

Stock & Miller (2021).

Mar 27, 2025

Impact

- Cited 65 times.
- Many citing papers have similar methodological aims. Some citing papers are data analysis.
- At the NOAA research center in Woods Hole, MA.

TMB vs particle filtering

- “we note that the development of TMB has been a critical advance for fisheries assessment modeling frameworks such as WHAM, allowing us to rapidly fit models that treat population and environmental processes as time-varying random effects in a state-space framework.”
- Is the magic of TMB due to the strength of the Laplace approximation, the use of autodiff, other software quality issues, or something else?

Mohn's rho

[Mohn, R. \(1999\)](#) The retrospective problem in sequential population analysis: An investigation using cod fishery and simulated data. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 56, 473–488.

- Apparently, model misspecification can lead to widespread incongruous results.
- E.g., failure to describe increases in skill at catching.

Model comparison

- Appendix B deals with model specification. It appears to have overdispersion only in the measurement model.
- 2.1.2.2. Catch and index age composition. This explains why the log-normal is preferred for the measurement model, in order to be “self-weighting” and allow for correlations.
- Continuing work on evaluation and comparison of models:
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2024.106968>

Reproducibility

- “Documentation and tutorials for how to specify additional random effect structures in WHAM are available at <https://timjmiller.github.io/wham/>.”
- “Code and data files to run the analysis presented here are available at <https://github.com/brianstock-NOAA/wham-sim>.”
- The models and data are well specified, and within the reach of an (ambitious) 531/631 final project