# Verification of Cyber-Physical System Fall 2017

## Exercice Sheet 2

Author: Sylvain Julmy

Professor: Ultes-Nitsche Ulrich

Assistant : Prisca Dotti

## Exercice 1

The following Promela model is equivalent to the one given in exercice:

```
#define other ((idx+1) % 2)
byte p1,p2;
bool mut[2];
bool turn;
proctype process(int idx){
   mut[idx] = true;
    turn = idx;
    (mut[other] == false || turn == other);
    /* critical section */
    critical : skip;
   mut[idx] = false;
   goto start;
}
init {
   mut[0] = false;
   mut[1] = false;
   atomic {
   p1 = run process(0);
   p2 = run process(1)
}
never{
        :: process[p1]@critical && process[p2]@critical; break;
        :: else;
   od
}
```

we use a generic *Promela* process to simulate two process which want to access a critical section represented by the critical: label. We use a never claim too: if both process are at the critical: label, the never claim is allowed to end, which should not be permitted by a valid model.

### Exercice 2

The mutual exclusion problem states that any of the process A and B are at the same time in the critical section. We can translate this to the LTL formula  $f = \Box(\neg inCS_A \land \neg inCS_b)$ , where  $inCS_p$  denots "process p is in critical section". Because that is a behavior of the system which should never happen, we use the following spin command to generate the never claim:

```
spin -f '!( []!(p && q) )'
```

which give us the following never claim:

```
never {    /* !([]!(p && q) ) */
    TO_init:
    do
    :: atomic { ((p && q)) -> assert(!((p && q))) }
    :: (1) -> goto TO_init
    od;
    accept_all:
    skip
}
```

Finally we would have the following promela model :

```
/* LTL formula and variable */
#define p true
#define q true
/* Main program */
#define other ((idx+1) \% 2)
byte p1,p2;
bool mut[2];
bool turn;
proctype process(int idx){
    mut[idx] = true;
    turn = idx;
    (mut[other] == false || turn == other);
    /* critical section */
    critical : skip;
    mut[idx] = false;
    goto start;
}
init {
    mut[0] = false;
    mut[1] = false;
```

```
atomic {
    p1 = run process(0);
    p2 = run process(1)
    }
}

never {    /* !( []!(p && q) ) */
TO_init:
    do
        :: atomic { ((p && q)) -> assert(!((p && q))) }
        :: (1) -> goto TO_init
        od;
accept_all:
        skip
}
```

We can also check manually the LTL formula by forcing p and q to true:

```
/* LTL formula and variable */
#define p true
#define q true
```

Which leads into an error on the verification of the *Promela* model.

## Exercice 3

The *Promela* model given in exercice 3 is corresponding to the LTL formula  $f = \Diamond \Box p$ , which means, with respect to the never claim, "It should never happen that, at the moment, p will always be true in the future".

Its like the following sequence should never happen:

$$(1), (0), (0), (1), (0), \underbrace{(1)}_{a}, (\cdots), (1), (\cdots)$$

because at the moment a, p never change back to false.