### Statistical boosting using the R package mboost

#### Sarah Brockhaus

University of Mannheim, LMU Munich sarah.brockhaus@mzes.uni-mannheim.de

Thanks to Benjamin Hofner and Andreas Mayr for material

19. October 2016 Social Science Data Lab

#### **Outline**

#### (1) Statistical Boosting

Applying boosting methods to fit statistical models.

(2) The R package mboost

Model fitting with the R package mboost.

## (1) Statistical boosting

## Boosting? - searching a dictionary

#### boost

verb /bu:st/[T]

#### to improve or increase something

The theatre managed to boost its audiences by cutting ticket prices.

[...]

I tried to boost his ego (= make him feel more confident) by praising his cooking.

(Definition of the verb boost from the Cambridge Advanced Learner's Dictionary & Thesaurus, Cambridge University Press, 2012)

## **Boosting**

- Idea of boosting emerged from the field of machine learning; originally as an algorithm for classification.
- Boosting was further developed to fit statistical models like LMs, GLMs, GAMs, quantile regression, survival models, ...



(see Mayr et al. (2014) for an overview of the history of boosting algorithms)

# Boosting linear models (LMs)

Here, we focus on estimating LMs; for i = 1, ..., n:

$$\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(\mathbf{x}_i) = h_1(x_{i1}) + \ldots + h_p(x_{ip})$$

$$\stackrel{lin}{=} \beta_1 x_{i1} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip},$$

- response  $y_i$ , covariates  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip})^{\top}$ ,
- linear predictor  $f(x_i)$ , and
- covariate effects  $h_1(x_{i1}), \ldots, h_p(x_{ip})$

## Basic ideas of boosting (1)

- Boosting can fit different kindes of regression models by optimizing the corresponding loss function, e.g.,
  - minimizing the  $L_2$ -loss (squared loss) yields mean regression (LM / ordinary least squares);
  - ullet minimizing the  $L_1$ -loss (absolute loss) yields median regression
  - minimizing the negative log-likelihood yields GLMs

→ flexible tool to estimate different kinds of regression models

## Basic ideas of boosting (2)

Covariate effects: base-learners

- Each covariate effect is represented as a simple model
- The simple models are called base-learners and here denoted as  $h_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., p
- Consider the LM:  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip}$ Use base-learners

$$h_1(x_{i1}) = \beta_1 x_{i1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$h_p(x_{i1}) = \beta_p x_{ip}$$

- Each base-learner is fitted separately (divide and conquer strategy)
- → flexible tool to estimate models with different covariate effects

## Basic ideas of boosting (3)

#### Boosting is an iterative algorithm:

- Start with an 'empty model' (e.g., the global mean for mean regression)
- In each boosting-step: choose the best-fitting base-learner and update the model accordingly by a small step
- $\rightarrow$  With more boosting-steps, the model gets more complex.

ightarrow Use the number of boosting iterations  $m_{\mathrm{stop}}$  as tuning parameter.

## $L_2$ -Boosting (1)

Fit the LM: 
$$\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i) = h_1(x_{i1}) + \ldots + h_p(x_{ip}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

#### Initialization

(1) Set m:=0. Initialize the additive predictor  $\hat{f}^{[0]}=0$  (or  $\hat{f}^{[0]}=\bar{y}$ ) and specify a set of base-learners  $h_1(x_1),...,h_p(x_p)$  Fit the residuals

(2) Set m := m + 1; compute the residuals using the additive predictor from the previous iteration:

$$u_i^{[m]} = y_i - \hat{f}^{[m-1]}(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

(3) Fit each base-learner separately to the current residuals  $u_i^{\left[m
ight]}$  :

$$\mathbb{E}(u_i^{[m]}) = \hat{h}_1^{[m]}(x_{i1})$$
, fit 1st base-learner  $\vdots$ 

 $\mathbb{E}(u_i^{[m]}) = \hat{h}_p^{[m]}(x_{ip})$ , fit pth base-learner

Sarah Brockhaus • • • 10/41

### $L_2$ -Boosting (2)

Fit the LM: 
$$\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i) = h_1(x_{i1}) + \ldots + h_p(x_{ip}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

. . .

#### Update the best fitting base-learner

(4) Select the base-learner  $h_{j^*}$  that best fits  $u_i^{[m]}$ :

$$j^* = \underset{1 \le j \le p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( u_i^{[m]} - \hat{h}_j^{[m]}(x_{ij}) \right)^2.$$

(5) Update the additive predictor  $\hat{f}$  with this base-learner:

$$\hat{f}^{[m]}(\boldsymbol{x}_i) = \hat{f}^{[m-1]}(\boldsymbol{x}_i) + \nu_{\mathsf{sl}} \cdot \hat{h}_{j^*}^{[m]}(x_{ij^*}) \; ,$$

where  $\nu_{\rm sl}$  is a small step-length, e.g.,  $\nu_{\rm sl}=0.1.$ 

#### Iteration

Iterate steps (2) to (5) until  $m = m_{stop}$ .


## Some comments on boosting

- boosting can fit models with more parameters than observations (' $p \gg n$ ')
- base-learners determine the potential covariate effects
- other loss functions than  $L_2$ -loss are possible yielding, e.g., GLMs or quantile regression;
- boosting as functional gradient descent optimization along the steepest gradient descent
- ullet the most important tuning parameter is the number of boosting iterations  $m_{
  m stop}$  as it controls model complexity


## Tuning $m_{\text{stop}}$ : Variable selection and shrinkage

- Main tuning parameter is the stopping iteration  $m_{\text{stop}}$ . It controls *variable selection* and the *amount of shrinkage*.
  - variables that are never selected in a boosting-step are excluded.
  - boosting shrinkes the effects towards zero (compare to LASSO), leading to more stable predictions.
- For large  $m_{\rm stop}$  boosting converges to the same solution as conventional ML algorithms.
- Use resampling methods (cross-validation, bootstrap) to select the  $m_{\rm stop}$  that optimizes the predictive risk.


Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 




Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 



Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 



Fit an additive model  $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = f(x_i)$ 



## Why statistical boosting?

In contrast to Fisher scoring or backfitting, ...

- ... boosting works also many other loss functions (e.g., absolute loss, quantile regression, AUC regression)
- ... boosting is still feasible even if  $p\gg n$
- ... boosting allows carrying out variable selection during the fitting process
- ... boosting incorporates shrinkage of effect estimates towards zero (similar to the lasso)
- ... boosting optimizes prediction accuracy
- ... boosting provides a large range of possible types of covariate effects

Boosting can be used to estimate the unknown quantities in almost every statistical regression setting.

### R packages for statistical boosting

#### **Gradient boosting**

- mboost (Hothorn et al.)
- gamboostLSS (mboost for GAMLSS, Hofner et al.)
- gbm (Ridgeway, G.)

#### Focus on package mboost;

Comment: Boosting as machine learning tool: e.g., R package **xgboost** (Chen et al.)

### (2) The R package mboost

### mboost: Model-based boosting

#### The mboost package

- unified boosting framework for various regression settings
- pre-defined base-learners for, e.g., linear, smooth, spatial, random and monotonic effects
- arbitrary combinations of loss-functions and base-learners possible

Modular nature of boosting.

## **Case Study: Prediction of Body Fat**

Observations of 71 German women (Garcia et al., 2005)

Name	Description
DEXfat	body fat measured by DXA (response variable)
age	age of the women in years
waistcirc	waist circumference
hipcirc	hip circumference
elbowbreadth	breadth of the elbow
kneebreadth	breadth of the knee
anthro3a	anthropometric measurement
anthro3b	anthropometric measurement
anthro3c	anthropometric measurement
anthro4	anthropometric measurement

Aim: predict the body fat using the other variables by an interpretable model.

#### **Prerequisites**

#### How to get started?

- Start a recent version of R ( $\geq$  3.2.0).
- Install package **mboost** ( $\geq$  2.3-0):
  - > install.packages("mboost")
- Load package mboost:
  - > library("mboost")

Sarah Brockhaus 20/41

#### **Prerequisites**

How to get started?

- Start a recent version of R ( $\geq$  3.2.0).
- Install package mboost (≥ 2.3-0):
  - > install.packages("mboost")
- Load package mboost:
  - > library("mboost")

#### **Further reading**

► This analysis is based on

B. Hofner, A. Mayr, N. Robinzonov, and M. Schmid (2014). *Model-based boosting in R – A hands-on tutorial using the R package mboost.* Computational Statistics, 29:3–35.

See there for more details.

Sarah Brockhaus 20/41

# Linear Models:

glmboost()

### glmboost()

- glmboost() can be used to fit linear models via component-wise boosting.
- Note that each column of the design matrix is fitted and selected separately using a simple linear model (i.e., a linear base-learner)

Sarah Brockhaus 22/41

Usage in essence very similar to lm() and glm().

formula: a formula as in lm(); e.g.:

$$formula = y ~ x1 + x2 + x3$$

data: a data set containing the variables of the formula.

control: parameters controlling the algorithm (▶ next slide)

Available via "..."; possible parameters see ?mboost\_fit:

family: used to specify the fitting problem. For example:

family = Gaussian() # default; least squares

family = Binomial() # logit model

Sarah Brockhaus 23/41

Only selected options are displayed throughout this talk!

mstop: number of *initial* boosting iterations.

nu: step length (typically  $\in (0,1]$ )

trace: should status information be printed during the

fitting process?

Sarah Brockhaus 24/41

## Specify the regression problem

Possible input for family

	continuous response	binary response	count data	ordered response
Mean regression	Gaussian			
Median regression	Laplace			
Quantile regression	QuantReg			
Gamma regression	GammaReg			
Logistic regression		Binomial		
Poisson regression			Poisson	
Negative binomial model			NBinomial	
Proportional odds model				ProppOdds

Some currently implemented distributions or loss-functions which can be specified via **family** in the fitting functions of **mboost**.

Sarah Brockhaus 25/41

# **Case Study: Prediction of Body Fat**

Observations of 71 German women (Garcia et al., 2005)

Name	Description	
DEXfat	body fat measured by DXA (response variable)	
age	age of the women in years	
waistcirc	waist circumference	
hipcirc	hip circumference	
elbowbreadth	breadth of the elbow	
kneebreadth	breadth of the knee	
anthro3a	anthropometric measurement	
anthro3b	anthropometric measurement	
anthro3c	anthropometric measurement	
anthro4	anthropometric measurement	

Sarah Brockhaus 26/41

# Full (Candidate) Model

Potentially all variables into the model:

```
> glm2 <- glmboost(DEXfat ~ age + waistcirc + hipcirc +
                      elbowbreadth + kneebreadth + anthro3a +
  +
                      anthro3b + anthro3c + anthro4,
  +
  +
                      data = bodyfat)
... or use paste to set up the formula:
  > ## names of predictors
  > preds <- names(bodyfat[, names(bodyfat) != "DEXfat"])</pre>
  > ## build formula
  > fm <- as.formula(paste("DEXfat ~",</pre>
                            paste(preds, collapse = "+")))
  +
  > fm
  DEXfat ~ age + waistcirc + hipcirc + elbowbreadth + kneebrea
      anthro3a + anthro3b + anthro3c + anthro4
  > glm2 <- glmboost(fm, data = bodyfat)</pre>
```

Sarah Brockhaus 27/41


# **Display Estimated Coefficients**

• which can be used to define a subset to be displayed:

Sarah Brockhaus 28/41

## **Plot Coefficient Paths**


- default plot, offset added to intercept:
  - > plot(glm2, off2int = TRUE)
- now change ylim to the range of the coefficients without intercept (i.e., zoom-in):
  - > plot(glm2, ylim = range(coef(glm2, which = preds)))



Sarah Brockhaus 29/41

## **Plot Coefficient Paths**

- default plot, offset added to intercept:
  - > plot(glm2, off2int = TRUE)
- now change ylim to the range of the coefficients without intercept (i.e., zoom-in):
  - > plot(glm2, ylim = range(coef(glm2, which = preds)))



Sarah Brockhaus 29/41



# **Optimal Stopping Iteration**

- Model needs tuning to prevent overfitting
- Determine optimal stopping iteration
- Use cross-validated/bootstrapped estimates of the expected loss to choose an appropriate number of boosting iterations:
  - aims at optimizing prediction on new data
- Infrastructure in **mboost** exists to compute
  - bootstrap estimates
  - k-fold cross-validation estimates
  - sub-sampling estimates

and to do this in parallel.

Sarah Brockhaus 31/41

# cvrisk()How to determine $m_{stop}$

```
cvrisk(object, folds = cv(model.weights(object)),
    grid = 1:mstop(object),
    papply = mclapply)
```

object: the mboost model

folds: weight matrix that determines the cross-validation

samples (► see cv() on next slide)

grid: grid of mstop values on which to compute empirical

(out-of-bag) risk.

papply: use mclapply if package **parallel** is available, else

run sequentially (▶ see ?cvrisk for details).

Sarah Brockhaus 32/41

```
cv(weights, type = c("bootstrap", "kfold", "subsampling"),
B = ifelse(type == "kfold", 10, 25))
```

weights: (original) weights of the model; one can use

model.weights(mod) to extract the weights.

type: use bootstrap (default), k-fold cross-validation or

sub-sampling

B: number of folds, per default 25 for bootstrap and

subsampling and 10 for kfold

returns a matrix with B columns that determines the weights for each cross-validation run

Sarah Brockhaus 33/41


# Case Study: Prediction of Body Fat (ctd.)

Determine  $m_{\text{stop}}$  by 10-fold cross-validation

```
> set.seed(1907) ## make folds/ analysis reproducible
> cv10f <- cv(model.weights(glm2), type = "kfold")</pre>
> cvm <- cvrisk(glm2, folds = cv10f, papply = lapply)
> cvm
         Cross-validated Squared Error (Regression)
         glmboost.formula(formula = DEXfat ~ ., data = bodyfat)
105.22746 89.82040 77.04107 66.23743
                                         58.54920 50.82022
                                                              44.
                 10
                           11
                                     12
                                               13
                                                          14
 35.88117 32.23113 29.13535 27.14932 24.77990 23.28139
                                                              21.
(\ldots)
```

Sarah Brockhaus 34/41

```
> plot(cvm)
> mstop(cvm)
[1] 86
```



Sarah Brockhaus 35/41

## Subset

#### How to change the number of boosting iterations

To increase or reduce the number of boosting steps for the model glm2, one can use the indexing / sub-setting operator:

```
glm2[i] ## set number of boosting iterations to i
glm2 <- glm2[i] ## the same</pre>
```

Attention, non-standard behavior:

- glm2[i] directly changes the model glm2
- ▶ no need to save glm2 under a new name

## Alternative way:

```
mstop(glm2) <- i
```

Sarah Brockhaus 36/41

# Additive Models:

gamboost()

```
gamboost(formula, data = list(), ...)
```

formula: a formula specifying the model;

formula =  $y \sim x1 + x2$  (smooth effects in x1 and x2)

data: a data set containing the variables of the formula.

## Indirectly available (via "..."):

control: parameters controlling the algorithm (► Slide 24).

family: used to specify the fitting problem.

Sarah Brockhaus 38/41

## mboost base-learners

call	effect
bols(x)	linear effect: $\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (with $\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}=(1,x)$ )
bols(z)	categorical effect for factor $z$
bbs(x)	smooth effect: $f(x)$ (using P-splines)
brandom(z)	random intercept for grouping variable $z\colon b_i$

Some currently implemented base-learners of mboost.

the function glmboost() sets up a model with linear effects; the function gamboost() sets up a model with smooth effects;

Sarah Brockhaus 39/41

# **Summary**

### Boosting...

- ... emerged from machine learning.
- ... was later adapted to fit statistical models.
- ... can cope with  $p \gg n$ .
- ... can carry out variable selection.
- ... has a modular nature (any base-learner with any loss function).

#### Limitations:

- Tuning of  $m_{\text{stop}}$  can lead to relatively long run-times.
- No standard errors for effect estimates, no confidence intervals or p-values.

Sarah Brockhaus 40/41

## References

- A. L. Garcia, K. Wagner, T. Hothorn, C. Koebnick, H.-J. F. Zunft, and U. Tippo. Improved prediction of body fat by measuring skinfold thickness, circumferences, and bone breadths. Obesity Research, 13(3):626-634, 2005.
- Benjamin Hofner, Andreas Mayr, Nikolay Robinzonov, and Matthias Schmid. Model-based boosting in R: a hands-on tutorial using the R package mboost. Computational Statistics, pages 1-33, 2012. doi: 10.1007/s00180-012-0382-5. URL

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00180-012-0382-5.

- Torsten Hothorn, Peter Bühlmann, Thomas Kneib, Matthias Schmid, and Benjamin Hofner. mboost: Model-Based Boosting, 2016. R package version 2.6 - 0
- Andreas Mayr, Harald Binder, Olaf Gefeller, and Matthias Schmid. The evolution of boosting algorithms - from machine learning to statistical modeling. Methods of Information in Medicine, 2014.

Sarah Brockhaus 41/41