Tool Interview – Git

1 INITIAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL

The initial conceptual model describes essential concepts (or a superset of it) for modeling variability of a software system in space and time and shall subsume functionality related it. Additionally, the model unifies those concepts to represent revisions of variable system parts. The conceptual model follows an open-world assumption (descriptive) instead of a closed-world assumption (prescriptive) as metamodels commonly do. In Table 1 we provide a definition of the involved concepts.


Figure 1: The Initial Conceptual Model with essential and combining Concepts for Variability in Space and Time.

Table 1: Definition of concepts in the Conceptual Model.

Concept	Direct relation to other Concepts	Definition
Fragment	Variation Point, Product	Fragments are the essential concept to describe a system on realization level. A Fragment can either be an atom or an aggregate, e.g. a single file, character or the node of an AST. A hierarchical structure of containments is not enforced but instead Fragments can be composed to various combinations.
Product Line	Variation Point, Versioned Item	A Product Line represents the configurable space regarding spatial variability and is composed of a system's Variation Points.
Variation Point	Product Line, Fragment,	A Variation Point expresses the variability of a system by representing an option set for variation of the Product Line.

	Product, Versioned Item	A *Variation Point* can either be explicit (e.g., if-defs or a plug-in system with a compositional variability realization mechanism) or implicit (a reference between a feature module and fragment represents the implicit variation points, therefore the fragment is not aware of its variation e.g., FOP, AOP, delta modeling).
Product	*Product Line, Variation point, Fragment*	A *Product* is fully specified if all existing *Variation Points* in the *Product Line* are bound to *Fragments* or *Variation Points* are not bound explicitly, e.g., if a feature is optional and not selected for product (hence, all to a configuration relevant *Variation Points* are bound to fragments). A partial *Product* does not require the binding of every *Variation Point*.
Revision	*Versioned Item*	A *Revision* of the *Fragment* evolves along the time dimension and is intended to supersede its predecessor by an increment, e.g., due to a bug fix or refactoring.
Versioned System	*Revision*	A *Versioned System* represents the configurable space regarding temporal variability. It is composed of a system's revisions.
Versioned Item	*Revision*	The *Versioned Item* represents versioning of the introduced concepts for *Fragment*, *Variation Point* and *Product Line* by putting them under revision control.

Table 2: Particular Relations of the Conceptual Model.

Relation	Direct relation to Concepts	Definition
Realization	*Variation Point, Fragment*	Each *Variation Point* has a set of possible options for variation whereby each option is realized by *Fragments*.
Configuration	*Product Line, Variation Point, Fragment*	A *Configuration* defines one particular *Product* of a *Product Line* by resolving the variability of a *Product Line*, i.e., binding all relevant *Variation Points* of a *Product Line* to *Fragments*.
Branching / Merging	*Revision*	To represent *branching* (which is considered a temporary divergence for concurrent development) along with *merging*, multiple (direct) successors and predecessors relate to a revision. This relation gives rise to a revision graph, which is a directed acyclic graph where each node represents a unique revision.

2   INTERVIEWS

Please inspect

1.   If
2.   and if yes, how

concepts of the conceptual model are represented by constructs used in your tool. Therefore, the representation of each concept in the tool and their (direct) relation to other constructs is considered separately.

Table 3: Concept Mapping between Conceptual Model and Tool.

Concept	Representation of Concept in Tool	Relation to other Constructs
Fragment	Git organizes fragments in a tree structure (Blobs (files) and Tree Objects (folders)).	
Product Line	The Repository. Branches can be misused to represent variants. Originally, not intended to support product line engineering.	Files, Branches, Commits
Variation Point	-	
Product	The Working Copy (a complete, localized repository).	Files
Revision	In Git, every commit is a revision with a unique hash. This commit hash refers to the state of the complete system. Due to branching and merging, the relation of revisions represents a directed acyclic graph.	
Versioned System	File-based Repository including all revisions, commits, commit messages and hash codes.	
Versioned Item	An individual file.	
Realization	One commit is mapped to respective files (blobs) via a tree structure. Hence, the realization of one commit is the union of all tree objects in Git.	Commits, Files
Configuration	A commit.	
Branching / Merging	Both is supported in Git.	Commits
Remarks	• Git uses Tags for marking special commits	

	• While SVN is configured to assume that the history of a project never changes, Git allows to modify previous commits and changes using tools like *git rebase*.

3 USE CASES

Please provide an overview of use cases that your tool addresses.

- Distributed Version Control System for collaborative Software Development
- Track changes in any set of files
- Every Git directory on every computer is a full-fledged repository with complete history and version-tracking abilities, independent of network access or a central sever
- Git is free and open-source software

4 PREVIEW: SEMANTICS

The semantics of several concepts is only defined through the mechanisms that operate on them. For example, the configuration of a product from a product line, variation points and fragments is expressed in the conceptual model, but constraints that define which variation points and fragments may be selected have to be ensured by a configuration mechanism. The same applies to the generic concept of the *Versioned Item*. A mechanism that defines how the relation between revisions of product lines, variation points and fragments can be combined has to be defined. Designing such mechanisms, based on the conceptual model, is the next step towards a unifying concept for variability in space and time.

We consider semantics represented by the following mechanisms of a system that deal with variability in space and / or time:

1) *Analyses mechanisms* support the validity of:
   a. the variability model
   b. the configuration
   c. the fragment

2) The *mapping mechanism* that is used to resolve a configuration from a variability model to a set of realization artifacts

3) A *variability realization mechanism* assembles realization artifacts for a configuration in a particular manner (*annotative* variability, e.g. #ifdefs; *compositional* variability, e.g., feature-oriented programming; *transformational* variability, e.g., delta modeling).

In the following, please describe the semantics of your tool regarding the described mechanisms.

Analyses mechanisms

/

Mapping model + variant derivation mechanism
The tree structure in Git maps a commit to realizing files. The Git checkout command operates upon three distinct entities: files, commits, and branches. Internally, the Git checkout command simply updates the HEAD to point to either the specified branch or commit. When it points to a branch, Git doesn't complain, but when you check out a commit, it switches into a "detached HEAD" state.

Variability realization mechanism:

/

A. TABLE OF TABLES

B. REFERENCES

[1] S. Ananieva, T. Kehrer, H. Klare, A. Koziolek, H. Lönn, S. Ramesh, A. Burger, G. Taentzer and B. Westfechtel, "Towards a conceptual model for unifying variability in space and time," *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Variability and Evolution of Software-Intensive Systems,* 2019.

[2] G. Guizzardi, L. F. Pires and M. van Sinderen, "An Ontology-Based Approach for Evaluating the Domain Appropriateness and Comprehensibility Appropriateness of Modeling Languages," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems,* 2005.