
Mathematical Methods for Computer Science I

Fall 2017

Series 9 – Hand in before Monday, 27.11.2017 - 12.00

We are using a proof system with the set of axioms given at the bottom of this page and modus ponens as the only inference rule.

1. a) Show that $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, A \vdash C$ by explicitly writing a deduction of the conclusion from the premises. Explain every step. Which axioms did you use?
b) With the help of the deduction lemma show that the formula

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

is provable.

2. Show that if $\Gamma_1 \vdash A$ and $\Gamma_2, A \vdash B$, then $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \vdash B$. (This is called the *cut rule*.)

3. With the help of the deduction lemma show that the formulas

$$\text{a) } ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)); \quad \text{b) } (A \wedge B) \rightarrow (B \wedge A)$$

are provable in our proof system.

4. With the help of the deduction lemma and the case distinction lemma show that the formula

$$((A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \wedge C)$$

is provable in our proof system.

5. Change the axiom set by replacing the axiom (9) with $\neg\neg A \rightarrow A$. Show that this does not change the set of provable formulas.

- (1) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$;
- (2) $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$;
- (3) a) $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A$; b) $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow B$;
- (4) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \wedge B))$;
- (5) a) $A \rightarrow (A \vee B)$; b) $B \rightarrow (A \vee B)$;
- (6) $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \vee B \rightarrow C))$;
- (7) $\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$;
- (8) $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$;
- (9) $A \vee \neg A$.