

Appendix G

JIT Compilation versus AOT Compilation

Recall from Chapter 1 that the Java Virtual Machine provides a Just-In-Time (JIT) Compiler, which translates Java bytecode into native machine code at runtime. Plus, the JIT compiler profiles the code as it is running to discover methods (hot spots) where additional optimizations can be performed. Performance improvements can be significant for methods that are executed repeatedly. Note that Java’s JIT compiler is part of the JVM, not the actual Java compiler that translates Java source files into bytecode. The term JIT typically applies to a virtual machine.

But many compilers use a different compilation model called Ahead-of-Time (AOT) compilation, whereby the source code is translated completely into a native executable format for a specific environment; e.g., the compiler (possibly together with a linker) creates a “.exe” file for a Windows/x86-64 computer. All translation and optimization are done at compile time, and the native code is run directly, not as part of a virtual machine. Practically all C and C++ compilers use AOT compilation.

So which compilation model is better, JIT or AOC? The answer is ... it depends. Native executables created by AOT compilers typically start much faster and run faster initially, but JIT compilers can often provide better overall optimization based on runtime execution patterns. Many server-based applications (e.g., web applications) are designed to run for extended periods of time – months to possibly years. For these types of applications, JIT compilation is an excellent choice. But for many applications, startup time and initial performance are more important, and for these applications, AOT compilation is usually a better choice. Compilers tend to fall in the second category of applications.

The three major applications discussed in this book are the CPRL compiler, the CVM (virtual machine), and the assembler for CVM assembly language. Complete source code for the latter two applications is available on the book’s GitHub repository. Throughout development of the CPRL compiler, we assume that all three applications will run on the JVM. But none of these applications are designed to run for extended periods of time, and so it seems likely that they could benefit from AOT. Let’s find out.

There are several tools that can be used to convert Java applications into native executables, including the Java Development Kit (JDK) packaging tool `package` and the GraalVM tool `native-image`. We will use the latter to create native applications for the compiler, the assembler, and the CVM. The discussion that follows will create native applications for Windows/x86-64 computers, but it is relatively straightforward to create native applications for Apple or Linux computers.

We start by assuming a Windows/x86-64 environment and a project setup as described in Appendix A. We also assume that GraalVM is installed and that its `bin` subdirectory is on the execution search path (included in the `PATH` environment variable). Then we create a subdirectory of the compiler project’s `bin` directory (the one containing the scripts for compiling, assembling, and testing your CPRL test examples) named “native”.

Using a text editor, add a script file named “make_cprlc.cmd” to directory native with the following contents.

```
@echo off

rem set config environment variables locally
setlocal
call ..\cprlc_config.cmd

native-image -cp "%COMPILER_PROJECT_PATH%" -march=compatibility -O3 ^
edu.citadel.cprlc.CompilerKt cprlc

rem restore settings
endlocal
```

The two key lines in the above listing are highlighted in bold. The “-march” and “-O3” options allow all features of the x86-64 with all optimizations for best performance. Note that the caret (^) character is the line continuation character for Windows command files. Typically you would just put everything on one line, but a single line was too long for the font and margins of this book.

For a bash/x86-64 environment, the script file “make_cprlc” (no “.cmd” suffix) would be written as follows, with the backslash (\) character serving as the line continuation character for bash scripts.

```
#!/bin/bash

# set config environment variables
source ../cprlc_config

native-image -cp "$COMPILER_PROJECT_PATH" -march=compatibility -O3 \
edu.citadel.cprlc.Compiler cprlc
```

Running make_cprlc.cmd from a command prompt will create the native executable file cprlc.exe. Follow a similar procedure to create native executables assemble.exe and cprlc.exe.

Copy files setPath.cmd and all test... files from the project’s bin directory to the newly created native subdirectory. At this point the directory structure should look like the one shown on the next page (some files not shown).

Note that scripts assemble.cmd, cprlc.cmd, and cprlc.cmd in the parent directory have been replaced by native executables assemble.exe, cprlc.exe, and cprlc.exe in the subdirectory. But since the other script files just call the executables by their base name (i.e., without the “.cmd” suffix), they will still run correctly from either directory.

```

bin                (the project bin directory)
- assemble.cmd
- cprl.cmd
- cprlc.cmd
- cprl_config.cmd
- setPath.cmd
- testCorrect.cmd
- testCorrect_all.cmd
- testEverything.cmd
- testIncorrect_all.cmd
- native           (subdirectory of bin)
  - assemble.exe
  - cprl.exe
  - cprlc.exe
  - make_assemble.cmd
  - make_cprl.cmd
  - make_cprlc.cmd
  - setPath.cmd
  - testCorrect.cmd
  - testCorrect_all.cmd
  - testEverything.cmd
  - testIncorrect_all.cmd

```

Now let's see if the native executable files offer improved performance. Open two command prompts. In the first command prompt, change directory to the project's bin directory and run `setPath.cmd` in that directory to ensure that it is included in the execution search path. Then run `testEverything.cmd`, observing how long it takes to run. If the compiler project is correct and complete, then all tests should run with the expected results.

In the second command prompt, change directory to the native subdirectory and run `setPath.cmd` in that directory to ensure that it is included in the execution search path. Then run `testEverything.cmd`, observing how long it takes to run. You should observe that `testEverything.com` ran much faster in the native subdirectory than it did in the project's bin directory, but let's measure.

Bash (and therefore Linux and Apple computers) has a `time` command that can be used to measure how long a given script takes to run. Windows PowerShell has a "cmdlet" (PowerShell term) called `Measure-Command` that does something similar, but the standard Windows Command Prompt doesn't seem to have a standard equivalent. There is an open-source utility called `pTime` that can be used for this purpose, but the web page for `pTime` says that it was last tested under Windows XP in 2005 and may be obsolete. Let's write our own utility for this purpose in Java.

Omitting error checking for arguments, method `main()` for our timing program can be implemented similar to the following.

```

fun main(args: Array<String>)
{
    println()
    println("=== " + args[0] + " ===")

    // for windows
    val pb = ProcessBuilder("cmd.exe", "/c", args[0])

    // for bash
    //val pb = ProcessBuilder("bash", "-c", args[0])

    pb.redirectErrorStream(true)

    val elapsedTimeInNanoseconds = measureNanoTime {
        val p = pb.start()
        val reader =
            BufferedReader(InputStreamReader(p.getInputStream()))
        var line: String?
        while ((reader.readLine().also { line = it }) != null)
            println(line)
    }

    ...    // compute and write out the elapsed time
}

```

Using the approach outlined in this appendix, we can create a native executable named `wtime.exe` for our timing program.

The execution of the script file `testEverything.cmd` was timed using all three timing tools described previously in this appendix.

1. Windows PowerShell `cmdlet Measure-Command`
2. `ptime` (Yes, it still works in Windows 11!)
3. `wtime` (as described above)

All three gave very similar results, averaging more than 13 seconds for the non-native version versus less than 4 seconds for the native version.

For Windows PowerShell users, run these two commands separately in a PowerShell prompt.

```

$env:Path = "$PWD;" + $env:Path # set path to include current dir
Measure-Command { testEverything | Out-Default }

```