

1) Probability and Random Variables:

1. False.

$$P(B \cap A)/P(A) + P(A \cap Bc)/P(Bc) = 1$$

if A and B are mutually exclusive

$$P(B \cap A) = 0, P(Bc) = 1$$

then

$$P(A \cap Bc)/P(Bc) = 1 \text{ which only holds true if } P(A) = P(Bc)$$

2. False

$$\text{If } P(A) = 0$$

$$P(A|B) = 0$$

$$P(A|Bc) = 0$$

$$0+0 \neq 1$$

3. True

$$P(Bc \cup (A \cap B)) + P(Ac \cap B) = 1$$

$$P(Bc) + P(A \cap B) - P(Bc \cap (A \cap B)) + P(Ac \cap B) = 1$$

$$P(Bc) + P(A \cap B) + P(Ac \cap B) = 1$$

$$P(Bc) + P(B) = 1$$

$P(Bc \cap (A \cap B))$ must be 0

$P(A \cap B) + P(Ac \cap B) = P(B)$

True by definition of complement

4. False

Since A_i is not mutually exclusive

$$P(A_i \cup A_j) = P(A_i) + P(A_j)$$

$$P(A_i) + P(A_j) - P(A_i \cap A_j) = P(A_i) + P(A_j)$$

This is what we're trying to prove given $n = 2$

False for any time $P(A_i \cap A_j) \neq 0$

5. True

$$P(A_1, A_2 \mid B_1, B_2) = P(A_1 \mid B_1) P(A_2 \mid B_2) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(A_i \mid B_i)$$

2) **Discrete and Continuous Distributions:** Write down the formula of the probability density/mass functions of random variable X.

1. Multivariate Gaussian Distribution

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \triangleq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right]$$

2. Laplace Distribution

$$\text{Lap}(x|\mu, b) \triangleq \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - \mu|}{b}\right)$$

3. Bernoulli Distribution

$$\text{Ber}(x|\theta) = \theta^{\mathbb{I}(x=1)}(1 - \theta)^{\mathbb{I}(x=0)}$$

4. Multinomial Distribution

$$\text{Mu}(\mathbf{x}|n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \triangleq \binom{n}{x_1 \dots x_K} \prod_{j=1}^K \theta_j^{x_j}$$

5. Dirichlet Distribution

$$\text{Dir}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \triangleq \frac{1}{B(\boldsymbol{\alpha})} \prod_{k=1}^K x_k^{\alpha_k - 1} \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{x} \in S_K)$$

6. Uniform Distribution

$$\text{unif}(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1/(b - a) & x \in [a, b] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

7. Exponential Distribution

$$\text{Expon}(x|\lambda) \triangleq \text{Ga}(x|1, \lambda),$$

8. Poisson Distribution

$$\text{Poi}(x|\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$$

3) Positive-Definite Matrices:

1. True, A is positive semidefinite

$$A = B^t B$$

$$x^t A x \geq 0$$

$$x^t B^t B x \geq 0 \text{ \# Substituting in for A}$$

$$(Bx)^t Bx \geq 0 \text{ \# forms two identical vectors}$$

$$\langle Bx, Bx \rangle \geq 0 \text{ \# inner product of two vectors}$$

inner product of identical vectors is always positive semi-definite

2. True. A is positive semi-definite because its eigenvalues are $[8 - \sqrt{19}, 0, 8 + \sqrt{19}]$ all of which are non-negative.

3. False. A is not positive semi-definite for the following B:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -100 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A = \begin{bmatrix} 10003 & -200 \\ -200 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are 10003 and -9991.

4) Convexity of Linear Regression:

a 1.

$$J(\theta) = \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2$$

$$dJ/d\theta = -2X^t(Y - X\theta) = -2X^tY + 2X^tX\theta$$

$$d^2J/d\theta = 2X^tX$$

$2X^tX$ is positive therefore this is convex.

a 2.

$$J(\theta) = \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2$$

$$dJ/d\theta = -2X^t(Y - X\theta) + 2\lambda\theta$$

$$d^2J/d\theta = 2X^tX + 2\lambda$$

$2X^tX$ is positive and 2λ is a constant therefore its convex

a 3.

$$J(\theta) = \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_1^2$$

if $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ and both $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are convex, then $f(x)$ is convex

$$g(\theta) = \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 \text{ is convex (see above)}$$

$$h(\theta) = \lambda \|\theta\|_1 = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n |\theta_i|$$

Since absolute value functions are always convex, $h(\theta)$ is convex.

Therefore $J(\theta)$ is convex

B. There can only be one X-Y pair that corresponds to the minimum, If there are multiple values of X that give the minimum value of Y then there will not be a unique solution for θ .

5) Regression using Huber Loss:

Batch gradient descent:

- Set δ (our learning rate) by picking a value (tune later with k-fold cross validation)
- Initialize θ to be a random vector
- While $\theta^{k+1} \neq \theta^k$:
 - $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k - dJ/d\theta \mid \theta^k$
 - $dJ/d\theta = -2X(\frac{1}{2}Y - \frac{1}{2}\theta^T X)$ when $\delta > |Y - \theta^T X|$
 - $dJ/d\theta = \delta X$ when $\delta < |Y - \theta^T X|$
- Note: $\delta = |Y - \theta^T X|$ is not continuous

Stochastic gradient descent:

- Set δ (our learning rate) by picking a value (tune later with k-fold cross validation)
- Initialize θ to be a random vector
- While $\theta^{k+1} \neq \theta^k$:
 - Select $i = 1 \in (X, Y)$
 - $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k - dJ/d\theta \mid \theta^k$
 - $dJ/d\theta = -2x_i(\frac{1}{2}y_i - \frac{1}{2}\theta^T x_i)$ when $\delta > |y_i - \theta^T x_i|$
 - $dJ/d\theta = \delta x_i$ when $\delta < |y_i - \theta^T x_i|$
- Note: $\delta = |y_i - \theta^T x_i|$ is not continuous

6) PAC Confidence Bounds:

$P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta^0| \geq \epsilon)$ is our confidence, therefore we can set it to 0.95 and solve.

$$0.95 = 2e^{-N(0.1)^2}$$

$$\ln(0.95 / 2) = -N(0.01)$$

$$74.4 = N$$

Since we can't have a partial trial the answer is 75 flips.

7) Probabilistic Regression with Prior on Parameters:

1. Plugging the values into the normal distribution function and simplifying we get:

$$N(0, 1/\lambda I) = (\lambda I / \sqrt{2\pi}) e^{(-\theta^2 \lambda I)/2}$$

2. Plugging the values into the laplace distribution function and simplifying we get:

$$Lap(\theta|0, 1/\lambda) = (\lambda/2) e^{-\lambda|\theta|}$$

The secret was remembering that the variance = $2b^2$, which works out to $b = 1/\lambda$

8) MAP estimation for the Bernoulli with non-conjugate priors:

- 1.

$$P(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}^{10\theta - 5} * \frac{1}{2}^{6-10\theta}$$

$$P(D|\theta) = P(D|\theta=0.5)*P(\theta=0.5) + P(D|\theta=0.6)*P(\theta=0.6)$$

$$= P(D) * P(\theta = 0.5) + P(D) * P(\theta = 0.6)$$

$$= N1/N * P(\theta = 0.5) + N1/N * P(\theta = 0.6)$$

I'm not 100% sure this is right, but I think it's on the right track.

2. The new prior will work better for when N is small because it takes into account that the coin might be slightly biased towards heads, neither prior will matter much when N is big because the dominant factor will be the Maximum Likelihood Estimation.

9) **Gaussian Naive Bayes:**

I don't have an answer for this question. I worked at it a long time, but in the end didn't produce anything worth showing.

10) **Linear Regression Implementation:**

See attached Jupyter Notebook file!