

Resume : Formal Methods

January 23, 2018

Chapter 1

Hoare Logic

1.1 Hoare Triple

Logical formulas can be used to express information about program states. We called $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ a “Hoare Triple” :

- A precondition P what can be assumed to be true before executing a sequence of statements S .
- A postcondition Q states what will be true after the execution of S .

We write $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ to indicate : if P is true, then executing S will make Q true.

We also use the notation x' to denote the variable x after the execution of S . For example

$$\{true\} x = x + 1; \{x' = x + 1\}$$

is a valid Hoare Triple in which x' is the value of x after the execution of S . We can write any predicate in between any two lines of code (an assertion), we assume that such a predicate is the postcondition of the previous line and the precondition of the following line.

1.2 Correctness of Hoare Triple

We translate our program S into a formula ϕ_S and then, we check

$$P \wedge \phi_S \rightarrow Q$$

or, equivalently

$$\phi_S \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$$

So, for example, we turn the following Hoare Triple

$$\{x \neq 0\} x = 1/x; x = 1/x; \{x' = x\}$$

into the following formula (also using the primed notation) :

$$\underbrace{x \neq 0}_P \wedge \underbrace{x'' = 1/x \wedge x' = 1/x''}_S \rightarrow \underbrace{x' = x}_Q$$

Which is true by elementary arithmetic.

1.2.1 If Clauses

We turn

$$\{P\} \text{ if}(\text{condition}) \{prog1\} \text{ else } \{prog2\}; \{Q\}$$

into

$$\{P \wedge \text{condition}\} prog1 \{Q\}$$

and

$$\{P \wedge \neg \text{condition}\} prog2 \{Q\}$$

Both of those Hoare triple must be true for the if clause to be correct.

1.2.2 Loops Clauses

In order to check the total correctness of a program with loops, we have to check the partial correctness and the termination of the program. Such a program is in the following form :

$$\{P\} \text{ initialisation; while } (\text{condition}) \{loop \text{ body}\}; \{Q\}$$

Partial correctness

A loop invariant is a logical formula that is true

- before the loop,
- before each execution of the loop body,
- after each execution of the loop body,
- after the loop.

Then, from

$$\{P\} \text{ initialisation; while } (\text{condition}) \{loop \text{ body}\}; \{Q\}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \{P\} \text{ initialisation; } \{inv\} \\ & \{inv \wedge \text{condition}\} loop \text{ body; } \{inv\} \\ & \{inv \wedge \neg \text{condition}\} skip; \{Q\} \end{aligned}$$

Termination

A loop variant is an integer-valued expression that

- is decreased at least by 1 in each execution of the loop body,
- cannot go below 0.

Then, from

$$\{P\} \text{ initialisation; while } (\text{condition}) \{loop \text{ body}\}; \{Q\}$$

we get

$$\{int \text{ var} \wedge \text{var} > 0\} loop \text{ body; } \{\text{var} > \text{var}' \geq 0\}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} &\{n > 0 \wedge x = 1\} \text{ sum} = 1; \\ &\quad \text{while } (x < n) \{ \\ &\quad \quad x = x + 1; \\ &\quad \quad \text{sum} = \text{sum} + x; \\ &\quad \quad \}; \{ \text{sum} = n(n+1)/2 \} \end{aligned}$$

For the invariant, we try $\text{sum} = x(x+1)/2$ and we get the following Hoare Triples :

$$\begin{aligned} &\{n > 0 \wedge x = 1\} \text{ sum} = 1; \{ \text{sum} = x(x+1)/2 \} \\ &\{ \text{sum} = x(x+1)/2 \wedge x < n \} x = x + 1; \text{ sum} = \text{sum} + x \{ \text{sum} = x(x+1)/2 \} \\ &\{ \text{sum} = x(x+1)/2 \wedge \neg(x < n) \} \text{ skip}; \{ \text{sum} = n(n+1)/2 \} \end{aligned}$$

(1) : We obtain the following formula to prove :

$$n > 0 \wedge x = 1 \wedge \text{sum} = 1 \rightarrow \text{sum} = \frac{x(x+1)}{2}$$

$$1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$$

(2) : We obtain the following formula to prove :

$$\text{sum} = x(x+1)/2 \wedge x < n \wedge x' = x + 1 \wedge \text{sum}' = \text{sum} + x' \rightarrow \text{sum}' = x'(x'+1)/2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sum} &= \frac{x(x+1)}{2} \\ x &< n \\ x' &= x + 1 \\ \text{sum}' &= \text{sum} + x' = \text{sum} + x + 1 = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + x + 1 \\ \text{sum}' &= \frac{x'(x'+1)}{2} \\ \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + x + 1 &= \frac{(x+1)(x+1+1)}{2} \\ \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + x + 1 &= \frac{x^2 + x}{2} + x + 1 = 0.5x^2 + 1.5x + 1 \\ \frac{(x+1)(x+1+1)}{2} &= \frac{x^2 + 2 + 2x + x}{2} = \frac{x^2 + 3x + 2}{2} = 0.5x^2 + 1.5x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(3) : We obtain the following formula to prove :

$$\text{sum} = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} \wedge x \geq n \rightarrow \text{sum} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Which is true because if $x = n$ then both side of the equation are equivalent.

termination : we try $n - x$ for the variant and we got the following formula :

$$\begin{aligned}
&int\ var \wedge \\
&var > 0 \wedge \\
&x = 1 \wedge \\
&n > 0 \wedge \\
&var = n - x \wedge \\
&x' = x + 1 \wedge \\
&sum' = sum + x' \wedge \\
&var' = n - x' \rightarrow \\
&var > var' \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$var' = n - x' = n - x + 1$$

$$n - x > n - x - 1 \geq 0$$

Termination is proved.

1.3 Weakness and Strength of Predicates

P is weaker than $Q \leftrightarrow Q \rightarrow P$ (\leftrightarrow stand for if and only if). `true` is the weakest predicate and `false` is the strongest one.

If P is weaker than P' ($P' \rightarrow P$), then proving $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ guarantees the truth of $\{P'\} S \{Q\}$.

If Q is stronger than Q' ($Q \rightarrow Q'$), then proving $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ guarantees the truth of $\{P\} S \{Q'\}$.

Chapter 2

Propositional Logic

Chapter 3

Computability

3.1 Undecidability of First-order Logic

Chapter 4

Complexity

4.1 Cook's Theorem

4.2 NP-completeness

4.3 PSPACE-Completeness

Chapter 5

Polynomial Time Reductions