A High-Fidelity Agent-Based Framework for Simulating Non-Equilibrium Queueing Dynamics

1. A Blueprint for Simulating Realistic Crowd Behavior

This paper specifies a novel agent-based choice framework designed to simulate realistic, non-uniform, and dynamic queueing patterns. By moving beyond the restrictive assumptions of classical choice models, this framework can reproduce empirically observed phenomena such as herding, queue overshooting, and persistent, imbalanced queue formations. The architecture is built upon four integrated components: a heterogeneous choice engine, a module for imperfect and lagged information, an enhanced utility specification that incorporates non-linear perceptions and bounded rationality, and a sophisticated two-stage calibration protocol. Together, these components form a cohesive system for high-fidelity simulation of complex pedestrian dynamics.

2. Core Choice Engine: The Mixed Logit (MIXL) Model

2.1. Theoretical Specification: Modeling a Heterogeneous Population

The foundation of the agent's decision-making process is the Mixed Logit (MIXL) model, a scientifically superior alternative to the standard Multinomial Logit (MNL) for capturing realistic taste variation.[1] The core innovation of the MIXL model is to treat the preference coefficients, β_k, not as fixed constants identical for all agents, but as random variables, $\beta_{k,n}$, that are unique to each agent n.[1] These individual-specific coefficients are drawn from a continuous probability distribution, $f(\beta_k|\theta)$, which is characterized by a set of parameters, θ, such as the population mean $\overline{\beta}_k$ and standard deviation σ_k.[1]

The utility function for agent n choosing alternative i is therefore redefined to include this individual-specific parameter:

$$U_{in} = \alpha_i + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k,n} X_{ikn} + \epsilon_{in}$$

Under this specification, the choice probability for an agent must be calculated by integrating the conditional logit probability over all possible values of β_n, weighted by the probability density function $f(\beta_n|\theta)$ [1]:

$$P(i|C_n) = \int \left(\frac{\exp(\mu V_{in}(\beta_n))}{\sum_{j \in C_n} \exp(\mu V_{jn}(\beta_n))} \right) f(\beta_n|\theta) d\beta_n$$

This integral does not have a closed-form solution and is approximated through simulation, typically by making repeated draws from the specified coefficient distributions for each agent and averaging the results.[1]

2.2. Fostering Diverse Choice and Non-Equilibrium Dynamics

The implementation of the MIXL model is the primary mechanism for generating persistent, non-uniform queueing patterns. By assigning each agent a unique set of preference parameters (e.g., for walk time, $\beta_{WKT,n}$, and wait time, $\beta_{WT,n}$), the system is no longer optimizing for a single, population-wide utility function.[1] Even when the observable attributes of the choices (e.g., queue wait times) are identical, the perceived systematic utilities, V_{in}, will vary across the agent population. This heterogeneous valuation of attributes prevents the systematic utilities from converging across the entire population. There is no single vector of wait times that can simultaneously balance the perceived utilities for all agents, because each agent evaluates that vector through their own unique preference structure. The emergent behavior is not a convergence to a static equilibrium, but a persistent, dynamic fluctuation. This sustained diversity in perceived utility directly translates into a sustained diversity of choices, promoting the formation of imbalanced queueing patterns that are far more representative of observed reality.

3. System Dynamics Module: Imperfect and Lagged

Information

3.1. The Decision-Action Lag and "Stale" Information States

The framework abandons the unrealistic assumption of perfect, instantaneous information. In any real-world pedestrian environment, a non-zero time lag exists between when information is generated (a queue's state changes), when it is perceived, and when an agent can physically act upon it.[2] This latency creates a "stale" information state, where an agent's choice is based on an outdated snapshot of the system.

This "decision-action lag" is formally defined as the agent's travel time, primarily walk time (t_{WKT}), from their point of decision to their chosen queue.[4] This lag is the direct causal mechanism behind the "queue overshooting" phenomenon, a dynamic in which queues become disproportionately long simply because they were the optimal choice several minutes prior.[3] The lag transforms system feedback from immediate and negative (stabilizing) to delayed and positive (destabilizing). Many agents can make the same choice based on the same piece of stale information, without the system state updating to reflect their impending arrivals. This creates waves of arrivals that cause queue lengths to oscillate, a hallmark of systems with delayed feedback.[2]

3.2. Information Externalities and "Herding" Behavior

A more complex consequence of imperfect information is "herding," which occurs when agents, facing uncertainty, use the observed choices of others as an information signal.[7] This is an "information externality," where the actions of some agents (joining a queue) influence the decisions of others.[7] Herding is the tendency for individuals to disregard their own private information and conform to the actions of a larger group, inferring that the crowd signals an unobserved positive attribute, such as a faster service rate.[7]

This behavior is modeled by augmenting the agent's utility function. For a subset of "herding" agents, the length of the queue (N_i) is introduced as a utility component with a *positive* coefficient, β_{Herd}, representing the perceived positive signal derived from the crowd's presence [9]:

$V_{in} = \beta_{WT} \cdot t_{WT,i} + \beta_{Herd} \cdot N_i$
This formulation creates a self-reinforcing dynamic: a long queue attracts more herding agents, which makes the queue even longer, strengthening its signal to subsequent agents. This mechanism is a powerful driver of persistent queue imbalances.[9]

4. Behavioral Realism Module: Non-Linearity and Bounded Rationality

4.1. Non-Linear Disutility Functions

The conventional linear-in-parameters utility function fails to capture the accelerating nature of discomfort in congested environments.[1] The psychological penalty for waiting or being crowded is demonstrably non-linear.[1] To enhance behavioral realism, the utility function incorporates non-linear relationships for attributes like crowding density (ρ), where the marginal disutility increases as the attribute's value increases. This captures the convexity of discomfort. Suitable functional forms include quadratic or exponential relationships [1]:

- **Quadratic Disutility:** $V_{Crowding} = \beta_\rho \cdot \rho^2$
- **Exponential Disutility:** $V_{Crowding} = \beta_\rho \cdot \exp(a \cdot \rho)$

Implementing such functions is crucial for capturing "tipping point" behavior, where a small increase in congestion leads to a disproportionately large decrease in perceived utility.

4.2. A Hybrid RUM-Heuristic Framework

The framework is built on the principle of **Bounded Rationality**, which posits that human decision-making is constrained by limited cognitive capacity, time, and information.[13] Instead of always optimizing, individuals often "satisfice" by seeking a "good enough" solution, especially under stress.[13] As an environment becomes more complex or crowded, agents may abandon the complex calculus of utility maximization in favor of simple, computationally inexpensive heuristics.[15]

A hybrid agent architecture allows individuals to dynamically switch between decision-making modes.[16] The model defines specific triggers for an agent to switch from a utility-maximizing mode (MIXL) to a heuristic-based mode:

- **Density Trigger:** If perceived crowding density exceeds a critical threshold, the agent switches to a **Shortest Line Heuristic**. The non-linear utility function provides a natural input to this trigger.
- **Cognitive Load Trigger:** If the number of choices is overwhelming, the agent may use a **Conjunctive Rule** to first eliminate unacceptable options before applying MIXL to a smaller choice set.[15]
- **Time Pressure Trigger:** If an agent's patience is exceeded, they may switch to a **Lexicographic Rule**, choosing based on the single most important attribute.[15]

This hybrid approach allows the model to capture a wider spectrum of human behavior, from calm, calculated choices to rapid, simplified decisions under the stress of severe congestion.

5. Calibration and Validation Protocol

5.1. Addressing the Confounding of Scale and Preference Heterogeneity

In a MIXL model, there are two sources of behavioral variation: inherent choice randomness (governed by the scale parameter, μ) and explicit taste heterogeneity (governed by the random coefficient standard deviation, σ_k).[17] The effect of the scale parameter is statistically confounded with the variance of the random coefficients.[18] An observed pattern of diverse choices could be explained by either a population with genuinely different preferences choosing deterministically (high σ_k, high μ) or a homogeneous population choosing randomly (low σ_k, low μ).[18] This is a critical identifiability problem, meaning the model cannot empirically distinguish between these two sources of variance.[18]

5.2. A Two-Stage Calibration Strategy

To produce a behaviorally plausible and computationally stable model, a hierarchical, two-stage calibration process is required.[23] This strategy pragmatically separates the problem to circumvent the identifiability issue.

1. **Stage 1: Estimate Preference Heterogeneity from Disaggregate Data.** The parameters of the random coefficient distributions ($\overline{\beta}_k$ and σ_k) are estimated *outside* of the main agent-based simulation. This is achieved using Maximum Likelihood Estimation on disaggregate choice data from Revealed Preference (RP) or Stated Preference (SP) surveys.[23] This process anchors the model's representation of individual taste variation in empirical behavioral data.
2. **Stage 2: Calibrate System-Level Stochasticity within the ABM.** With the preference heterogeneity parameters (σ_k) fixed from Stage 1, the agent-based simulation is then run. The single, global scale parameter, μ, is treated as the primary calibration variable. Its value is adjusted iteratively to minimize the difference between the simulation's aggregate outputs and observed real-world data.[25] The calibration target should be the dynamic, non-equilibrium phenomena the model seeks to replicate, such as the amplitude of queue length oscillations or the degree of persistent imbalance in queue distributions.

This two-stage approach allows for the development of a model that is both grounded in individual behavioral theory and validated against macroscopic system dynamics.

Works cited

1. Probabilistic Pedestrian Choice Modeling Framework.pdf
2. Queues with Delayed Information: Analyzing the Impact of the ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365079834_Queues_with_Delayed_Information_Analyzing_the_Impact_of_the_Choice_Model_Function
3. Traffic, Choice, and Queue Theory : Networks Course blog for INFO ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://blogs.cornell.edu/info2040/2018/10/15/traffic-choice-and-queue-theory/
4. Pedestrian Route Choice by Iterated Equilibrium Search - arXiv, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.1686
5. A Queuing Approach for the Modelling Pedestrian Travel Time. - ResearchGate, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281094929_A_Queuing_Approach_for_the_Modelling_Pedestrian_Travel_Time
6. Modelling Pedestrian Travel Time and the Design of Facilities: A Queuing Approach, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236925229_Modelling_Pedestrian_Travel_Time_and_the_Design_of_Facilities_A_Queuing_Approach
7. Joining Longer Queues: Information Externalities in Queue Choice ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220391308_Joining_Longer_Queues_In

formation_Externalities_in_Queue_Choice
8. (PDF) Models of Herding Behavior in Operations Management - ResearchGate, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226963762_Models_of_Herding_Behavior_in_Operations_Management
9. (PDF) Decision biases in human queuing systems: a simulation ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362369056_Decision_biases_in_human_queuing_systems_a_simulation_model_to_use_in_the_class
10. Network Disruptions and Ripple Effects: Queueing Model, Simulation, and Data Analysis of Port Congestion - MDPI, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/11/9/1745
11. Modeling of obstacle avoidance by a dense crowd as a Mean-Field Game - arXiv, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2403.00603v1
12. [1304.5201] Mean field games with nonlinear mobilities in pedestrian dynamics - arXiv, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.5201
13. Bounded Rationality - The Decision Lab, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://thedecisionlab.com/biases/bounded-rationality
14. Boundedly rational route choice behavior: A review of models and methodologies | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/293195661_Boundedly_rational_route_choice_behavior_A_review_of_models_and_methodologies
15. Modeling Pedestrian Shopping Behavior Using Principles of ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220449221_Modeling_Pedestrian_Shopping_Behavior_Using_Principles_of_Bounded_Rationality_Model_Comparison_and_Validation
16. (PDF) An agent-based approach to modelling pedestrian behaviour - ResearchGate, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228931870_An_agent-based_approach_to_modelling_pedestrian_behaviour
17. A Break from the Norm? Parametric Representations of Preference ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12511644/
18. Correlation and scale in mixed logit models, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://eml.berkeley.edu/~train/papers/scale.pdf
19. Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/77187/6/Hesss5_final.pdf
20. SUPPLEMENTARY GUIDANCE Mixed Logit Models - GOV.UK, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5fc0e1b4e90e077ee2eadc64/tag-supplementary-mixed-logit-models.pdf
21. Two new user-friendly methods to assess pharmacometric parameter identifiability on categorical and continuous scales, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11247123/

22. What do we mean by identifiability in mixed effects models? - PubMed, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26660913/
23. Calibration of Microscopic Traffic Simulation Models: Methods and Application, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277402098_Calibration_of_Microscopic_Traffic_Simulation_Models_Methods_and_Application
24. Development and Calibration of a Large-Scale Microscopic Traffic Simulation Model, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275850700_Development_and_Calibration_of_a_Large-Scale_Microscopic_Traffic_Simulation_Model
25. Route choice in pedestrians: determinants for initial choices and ..., accessed on October 19, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5332561/
26. The Calibration of Traffic Simulation Models - JRC Publications Repository, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC68403/lbna25188enn.pdf
27. Calibrating Microscopic Traffic Simulation Model Using Connected Vehicle Data and Genetic Algorithm - MDPI, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/15/3/1496
28. Calibration of the Microsimulation Traffic Model Using Different Neural Network Applications, accessed on October 19, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2673-7590/3/1/10