does not exist. z is not in span of $\{u, v, w\}$. This cannot be expressed as a linear combination of u, v, w .

1) d) Can the vector $(\pi, e, \sqrt{2})$ be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors v, w, z ?

We are concerned with vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 .

We know \mathbb{R}^3 is a vector space

\mathbb{R}^3 has dimension 3. Thus \mathbb{R}^3 has a basis of ≤ 3 elements

A basis is a set of vectors that are linearly independent and span a vector space.

by definition

proved vector $\{v, w, z\}$ are 3 linearly independent elements in a 3 dimensional vector space $\mathbb{R}^3 \Rightarrow \{v, w, z\}$ span \mathbb{R}^3

Also, in a finite dim V.S., every non-empty lin indep set is part of basis. # elements in basis $\leq \dim(V.S.)$

$(\pi, e, \sqrt{2})$ can be expressed as a linear combination

of the basis $\{v, w, z\}$ as long as $(\pi, e, \sqrt{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$(\pi, e, \sqrt{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \Rightarrow$ can be expressed as a linear combination

of $\{v, w, z\}$

2a) Tyler Oliver HW3

$$(2, 1, 0), (1, 2, 1), (0, 1, 2)$$

all real numbers
Find α s.t. vectors are
lin independent in \mathbb{R}^3

let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\beta_1 (2, 1, 0) + \beta_2 (1, 2, 1) + \beta_3 (0, 1, 2) = 0$$

and if $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$, vectors are lin independent.

$$(\beta_1, \beta_1, 0) + (\beta_2, \beta_2, \beta_2) + (0, \beta_3, \beta_3) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0 \Rightarrow -\beta_1 = -\beta_2 \Rightarrow \beta_1 = \frac{-\beta_2}{1} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{-\beta_1}{\beta_2}$$

$$(3) \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha + \beta_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_2 + \beta_3 \alpha = 0 \Rightarrow -\beta_2 = -\beta_3 \alpha \Rightarrow \beta_3 = \frac{-\beta_2}{\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{-\beta_2}{\beta_3}$$

substitute (1) and (2) into (3)

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 + \frac{-\beta_2}{\alpha} + \beta_2 \alpha = 0$$

$$\beta_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha - 1 \right) = 0$$

$$\beta_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \right) = 0$$

$$\text{if } \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \right) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \beta_2 = 0$$

$$\text{if } \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \right) \neq 0, -\beta_3 \alpha = \beta_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_3 = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq 0$$

$$\text{if } \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \right) \neq 0 \Rightarrow -\beta_1 \alpha = \beta_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_1 = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq 0$$

vectors are independent if $\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \right) \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$

2b) Does the answer change if instead you work over the rational vector space \mathbb{Q}^3 or \mathbb{C}^3 and allow α to be in \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{C} respectively).

I don't think it changes. $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are still zero (vectors or, in indep.) with 2 conditions on α .

$$(-2/\alpha + \alpha) \neq 0 \quad \alpha \neq 0.$$

3) Tyler Oliver HW3

Show that if a vector space V is not finite dimensional, then there ~~exists~~ is an infinite linearly independent subset.

$\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots\}$ of V . Does V have a finite spanning set?

V is not finite dimensional $\Rightarrow V$ is infinite dimensional

finite linearly ind subset \Rightarrow finite dimensional (contrapositive)

infinite dimensional \Rightarrow infinite elements in basis
 by definition

basis by definition spans a vector space.

V has infinite basis
 by definition

Assume V is infinite dimensional $\Rightarrow V$ has infinite basis elements
 (by def)
 basis is lin ind $\Rightarrow V$ has infinite element lin. indep. subset

$\dim(V) = \infty$ let $v_1 \in V$ $v_2 \notin \text{span}(v_1)$ $v_2 \in V$.

$\text{span}(v_1) \neq V$ because only a basis spans a vector space
 V has ∞ elements, $|\{v_1\}| < \infty$. Since $v_2 \notin \text{span}(v_1)$ $\{v_1, v_2\}$
 cardinality, or # elements in set

are lin. ind subset

let $v_3 \in V$ $v_3 \notin \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2\})$

above. $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ are lin ind subset. By same argument as
 since cardinality of
 $\{v_1, v_2\} < \infty \exists$ another vector in V that is lin indep.

This "terminates" when cardinality of lin indep subset is ∞ .

41) Tyler Olivieri HW 3

let v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n be vectors in v.s V s.t.

$\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V , and such that v_0

is not in the span of v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} . Prove that

$\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is a basis of V .

$\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is a basis of $V \iff$

need to
show

$\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ span V .

$\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ are lin independent

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ are a basis they are lin indep.

every subset of a lin independent set is lin independent

$\Rightarrow \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ are lin independent

v_0 is not in span of $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$

$\Rightarrow \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ are lin ind. PS2 #4

$\dim(V) = n$ because $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ has n elements
or $\dim(V) = n$ [because basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ has n elements]

$\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ has n elements, is subset of V ,

and is lin indep.

$\Rightarrow \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ basis of V

Suppose $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ doesn't span V , then $\exists v_{n+1} \in V$
that is not in the span of $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ s.t. $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_{n+1}\}$
form a lin. indep. set

We arrive at a contradiction.

Theorem 4, section 2.3, H&K states. that if ~~linearly independent~~
 $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ spans V [which it does by def of basis]

then there is no lin independent set of vectors with more
than $(\{v_0, \dots, v_n\} \text{ (dim}(V)))$ elements.

Thus since $\{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ and $\{v_q, \dots, v_n\}$ have
the same number of elements and are both lin independent,

there is no vector $v_{n+1} \in V$ s.t. $v_{n+1} \notin \text{span } \{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$

$\Rightarrow \{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ spans V

$\Rightarrow \{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is a basis for V

5) Tyler Oliveri HW3

Let v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 be vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 . Suppose that the set $S = \{v_1, v_2\}$ is lin independent, and that the set $S' = \{v_3, v_4\}$ is also lin independent. Suppose also that

$\text{span}(S) \cap \text{span}(S') = \{0\}$. Prove that $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^4 .

show $B = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is lin independent. $\Rightarrow B$ is basis

B spans \mathbb{R}^4 .

First we expand S to B and show it is lin independent.

let $v_3 \neq 0$ $v_3 \notin \text{span}(S)$ b/c $v_3 \in \text{span}(S')$. This vector exists because the only vector in both $\text{span}(S)$ and $\text{span}(S')$ is $\{0\}$.
 $v_3 \neq 0, \text{span}(S) \cap \text{span}(S') = \{0\}, v_3 \notin \text{span}(S) \Rightarrow v_3 \in \text{span}(S')$

By PS2 #7 $S'' = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a lin independent set

because $S = \{v_1, v_2\}$ are lin independent. $v_3 \notin \text{span}(S)$

By a similar argument $S''' = \{v_1, v_2, v_4\}$ is a lin independent set.
 $S'''' = \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, $S''''' = \{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$ are lin independent set again by the same argument.

$\Rightarrow B = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is a lin independent set. All subsets are lin independent. $\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_4\}, S, S'', S''', S''''$
 S'''''

Suppose B does not span \mathbb{R}^4 .

then $\exists v_5 \notin \text{span}(B)$ and $v_5 \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

By PS2 #4 $B = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ is lin independent.

But by same theorem used in problem 4, we reach

a contradiction. number of elements in $B \geq \dim(V)$

B is lin independent

$\Rightarrow B$ spans \mathbb{R}^4

$\Rightarrow B$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^4