

Q1)

a) To prove $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) + k_2(x, z)$

Given k_1 and k_2 are valid kernels

→ To prove $k(x, z)$ is valid kernel we have to show

① $k(x, z)$ is symmetric

② $k(x, z)$ is positive semidefinite

Symmetric

As $k_1(x, z)$ is valid kernel i.e it is symmetric

$$\Rightarrow k_1(x, z) = k_1(z, x)$$

likewise

$$\Rightarrow k_2(x, z) = k_2(z, x)$$

as both k_1 and k_2 are matrix so, sum of two symmetric matrix is also symmetric.

Hence $k(x, z)$ is symmetric

Positive semidefinite

Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and Gram matrix of k is denoted by G has property

$$G_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$$

$$G = G_1 + G_2$$

$$\Rightarrow u G_1 u^T + u G_2 u^T = u G u^T$$

$$\geq 0 \quad \geq 0$$

$\underbrace{\text{both } \geq 0}$ So, sum is also

greater than 0

Hence $k(x, z)$ is positive semidefinite.

b) To prove

$$k(x, z)$$

$$\text{let } \vec{x} =$$

$$\vec{z} =$$

$$k(x, z)$$

$$= x_1^2$$

$$= \langle x^2, \vec{z} \rangle$$

$$k(x, z) = \langle$$

To pr

So, $k(x,$

Her

To prove $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) k_2(x, z)$
 $k(x, z)$ is equivalent to $\langle \phi(\vec{x}), \phi(\vec{z}) \rangle$

↓ Proof

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2)$$

$$\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2)$$

$$k(x, z) = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{z} \rangle^2$$

$$k(x, z) = (x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2)^2$$

$$= x_1^2 z_1^2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 + 2x_1 z_1 x_2 z_2$$

$$= \langle (x_1^2, \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2, x_2^2), (z_1^2, \sqrt{2} z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \rangle$$

$$k(x, z) = \langle \phi(\vec{x}), \phi(\vec{z}) \rangle$$

To prove - $k(x, z) = k_1(x, z) k_2(x, z)$

$$k_1(x, z) = \phi_1(x) \cdot \phi_1(z)$$

$$k_2(x, z) = \phi_2(x) \cdot \phi_2(z)$$

$$\text{So, } k(x, z) = \phi_1(x) \cdot \phi_1(z) \cdot \phi_2(x) \cdot \phi_2(z)$$

$$= \underbrace{\phi_1(x) \cdot \phi_2(x)}_{\phi(x)} \cdot \underbrace{\phi_1(z) \cdot \phi_2(z)}_{\phi(z)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ \phi(x) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \searrow \\ \phi(z) \end{array}$$

proof :- Let $\phi(x) = (x_1^2, \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2, x_2^2)$
 $= \phi_1(x) \cdot \phi_2(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(x) = (x_1^2, \sqrt{2} x_1, 1)$$

$$\phi_2(x) = (1, x_2, x_2^2)$$

Hence,

$$k(x, z) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(z)$$

End

+

Enter



d) To

c) To prove :-

$$K(x, z) = h(k_1(x, z)) \quad \text{where } h \text{ is}$$

polynomial function with positive coefficient

\rightarrow polynomial function involves only non-negative powers of x , and one more constraint they have given is coefficients are also positive.

So, let's take a polynomial with positive coefficient

$$\text{Ex} :- x^3 + x^2 + x$$

$$= [k_1(x, z)]^3 + [k_1(x, z)]^2 + [k_1(x, z)] - \textcircled{1}$$



product of valid kernels is also (b - part as we proved)
a valid kernel. So,

Eqn $\textcircled{1}$ is transformed to

$$= k_3(x, z) + k_4(x, z) + k_1(x, z)$$

Sum of three valid kernels
is also a valid kernel

So,

$K(x, z) = h(k_1(x, z))$ is a valid statement

Hence Proved

As a
function
like w
Same

e) To

S

DELL
12-01-2019

d) To prove :-

$$k(x, z) = \exp(k_1(x, z))$$

as we know expansion of exponential function is

$$\exp(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 + x^i/i)$$

so,

$k(x, z) = \text{polynomial function of kernels}$.

As we proved in (c) part that the polynomial function of kernel is also a kernel

-① likewise here also, we end up with a same case So,

we $k(x, z)$ is a valid kernel where it is equal to $\exp(k_1(x, z))$

e) To prove :-

$$k(x, z) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - z\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Solving R.H.S

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2 - \|z\|^2 + 2x^T z}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{-\|x\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\|z\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2x^T z}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

↓
 $g(x)$

↓
 $g(z)$

valid kernel
as proved in
d part

Now, to prove $g(x) \cdot g(z)$ is also valid



as $\phi : x \rightarrow H$ (mapping function)

as we know $k(x, y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$

$$\Rightarrow [g(x) \cdot g(z)] \cdot \exp(k_1(x, z))$$

$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad}_{\substack{\text{Valid} \\ \text{kernel}}}$ $\underbrace{\qquad\qquad}_{\substack{\text{Valid} \\ \text{kernel}}}$

Hence product is also a valid kernel

Hence proved