Guessing and Entropy

James L. Massey

Signal & Info. Proc. Lab., Swiss Federal Inst. Tech, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract - It is shown that the average number of when successive guesses, E[G], required with an optimum strategy until one correctly guesses the value of a discrete random X, is underbounded by the entropy H(X) in the manner $E[G] \ge (1/4)2^{H(X)} + 1$ provided that $H(X) \ge 2$ bits. This bound is tight within a factor of (4/e) when X is geometrically distributed. It is further shown that E[G] may be arbitrarily large when H(X) is an arbitrarily small positive number so that there is no interesting upper bound on E[G] in terms of H(X).

I. Introduction

Consider the problem of guessing the value taken on by a discrete random variable X in one trial of a random experiment by asking questions of the form "Did X take on its i-th possible value?" until the answer is "Yes!". This problem arises for instance when a cryptanalyst must try out possible secret keys one at a time after narrowing the possibilities by some cryptanalysis. Let G be the number of guesses used in the guessing strategy that minimizes E[G], which is obviously to guess the possible values of X in order of decreasing probability. With no loss of essential generality, we may suppose that these are the first, second, third, etc., possible values of X so that the probability distribution for X, say $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3, ...)$ satisfies $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge p_3 \ge ...$ and we will call such a p a monotone distribution. With this convention, $E[G] = \sum_i i \cdot p_i$, where in this and in all later sums the summation is on a from 1 to infinity. The purpose of this paper is to answer the question of whether the entropy H(X) determines interesting upper or lower bounds on E[G].

II. A Lower Bound on E[G]

For any A > 1, the set of (not necessarily montone) probability distributions p such that $\sum i \cdot p_i = A$ is a convex set and the entropy $h(\mathbf{p}) = -\sum p_i \cdot log(p_i)$ is a concave function on this set. (Here and hereafter, all logarithms are to the base 2.) A standard calculus of variations argument [which is precisely the argument used by Jaynes [1] to show that the Boltzmann (or geometric) distribution maximizes entropy for an average quantum-level energy] shows that the entropy is maximized uniquely by the geometric distribution

$$p_i = (1/(A-1))(1-1/A)^i$$

. Because the geometric distribution is monotone, it is a fortiori the unique monotone distribution maximizing H(X) and its entropy is readily calculated to be

$$h(\mathbf{p}_{geom}) = log(A-1) + log(1-1/A)^{-A}$$
.

Because the second term on the right decreases monotonically to log(e) with increasing A and equals 2 when $h(p_{geom}) = 2$ bits, it follows that

$$h(\mathbf{p}_{geom}) \le log(A-1) + 2$$

$$h(\mathbf{p}_{geom}) \geq 2.$$

It follows, for an arbitrary monotone distribution with mean A and entropy $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 2$ bits, that $h(\mathbf{p}) \leq \log(A-1) + 2$ or, equivalently, that

$$A \ge (1/4)2^{H(X)} + 1.$$

Because the second term on the right in our expression for $h(p_{geom})$ is at least log(e), it follows that

$$h(\mathbf{p}_{geom}) \geq log(A-1) + log(e)$$

or, equivalently, that

$$A \leq (1/e)2^{h(\mathbf{p}_{gaom})} + 1,$$

which shows that our lower bound on A in terms of H(X) is conservative by at most a factor of 4/e when X is geometrically distributed.

III. Lack of an Entropic Upper Bound on ${\it E}[{\it G}]$ For every A > 1 and every integer L > 2A-1, the distribution p with $p_1 = (L - 2(A - 1))/L$, $p_i = 2(A - 1)/(L^2 - L)$, and $p_i = 0$ for i > L is monotone with mean A. The entropy of this distribution is

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = h_{bin}(2(A-1)/L) + (2(A-1)/L)log(L-1)$$

where $h_{bin}(.)$ is the binary entropy function. Because h(p)tends to zero as L tends to infinity, it follows that A cannot be overbounded in a nontrivial way in terms of h(p) alone.

IV. REMARK

A concise statement of what has been proved is contained in the abstract above.

REFERENCES

[1] E. T. Jaynes, "Information Theory and Statistical Mechanics," Physical Review, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 620-630, May 15, 1957.