



verichains

SECURITY AUDIT OF

**TANKBATTLE TOKEN SMART
CONTRACTS**



Private Report

Dec 10, 2021

Verichains Lab

info@verichains.io

<https://www.verichains.io>

Driving Technology > Forward

ABBREVIATIONS

| Name | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| Ethereum | An open source platform based on blockchain technology to create and distribute smart contracts and decentralized applications. |
| Ether (ETH) | A cryptocurrency whose blockchain is generated by the Ethereum platform. Ether is used for payment of transactions and computing services in the Ethereum network. |
| Smart contract | A computer protocol intended to digitally facilitate, verify or enforce the negotiation or performance of a contract. |
| Solidity | A contract-oriented, high-level language for implementing smart contracts for the Ethereum platform. |
| Solc | A compiler for Solidity. |
| ERC20 | ERC20 (BEP20 in Binance Smart Chain or xRP20 in other chains) tokens are blockchain-based assets that have value and can be sent and received. The primary difference with the primary coin is that instead of running on their own blockchain, ERC20 tokens are issued on a network that supports smart contracts such as Ethereum or Binance Smart Chain. |



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Security Audit Report prepared by Verichains Lab on Dec 10, 2021. We would like to thank the TankBattle for trusting Verichains Lab in auditing smart contracts. Delivering high-quality audits is always our top priority.

This audit focused on identifying security flaws in code and the design of the TankBattle Token Smart Contracts. The scope of the audit is limited to the source code files provided to Verichains. Verichains Lab completed the assessment using manual, static, and dynamic analysis techniques.

During the audit process, the audit team had identified one vulnerable issues in the smart contracts code.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This report may contain privileged and confidential information, or information of a proprietary nature, and information on vulnerabilities, potential impacts, attack vectors of vulnerabilities which were discovered in the process of the audit.

The information in this report is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and/or otherwise authorized personnel of TankBattle. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, printing, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete it immediately.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY | 5 |
| 1.1. About TankBattle Token Smart Contracts | 5 |
| 1.2. Audit scope | 5 |
| 1.3. Audit methodology | 5 |
| 1.4. Disclaimer | 6 |
| 2. AUDIT RESULT | 8 |
| 2.1. Overview | 8 |
| 2.2. Contract codes | 8 |
| 2.3. Findings | 8 |
| 2.3.1. Useless modifier in the contract HIGH | 9 |
| 2.4. Additional notes and recommendations | 9 |
| 2.4.1. Unnecessary usage of SafeMath library in Solidity 0.8.0+ INFORMATIVE | 9 |
| 3. VERSION HISTORY | 11 |

1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

1.1. About TankBattle Token Smart Contracts

Tank Battle is a diverse game that employs tactics in the formation of tank squads to fight and win. The required skillset, combined with the varied tank system, allows players to have the most exciting and wholesome experience only available on the TankBattle.co system.

TankBattle Token is an ERC20 token that Tank Battle players can use in the game.

1.2. Audit scope

This audit focused on identifying security flaws in code and the design of the TankBattle Token Smart Contracts.

The audited contract is the TankBattle Token Smart Contracts that deployed on Binance Smart Chain Mainnet at address [0xcB4530025841D9aF803Ee2710C89eC87A1d9b524](https://bscscan.com/address/0xcB4530025841D9aF803Ee2710C89eC87A1d9b524). The details of the deployed smart contract are listed in Table 1.

| FIELD | VALUE |
|----------------------|---|
| Contract Name | TankBattleToken |
| Contract Address | 0xcB4530025841D9aF803Ee2710C89eC87A1d9b524 |
| Compiler Version | v0.8.5+commit.a4f2e591 |
| Optimization Enabled | Yes with 200 runs |
| Explorer | https://bscscan.com/address/0xcB4530025841D9aF803Ee2710C89eC87A1d9b524 |

Table 1. The deployed smart contract details

1.3. Audit methodology

Our security audit process for smart contract includes two steps:

- Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using public and RK87, our in-house smart contract security analysis tool.

- Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

- Integer Overflow and Underflow
- Timestamp Dependence
- Race Conditions
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- DoS with (Unexpected) revert
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Gas Usage, Gas Limit and Loops
- Redundant fallback function
- Unsafe type Inference
- Reentrancy
- Explicit visibility of functions state variables (external, internal, private and public)
- Logic Flaws

For vulnerabilities, we categorize the findings into categories as listed in table below, depending on their severity level:

| SEVERITY LEVEL | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------------|---|
| CRITICAL | A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning; creates a critical risk to the contract; required to be fixed immediately. |
| HIGH | A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract with high impact; needs to be fixed with high priority. |
| MEDIUM | A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract with medium impact in a specific scenario; needs to be fixed. |
| LOW | An issue that does not have a significant impact, can be considered as less important. |

Table 2. Severity levels

1.4. Disclaimer

Please note that security auditing cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities, and even an audit in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee for a 100% secure smart contract.

Report for TankBattle

Security Audit – TankBattle Token Smart Contracts

Version: 1.0 - Private Report

Date: Dec 10, 2021



However, auditing allows discovering vulnerabilities that were unobserved, overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary.

2. AUDIT RESULT

2.1. Overview

The initial review was conducted in Dec 07, 2021 and a total effort of 3 working days was dedicated to identifying and documenting security issues in the code base of the TankBattle Token.

Table 2 lists some properties of the audited TankBattle Token Smart Contracts (as of the report writing time).

| PROPERTY | VALUE |
|---------------------|---|
| Name | TankBattle Token |
| Symbol | TBL |
| Decimals | 18 |
| Total Supply | 1,000,000,000 ($\times 10^{18}$) Note: the number of decimals is 18, so the total representation token will be 1,000,000,000 or 1 billion. |

Table 3. The TankBattle Token Smart Contracts properties

2.2. Contract codes

The TankBattle Token Smart Contracts was written in **Solidity** language, with the required version to be **0.8.5**.

TankBattle token contract extends **ERC20Snapshot**, **Pausable** and **AccessControl** contracts.

Token Owner can **pause/unpause** contract using **Pausable** contract, user can only transfer unlocked tokens and only when the contract is not paused. The owner also creates a record of the balances and total supply at any time through the **snapshot** function.

The contract has a mechanism to block accounts through a bunch of **Blacklist** functions. They support the TankBattle team to reduce the impact of suspect accounts. In addition, the contract implements the **burnFrom** function. So an allowance account can call this function to remove the owner balances.

2.3. Findings

During the audit process, the audit team found one vulnerability in the given version of TankBattle Token Smart Contracts.

2.3.1. Useless modifier in the contract **HIGH**

The contract uses `notBlackListed` modifier to block the suspected address interacts `_beforeTokenTransfer` function. But it only blocks them when they call it directly. If they approve for other accounts and use that account to call `transferFrom` and `burnFrom` function, they may bypass the `notBlackListed` modifier.

RECOMMENDATION

We suggest use `isBacklisted` function to check `from` and `to` parameters in the `_beforeTokenTransfer`. It ensures that the suspected address will be blocked.

```
function _beforeTokenTransfer(  
    address from,  
    address to,  
    uint256 amount  
) internal override whenNotPaused {  
    require(!isBacklisted(from)&&!isBacklisted(to), "Address is bl...  
acklisted")  
    super._beforeTokenTransfer(from, to, amount);  
}
```

Snippet 1. Recommend fixing in `_beforeTokenTransfer` function

2.4. Additional notes and recommendations

2.4.1. Unnecessary usage of SafeMath library in Solidity 0.8.0+ **INFORMATIVE**

All safe math usage in the contract are for overflow checking, solidity 0.8.0+ already do that by default, the only usage of safemath now is to have a custom revert message which isn't the case in the auditing contracts. We suggest using normal operators for readability and gas saving.

Currently, the methods of safemath are used in the `ABIToken.sol`, `BoxToken.sol`, `GARToken.sol` files.

RECOMMENDATION

We suggest changing all methods from `SafeMath` library to normal arithmetic operator and remove the import `SafeMath` statement in the files that we regarded above.

Report for TankBattle

Security Audit – TankBattle Token Smart Contracts

Version: 1.0 - Private Report

Date: Dec 10, 2021



3. VERSION HISTORY

| Version | Date | Status/Change | Created by |
|---------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1.0 | 2021-12-10 | Public Report | Verichains Lab |

Table 4. Report versions history