

Professor : Ultes-Nitsche Ulrich
Assistant : Christophe Stammet

Submitted by Sylvain Julmy

Exercise 1

In order to show that 4TA-SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete, we first have to show that 4TA-SAT is in \mathcal{NP} , then we prove that 4TA-SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete by reducing it in polynomial time to the known \mathcal{NP} -complete problem SAT.

4TA-SAT is in \mathcal{NP}

We can construct a non-deterministic Turing Machine that solve the 4TA-SAT problem in polynomial time. The TM can check all the truth assignments to the propositional formula in parallel and find 4 evaluation of the formula in polynomial time.

4TA-SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete

In order to use an 4TA-SAT algorithm to solve SAT, we are going to transform a formula ϕ which is in CNF and the input of the SAT algorithm. 4TA-SAT is *true* if and only if there are 4 different interpretations which satisfies ϕ .

We use the following transformation, where ϕ' is the transformed formula ϕ for the 4TA-SAT algorithm :

$$\phi' = \phi \vee (x \wedge y)$$

Where x and y are two new variables that does not occur in ϕ .

Now we consider the following case :

- ϕ is not satisfiable, then the 4TA-SAT algorithm would find only 1 case where ϕ' is satisfiable, where $x = \text{true}$ and $y = \text{true}$, then there would be only 1 satisfiable formula and the 4TA-SAT would return *false*
- only one interpretation satisfies ϕ , then the 4TA-SAT would find 4 different ways of satisfying ϕ . I is the interpretation that satisfies ϕ , then ϕ' would be satisfied by the four following interpretation :
 - $I' = I \cup (\{x \mapsto \text{false}, y \mapsto \text{false}\})$
 - $I' = I \cup (\{x \mapsto \text{false}, y \mapsto \text{true}\})$
 - $I' = I \cup (\{x \mapsto \text{true}, y \mapsto \text{false}\})$
 - $I' = I \cup (\{x \mapsto \text{true}, y \mapsto \text{true}\})$

The reduction of ϕ to ϕ' is in polynomial time because we just add two variables.

Exercise 2

In order to show that NAE-4-SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete, we first show that NAE-4-SAT is in \mathcal{NP} , then we prove that the 3-SAT is reducible to NAE-4-SAT in a polynomial time. Because 3-SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete, therefore NAE-4-SAT would be \mathcal{NP} -complete too.

NAE-4-SAT is in \mathcal{NP}

NAE-4-SAT is in \mathcal{NP} since we can verify every clause in polynomial time with a non-deterministic Turing Machine, we check if the interpretation is satisfiable (polynomial time) and if the interpretation does not contain a clause where all of her literals are *true* (polynomial time).

NAE-4-SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete

In order to reduce 3-SAT to NAE-4-SAT, we transform a 3-SAT formula ϕ into an equivalent one which is accepted by the NAE-4-SAT problem.

For each clause $(\alpha_i \vee \alpha_j \vee \alpha_k)$ of ϕ , we represent it by a new NAE-4-SAT clause $(\beta_i, \beta_j, \beta_k, \lambda)$ which is the input of the NAE-4-SAT algorithm.

Each α_i variable of ϕ is represented by a variable β_i in the transformed formula ϕ' . α_i would be *true* if $\beta_i \neq \lambda$ and false otherwise. The clause $(\beta_i, \beta_j, \beta_k, \lambda)$ is satisfied only if one of the β is different from λ , so if we found a satisfying interpretation of ϕ' , the λ variable would make it correct w.r.t the NAE-4-SAT constraint.

Then, ϕ is satisfiable if and only if ϕ' is satisfiable, because λ is shared with all the clauses of NAE-4-SAT.

The transformation is polynomial because we just use the same variable of each clause and add a new one (always the same).