

Block Multilinear Degree

Paper Review

Akash Kumar Singh, Siddhant Kar, Snehal Raj

IIT Kanpur

Table of contents

1. Introduction
2. Block-multilinear Degree vs Degree
3. Classical-Quantum Gap
4. References

Introduction

Quantum Query Model

- The quantum query model is the most widely used model to study quantum algorithms.
- Given a boolean function $x: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, we are required to calculate a property $f(x)$, where $f: \{-1, 1\}^N \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $N = 2^n$.
- An algorithm based on this model is allowed to make several queries to x in order to calculate $f(x)$.
- The minimum number of queries that any such algorithm must make in order to determine f is called the quantum query complexity Q_f of f .

- The polynomial method puts a lower bound on Q_f .
- Using the method, we can construct a degree $2Q_f$ polynomial that approximates f up to some ϵ .
- The minimum degree that any such polynomial can attain is called the ϵ -approximate degree of f , denoted $\widetilde{\deg}_\epsilon(f)$.
- Thus, $2Q_f \geq \widetilde{\deg}_\epsilon(f)$.

Block-multilinear polynomials

- Aaronson et al. [1] introduced a new notion and approximated f up to $\pm\epsilon$ using a "block-multilinear" polynomial of degree $2Q_f$.
- A block multilinear polynomial on $\{-1, 1\}^n$ is of the form

$$p(x) = p(x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} x_{1,i_1} \dots x_{k,i_k}$$

where its n variables can be partitioned into k disjoint blocks $B_i, i \in [k]$, such that $x_{i,j} \in B_i \forall j$.

- The minimum degree attainable by a block-multilinear polynomial that approximates f up to $\pm\epsilon$ is called the ϵ -approximate block-multilinear degree, denoted $\widetilde{\text{bmdeg}}_\epsilon(f)$.
- We thus have $2Q_f \geq \widetilde{\text{bmdeg}}_\epsilon(f)$.

Forrelation

- This notion of block-multilinear polynomials was used in [1] to solve a problem called Forrelation.
- It is a measure of the correlation between a function f and the fourier transform of a second function g .
- Given oracle access to two boolean functions $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, let

$$\Phi_{f,g} := \frac{1}{2^{3n/2}} \sum_{x,y \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x)(-1)^{x \cdot y} g(y). \quad (1)$$

- We have to decide whether $\Phi_{f,g} \geq 0.6$ or $|\Phi_{f,g}| \leq 0.01$, promised that one of them is the case.

Block-multilinear Degree vs Degree

Bmdeg vs Deg

- We compare the exact block-multilinear degree of a boolean function to its degree.
- bmdeg is at least equal to the degree.
- Interested in the gap between the two and want to find a function f for which the inequality is strict, or that $\text{bmdeg}_\epsilon(f) > \deg_\epsilon(f)$.
- Construction a block-multilinear polynomial by symmetrically splitting the coefficients of a given polynomial.
- Second approach which involves finding a dual witness for a suitable linear program.

Symmetrization

- From an exact polynomial representation of a polynomial in fourier basis, that is,

$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \quad (2)$$

where $\chi_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ is the S th Fourier function. we devise two different symmetrization construction (the details of which are given in the report).

- Checked whether each polynomial constructed is bounded in $[-1, 1]$ on all possible inputs using a Integer Linear Program.
- Found a counter example where the polynomials weren't bounded.

- Dual Witness based approach considering the linear program to find the best possible approximation of a function $f: \{-1, 1\}^N \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ using a block-multilinear polynomial g of degree $d = \deg(f)$.
- The value of its dual being strictly greater than ϵ_0 , implies, by weak duality, that the value of the primal is greater than ϵ_0 as well, and thus $\text{bmdeg}_{\epsilon_0}(f) > d$.

Theorem

Let $f: \{-1, 1\}^N \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ have degree d . Then $\text{bmdeg}_0(f) > d$ if and only if there exist $\phi: \{-1, 1\}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2: \{-1, 1\}^{(N+1)^d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

1. $\sum_x \phi(x)f(x) \geq \sum_{\bar{x}} (\psi_1(\bar{x}) + \psi_2(\bar{x})).$
2. $\hat{\psi}_1(m) - \hat{\psi}_2(m) = \frac{N}{2^{(N+1)^d}} \hat{\phi}(S_m) \quad \forall m \in \{0, \dots, N\}^d.$

Classical-Quantum Gap

- Aaronson et al. [1] introduced a new notion, where they approximated f up to ϵ using a block-multilinear polynomial.
- This notion of block-multilinear polynomials was used in [1] to solve Forrelation.
- Forrelation has achieved the largest gap between quantum and classical query complexities known yet among promise problems.
- In our report we give an overview of the results mentioned in [1] which show this gap.

We first convert the Forrelation problem into a Real Forrelation problem. In Real Forrelation, we are given oracle access to two real functions $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and are promised that either

1. every $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ value is an independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ Gaussian, or else
2. every $f(x)$ value is an independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ Gaussian and every $g(y)$ value equals $\hat{f}(y)$ (i.e. the Fourier transform of f evaluated at y).

In the Gaussian Distinguishing problem, we are given oracle access to a collection of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ real Gaussian variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m and are asked to decide whether

1. the variables are all independent, or
2. the variables lie in a known low dimensional subspace $S \leq R^m$ such that there is a covariance of at most ϵ between each pair of variables, i.e., $| \text{Cov}(x_i, x_j) | \leq \epsilon \forall i, j$.

Randomized Lower bound

- If there exists a T -query algorithm that solves Forrelation with bounded error, then there also exists an $O(T)$ -query algorithm that solves Real Forrelation with bounded error. The details of this reduction can be found in [1].
- Gaussian distinguishing requires $\Omega\left(\frac{1/\varepsilon}{\log(M/\varepsilon)}\right)$ classical randomized queries.
- Using the above stated results, we show in the report that that any classical randomized algorithm for must make $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log N}\right)$ queries, therefore implying a separation of order $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log N}\right)$ between quantum and classical complexities.

Optimized Randomized Algorithm

- Forrelation requires at least $\Omega(\sqrt{N}/\log n)$ queries classically but just one quantum query.
- We then show in our report that this 1-query quantum algorithm can be converted to a \sqrt{N} -query randomized algorithm.
- We do this by using an estimator on estimate the block-multilinear polynomial.

References

References

- [1] Scott Aaronson and Andris Ambainis. Forrelation: A problem that optimally separates quantum from classical computing, 2014.