Blue Lock

(from bi0sCTF 2022)

Intro

Chall comes with two files: enc_file and malware.exe. By glancing at enc_file, we notice that it is a little sus.

It consists of bytes (except first two hex) and specifically from the third number on (4d) it resembles some exe file(4d, $5a = MZ \rightarrow DOS$ Header). If we try to write our bytes to a exe file the resulting file will be corrupted.

The Malware

After some initial static analysis with IDA, we realize that the malware intentionally throws some exceptions:

```
__int64 sub_140004540()
{
   return 1600 / 0;
}
```

which makes decompilation harder.

The exception handlers can be viewed in disassembly and that is where the main activity is taking place.

```
loc_1400043BD:
               __try { // __except at loc_1400043DF
                   [rsp+7E8h+var_7C8], 0Ah
           mov
            mov
                   [rsp+7E8h+var_7C4], 0
                   eax, [rsp+7E8h+var_7C8]
            mov
            cdq
            idiv
                   [rsp+7E8h+var_7C4]
                    [rsp+7E8h+var_7C0], eax
            mov
                   loc 1400044A8
            jmp
                 // starts at 1400043BD
loc_1400043DF:
    __except(unknown_libname_27) // owned by 1400043BD
       rcx, [rsp+7E8h+var_268]
lea
call
        sub_140003000
       rdi, [rsp+7E8h+arg_18]
MOV
nov
        rsi, rax
       ecx, 0E0h
IOV
rep movsb
lea
       rax, [rsp+7E8h+var_648]
MOV
       rdi, rax
xor
       eax, eax
       ecx, 0E8h
mov
rep stosb
       rcx, [rsp+7E8h+var_648]
lea
        sub_1400032D0
call
mov
        rdi, [rsp+7E8h+arg_10]
mov
       rsi, rax
mov
       ecx, 0E8h
rep movsb
        r8, aCWindowsSystem; "c:\\windows\\system32\\cmd.exe"
lea
       rdx, [rsp+7E8h+var_188]
lea
        rcx, [rsp+7E8h+arg_10]
mov
call
        sub_140004150
       rdi, [rsp+7E8h+arg_0]
mov
mov
       rsi, rax
        ecx, 168h
MOV
rep movsb
lea
       r8, aEncFile_0 ; "enc_file"
       rdx, [rsp+7E8h+var_468]
lea
        rcx, [rsp+7E8h+arg_10]
mov
      sub_140003FC0
call
        rdi, [rsp+7E8h+arg_8]
mov
        rsi, rax
mov
        ecx, 90h
```

So, after playing around a little bit, and by using some dynamic analysis, we notice that the malware opens cmd.exe and enc_file, reads from the latter and writes data to the first one. It then tries to give control to a thread in cmd.exe running the malicious code. That is a good point to intervene. So we intercept the cmd.exe process before being resumed and then step over ResumeThread.

Ok so, now we are in the cmd process and we notice yet another exception as well as two handlers

```
THILS
48:83EC 38
                        sub rsp,38
48:8D15 D5DAFFFF
                         lea rdx, gword ptr
                                              ds:[7FF68D6C6F50]
B9 01000000
                         mov ecx,1
                         call qword ptr ds
lea rdx,qword ptr ds:[7FF68D6C9210]
FF15 9A1B0000
48:8D15 83FDFFFF
                         xor ecx,ecx
33C9
                         call qword ptr ds: [<&Rt]AddVectoredExcer
FF15 8B1B0000
C74424 20 05000000
8B4424 20
                         mov dword ptr ss:[rsp+20],s
mov eax,dword ptr ss:[rsp+20]
                         xor ecx,ecx
33C9
F7F9
                         idiv ecx
```

ok so the first one checks for existence of a file called flag and if it doesn't exist it outputs error and exits:

```
mov rax,qword ptr ds:[rax]
cmp dword ptr ds:[rax],c0000094
jne cmd.7FF68D6C706B
lea r8,qword ptr ds:[7FF68D6CB5A0]
lea rdx,qword ptr ds:[7FF68D6CB5A4]
lea rcx,qword ptr ss:[rsp+28]
call qword ptr ds:[<&fopen_s>]
test eax,eax
je cmd.7FF68D6C6FBE
mov ecx,2
call qword ptr ds:[<&__acrt_iob_func>]
lea rdx,qword ptr ds:[7FF68D6CB5AC]
mov rcx,rax

mov rcx,rax

| mov rcx,rax | mov compared to the compar
```

Else, it reads the contents into memory.

Now the second handler is more complicated:

In short words, it generates a key for encryption and then uses that to encrypt the data read from flag.txt. The encryption algorithm used is xxtea but i didn't figure that out at the time so I crafted my own sloppy decryptor.

This is a snapshot of my decryptor for when i needed to decipher the flag payload:

(Short note here: In order to get the key of the encryption, i had to attach to cmd.exe after it executed the key generation algorithm, i have no idea why. I 've talked to one creator who had to say this to help me:

The source code has std::string cast, I'm still unaware why it changes, but i suspect there is some underlying permission defined where it states that heap regions must be destroyed for a windows program while a debugger is attached to them

I'm yet to find proof for this hunch tho

)

Next up, the encrypted data gets written to something like a copy of cmd, and the output is stored in a similar fashion to a new enc_file. Now, it is easier to find out what the first two numbers in enc_file mean.

The first number (e.g. 0xf600) is the actual useful size of the file. The second one (eg. 0x7204) is the superfluous bytes added randomly(?) by the malware running on cmd.exe

Putting it all together

So, we know that whoever created the enc_file, used a 0xf600 bytes program and then used the malware in some fashion to encrypt 0x7204 other bytes to it. If only we knew the order and positions of that bytes. But wait a minute we know, because in order for the enc_file to be written to cmd.exe and be functional, it needs to have its gibberish removed somehow. After careful examination, we find a function that does exactly that, store the position of superfluous bytes, e.g.:

```
SS: [rsp+1C348], 16403
SS: [rsp+1C345], 16406
SS: [rsp+1C350], 16407
SS: [rsp+1C350], 16407
SS: [rsp+1C350], 16408
SS: [rsp+1C350], 16408
SS: [rsp+1C360], 16408
SS: [rsp+1C360], 16408
SS: [rsp+1C360], 16414
SS: [rsp+1C360], 16415
SS: [rsp+1C360], 16416
SS: [rsp+1C360], 16416
SS: [rsp+1C370], 16416
SS: [rsp+1C370], 16418
SS: [rsp+1C370], 16426
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16425
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16426
SS: [rsp+1C390], 16427
SS: [rsp+1C390], 16427
SS: [rsp+1C390], 16428
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16430
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16430
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16430
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16430
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16444
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16444
SS: [rsp+1C380], 16448
C78424 48C30100 0364(mov dword ptr ss
                                                                      rsp+1C348 ,16403
C78424 4CC30100 0664(mov dword ptr
C78424 50C30100 0764(mov dword ptr
C78424 54C30100 0A64 mov dword ptr
C78424 58C30100 0B64(mov dword ptr
C78424 5CC30100 0D64(mov dword ptr
C78424 60C30100 0E64(mov dword ptr
C78424 64C30100 1364(mov dword ptr
C78424 68C30100 1464(mov dword ptr
C78424 6CC30100 1564 mov dword ptr
C78424 70C30100 1664(mov dword ptr
C78424 74C30100 1964 mov
                                            dword ptr
C78424 78C30100 1A64(mov dword ptr
C78424 7CC30100 1B64(mov dword ptr
C78424 80C30100 1E64(mov dword ptr
C78424 84C30100 2164(mov dword ptr
C78424 88C30100 2564(mov dword ptr
C78424 8CC30100 2664 mov dword ptr
C78424 90C30100 2764 mov dword ptr
C78424 94C30100 2A64(mov dword ptr
C78424 98C30100 2D64(mov dword ptr
C78424 9CC30100 2E64 mov dword ptr
C78424 A0C30100 2F64 mov dword ptr
C78424 A4C30100 3064 mov dword ptr
C78424 A8C30100 3264 mov dword ptr
C78424 ACC30100 3A64(mov dword ptr
C78424 B0C30100 3C64 mov dword ptr
C78424 B4C30100 3D64(mov dword ptr
C78424 B8C30100 4364(mov dword ptr
C78424 BCC30100 4A64 mov dword ptr
C78424 C0C30100 4B64 mov dword ptr
C78424 C4C30100 4D64(mov dword ptr
```

The bytes are copied to stack so they can be obtained and isolated. We then extract the bytes at the positions we got earlier.

Now we have our encrypted bytes and a decryptor at our disposal, so we combine those two and get the following png:

bi0sctf{warmup_reversing_challenge_but_malware}

We got lucky here, as the order of the bytes wasn't changed. Overall a great challenge!!