

Thm 5 (Caratheodory Thm).

Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n be pts from \mathbb{R}^d . Let $P \in \text{Conv}(\{P_1, \dots, P_n\})$.
then $\exists (d+1)$ pts from P_1 to P_n whose convex hull also contains P .

Thm 5': - Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n be pts from \mathbb{R}^d . Let $P \in \text{Conv}(\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\})$. Then \exists a affinely independent subset of $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ whose convex hull also contains P .

Proof (Thm 5'): -

Let S be the smallest in terms of size, subset of $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ s.t. $P \in \text{Conv}(S)$. We will show that S is affinely independent. ~~This follows from the fact that \forall finite subsets of \mathbb{R}^d if S is finite subset of \mathbb{R}^d and S' is the largest affinely ind subset of S .~~

Suppose S is not affinely independent. Then $\exists \lambda_i$ not all zero s.t.

$$\sum_{P_i \in S} \lambda_i P_i = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{P_i \in S} \lambda_i = 0.$$

$$\exists \mu_i \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } \sum_{P_i \in S} \mu_i P_i = P \text{ and } \sum_{P_i \in S} \mu_i = 1.$$

Assume $\epsilon > 0$ and very very small s.t. $\mu_i(\epsilon) = \mu_i - \epsilon \lambda_i \geq 0$.

$$\forall i \quad \sum_{P_i \in S} \mu_i(\epsilon) P_i = P \text{ and } \sum_{P_i \in S} \mu_i(\epsilon) = 1.$$

Now as one of $M_i(\epsilon)$ becomes '0' I can throw out that $M_i P_i$.

so I can write P as a convex hull of subset S . On
contradicts our assumption so it can't be true.

Linear Program & Integer LP.

LP (General form)

Inputs :- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

$$\begin{cases} \text{max. } \langle c, x \rangle \text{ s.t. } AX \leq b. \\ x \leq y \Rightarrow x_i \leq y_i \quad \forall i. \end{cases}$$

Things we are interested about (LP1):

(1) Optimal value :- Opt_{LP1} .

(2) Optimal point :- P_{LP1} .

(3) Feasibility of (LP1) :-

$$AX \leq b \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\langle a_i, x \rangle}_{\text{halfspaces}} \leq b_i \quad \forall i \in [m].$$

Bounded space in this case is polyhedron.

Linear program in "standard form" / "canonical form"

$$\text{max } \langle c, x \rangle \text{ s.t. } Ax = b, x \geq 0.$$

Lemma 8: Every LP can be converted into an equivalent equational form LP.

Proof: LP2:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \langle c, x \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

(See next page for explanation).

Equivalent (LP3).

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \langle c, x \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax + y = b. \\ & y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

$$x_i = \mu_i - \mu'_i, \mu \geq 0, \mu' \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{matrix} n \\ n \\ m \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

Equivalent (LP4)

$$A(\mu - \mu')$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \langle c, \mu - \mu' \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & A(\mu - \mu') + y = b. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu \geq 0, \mu' \geq 0, y \geq 0.$$

$$Z = (\mu, \mu', y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m} \Rightarrow \text{columnwise.}$$

$$\max \langle \tilde{c}, Z \rangle \text{ subject to } \tilde{A} Z = \tilde{b}, Z \geq 0.$$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & -A & I_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\tilde{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ -c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m}.$$

$$\tilde{b} = b.$$

$$[A \quad -A \quad I_m]$$

$$= A\mu - A\mu'$$

$$= A(\mu - \mu')$$

Lemma 8: - Every LP can be converted into an equivalent equational form LP.

Proof: - LP2:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \langle c, x \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalent (LP3).

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \langle c, x \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax + y = b. \\ & y \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

introduce a slack variable to make \leq to $=$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

$$x_i = \mu_i - \mu'_i, \mu \geq 0, \mu' \geq 0.$$

Equivalent (LP4)

Now $\because x_i$ can be +ve/-ve

\therefore take $x_i = \mu_i - \mu'_i$

where μ_i, μ'_i are +ve.

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \langle c, \mu - \mu' \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & A(\mu - \mu') + y = b. \\ & \mu \geq 0, \mu' \geq 0, y \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad \text{max}(\langle c, \mu \rangle - \langle c, \mu' \rangle)$$

$$z = (\mu, \mu', y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m} \Rightarrow \text{columnwise.}$$

$$\max \langle \tilde{c}, z \rangle \text{ subject to } \tilde{A} z = \tilde{b}, z \geq 0.$$

$$\tilde{A} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} A & -A & I_m \end{array} \right] \quad \text{cols}$$

$$\tilde{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ -c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m}.$$

$$\tilde{b} = b.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -A & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \mu' \\ y \end{bmatrix} = b$$

$$= A\mu - A\mu' + y = b$$

$$= A(\mu - \mu') + y = b$$

we can write ① in compact form if $c = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ -c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\therefore \max \langle \tilde{c}, z \rangle = \max (\langle c, \mu \rangle - \langle c, \mu' \rangle + \langle 0, y \rangle)$$

Duality of LP

Primal

$$\max \langle c, x \rangle$$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax = b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

(LP5)

Dual

$$\min \langle b, y \rangle$$

$$\text{s.t. } A^T y \leq c$$

$$y \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

(DP5)

new variable y .

(Weak duality).

Lemma 9 :- Let x_0 be a feasible solⁿ to (LP5) and

let y_0 be a feasible solⁿ to (DP5). Then,

$$\langle c, x_0 \rangle \leq \langle b, y_0 \rangle \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Prove it:} \\ \text{(proved in laptop notes.)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{OPT}_{LP5} \leq \text{OPT}_{DP5}.$$

Strong Duality - LP satisfies strong duality.

Ex

- Derive the dual of the following LP.

$$1) \max \langle c, x \rangle. \text{ s.t. } Ax \leq b, x \geq 0.$$