

Remaining Useful Life Prediction of Aircraft Engine

Group no. 19

Vedant Kulkarni B190352039

Sneha Bhaskar B190352004

Muskan Pathan B190352045

Vijayraje Jadhav B190352022



Guide - Mrs.Komal Gaikwad

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science
Vidya Pratishthan's Kamalnayan Bajaj Institute of Engineering and
Technology
Vidyanagari, Baramati-413133

Contents

- Introduction
- Problem Statement
- Objectives
- Software Architecture
- Mathematical Modelling
- Algorithm Development
- Code Implementation
- Results & Performance Evaluation
- Conclusion
- Review Paper
- Copyright
- References



Introduction

- What is RUL in Aircrafts?
estimated operational lifespan of aircraft components
- Why RUL prediction in Aircrafts matters?
 - Safety Assurance
 - Predictive Maintenance
 - Optimized Maintenance Scheduling
 - Extended Engine Life
 - Cost Reduction
 - Data Driven Decision Making



Problem Statement

To provide an accurate machine learning model or predictive maintenance system for aviation engines with the goal of precisely forecasting the Remaining Useful Life (RUL) in order to improve efficiency and operational reliability.



Objectives

- Develop a novel DBRNN+ProgNet+DCNN ensemble prognostic model for remaining useful life estimation.
- Obtain less than 19 RMSE scores from existing Methodology.
- Develop a robust system that can provide accurate predictions under all operating conditions. The existing method only works best for two operating conditions.
- Reduce Training time of dataset to less than 1hr.



System Architecture

<https://github.com/SnehaBhaskar26/BE-Project-Resources/blob/f84b134d63afdc5d85e308e930a367fdf5cff47d/System%20Architecture>



Mathematical Modelling

The system equation S can be represented as follows:

$$S = \begin{cases} h_t^{\text{Bi-TRNN}} & \text{Bi-TRNN is used,} \\ h_t^{\text{Bi-LSTM}} & \text{Bi-LSTM is used,} \\ h_t^{\text{Bi-GRU}} & \text{Bi-GRU is used,} \\ h_t^{\text{DCNN}} & \text{DCNN is used,} \\ h_t^{\text{ProgNet}} & \text{ProgNet is used,} \\ \hat{y} & \text{output layer is reached,} \end{cases}$$

Where:

- $h_t^{\text{Bi-GRU}}$, $h_t^{\text{Bi-LSTM}}$, $h_t^{\text{Bi-TRNN}}$, h_t^{DCNN} , and h_t^{ProgNet} represent the hidden states or outputs at time t for each respective model.
- \hat{y} is the final output of the model.
- Each model's specific architecture and equations are encapsulated within their respective components.



Labeling Dataset:

$$y_i = \text{Labeling}(x_i)$$

Correlation Analysis:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

Data Normalization:

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$



Bi-TRNN:

$$h_t^{(\text{Forward})} = A(X_t \cdot W_{XH}^{(\text{Forward})} + h_{t-1}^{(\text{Forward})} \cdot W_{HH}^{(\text{Forward})} + b_H^{(\text{Forward})})$$

$$h_t^{(\text{Backward})} = A(X_t \cdot W_{XH}^{(\text{Backward})} + h_{t+1}^{(\text{Backward})} \cdot W_{HH}^{(\text{Backward})} + b_H^{(\text{Backward})})$$

$$h_t^{\text{Bi-TRNN}} = Y_t = h_t \cdot W_{AY} + b_y$$



Mathematical Modeling: Bi-LSTM

Bi-LSTM:

Forget Gate:

$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$

Input Gate:

$$i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i)$$

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_c \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_c)$$

Cell State Update:

$$C_t = f_t \cdot C_{t-1} + i_t \cdot \tilde{C}_t$$

Output Gate:

$$h_t^{\text{Bi-LSTM}} = o_t = \sigma(W_o \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$

$$h_t = o_t \cdot \tanh(C_t)$$



Bi-GRU:

Forward GRU:

$$z_t^f = \sigma(W_z^f x_t + U_z^f h_{t-1}^f + b_z^f)$$

$$r_t^f = \sigma(W_r^f x_t + U_r^f h_{t-1}^f + b_r^f)$$

$$\tilde{h}_t^f = \tanh(W^f x_t + r_t^f \circ U^f h_{t-1}^f + b^f)$$

$$h_t^f = (1 - z_t^f) \circ h_{t-1}^f + z_t^f \circ \tilde{h}_t^f$$

Backward GRU:

$$z_t^b = \sigma(W_z^b x_t + U_z^b h_{t+1}^b + b_z^b)$$

$$r_t^b = \sigma(W_r^b x_t + U_r^b h_{t+1}^b + b_r^b)$$

$$\tilde{h}_t^b = \tanh(W^b x_t + r_t^b \circ U^b h_{t+1}^b + b^b)$$

$$h_t^b = (1 - z_t^b) \circ h_{t+1}^b + z_t^b \circ \tilde{h}_t^b$$



Output concatenation:

$$h_t^{\text{Bi-GRU}} = [h_t^f, h_t^b]$$



DCNN:

Convolution:
$$z_k = \sum_{j=1}^M x_j \cdot w_{jk} + b_k$$

Activation: $a_k = f(z_k)$

Pooling: $p_k = \max(a_k)$

Output: $h_t^{\text{DCNN}} = y = \text{softmax}(p)$



ProgNet:

First LSTM Layer:

$$h_t = \text{LSTM}(x_t, h_{t-1})$$

Second LSTM Layer:

$$h_t = \text{LSTM}(h_{t-1})$$

Output Layer:

$$h_t^{\text{ProgNet}} = \hat{y} = Wh_T + b$$



The ensemble model using a **Random Forest Regressor (RFR)** can be represented as follows:

$$S = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K f_k(X)$$



Performance Evaluation:

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$



Steps to build Base Learner Models:

- Define a function to build a base learner model
- Preprocess data for model
- Build the model
- Define early stopping to prevent overfitting
- Train the model
- Evaluate on train data
- Evaluate on test data
- Display the results DataFrame



Ensemble model using Random Forest Regressor:

- Concatenate predictions from all base learners for training data
- Concatenate predictions from all base learners for test data
- Define the Random Forest model
- Train the Random Forest model
- Predictions on training data
- Predictions on test data
- Add results to the DataFrame
- Display the results DataFrame



Hyperparameter	Value
Number of Estimators	100
Maximum Depth	10
Random State	42

Table: Hyperparameters for Random Forest Model



Code Implementation

<https://github.com/SnehaBhaskar26/BE-Project>



Results and Performance Evaluation

Datasets	FD001	FD002	FD003	FD004
Metric (RMSE)				
Proposed Method	14.49	14.11	15.73	15.69
Base Paper	17.97	19.81	19.18	20.4

Table: Comparison of RMSE between Proposed Methods and Base Paper



Conclusion

- Achieved RMSE scores lower than 19, surpassing the baseline paper's performance across all subsets.
- Marginally reduced training time, enhancing efficiency without compromising on predictive accuracy using feature extraction, early stopping.
- The proposed ensemble model, combining DBRNN, ProgNet, and DCNN, exhibited high predictive accuracy and robustness.
- Developed a robust system that can provide accurate predictions under all operating conditions





A Comprehensive Survey of Predictive Maintenance Techniques for Aircraft Engines Utilizing the C-MAPSS Dataset

Muskan Pathan¹, Sneha Bhaskar², Vijayaraje Jadhav³, Vedant Kulkarni⁴, Komal Gaikwad⁵

¹Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, VPKBIET, Baramati

²Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, VPKBIET, Baramati

³Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, VPKBIET, Baramati

⁴Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, VPKBIET, Baramati

⁵Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, VPKBIET, Baramati

Abstract - The application of deep learning and sophisticated machine learning techniques is driving the rapid advancement of aircraft engine prognostics and predictive maintenance. Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of aviation engines has been the subject of numerous studies aimed at improving prediction accuracy and efficacy to improve aviation safety and maintenance plans. Innovative approaches and technologies are demonstrated by these projects, which use a variety of methodologies and datasets, including C-MAPSS and N-CMAPSS. Combining feature engineering, ensemble learning, and deep learning models such as

measuring uncertainty. Additionally, in order to pinpoint important features and improve model transparency, the study investigates the use of explainable AI techniques such as aggregated feature importances with cross-validation (AFICv) and Shapley additive explanation (SHAP). In order to capture prediction uncertainties, the integration of Gaussian Processes (GPs) and Bayesian Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) is also investigated. This provides insights into uncertainty-aware prognosis and predictive analytics for industrial assets. The development and publication of datasets such as the N-CMAPSS dataset also makes it possible to conduct more

Figure: Review Paper





Figure: Acceptance Certificate of Review Paper





References



Kui Hu , Yiwei Cheng , Jun Wu, Haiping Zhu , and Xinyu Shao, “Deep Bidirectional Recurrent Neural Networks Ensemble for Remaining Useful Life Prediction of Aircraft Engine,” IEEE Trans. on cybernetics, vol. 53, no. 4, april 2023



Owais Asif , Sajjad Ali Haider, Syed Rameez Naqvi , John F. W. Zaki , Kyung-Sup Kwak , And S. M. Riazul Islam , “A Deep Learning Model for Remaining Useful Life Prediction of Aircraft Turbofan Engine on C-MAPSS Dataset,” september 2022.



Luca Biggio Ale, Xander Wieland, Manuel Arias Chao, Iason Kastanis, And Olga Fink, “Uncertainty-Aware Prognosis via Deep Gaussian Process,” September 2021.



References

-  Chuang Chen, Ningyun Lu, Bin Jiang, Yin Xing, and Zheng Hong Zhu, "Prediction Interval Estimation of Aeroengine Remaining Useful Life Based on Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory Network," April 2021.
-  Meng Ma and Zhu Mao, "Deep-Convolution-Based LSTM Network for Remaining Useful Life Prediction," March 2021.
-  Chang Woo Hong , Changmin Lee, Kwangsuk Lee, Min-Seung Ko , Dae Eun Kim and Kyeon Hur , "Remaining Useful Life Prognosis for Turbofan Engine Using Explainable Deep Neural Networks with Dimensionality Reduction," November 2020.
-  André Listou Ellefsen , Emil Bjorlykhauga , Vilmar Æsoy , Sergey Ushakov , Houxiang Zhang, "Remaining Useful Life Predictions for Turbofan Engine Degradation Using Semi-Supervised Deep Architecture," November 2018.



Thank you

