

GIT & GITHUB

- `git init` : initialize git for a project
- `git remote add origin <link>` : set up a remote repository for the project
 - `origin` : an alias to a particular resp
- `git revert <commit's hash>` : revert a commit
(`git revert -m 1 <commit's hash>` if the commit is a merge)
- `git commit` : save changes in the local repository
- `git branch` : a pointer to a snapshot of our changes
 - -f for moving a branch into another commit
- `git checkout` : checkout A means we move to branch A
- `git merge <branch A>` : merge branch A to the current branch
 - conflict : when we try to merge 2 branches with diff contents
- pull request : request to merge a branch with the main branch
- `git ignore` : what file in `.gitignore` will not be pushed to remote (directory)
 - Fix the remote resp to comply with `.gitignore` : `git rm -r --cached <file>`
→ push
- `git cherry-pick` : pick commits and add it to current HEAD the commit just check out
- `git reset` : reverse changes by moving a branch ref backward in time
(only work for local)
- `git revert` : reverse changes for remote by creating a modified commit

(don't create new commit)

- ↳ `git commit --amend` : combine staged changes within the last commit
- ↳ Trunk - Based Dev : Work on main branch only, and the code base is always up-to-date and stable
 - Pros : early issue detection, quick feed back, reduce overhead
 - Cons : conflicts & integration issues, robust testing, hard to undo
- ↳ Feature branching : Creating a new branch for a specific feature/change, then merge back to main using pull request
 - Pros : parallel dev, good management, main stability, encourage short-lived branches, assist testing process
 - Cons : big # branches, delay merging for review, conflicts due to branch dependencies

↓ comes from stakeholders, application field, documentation

REQUIREMENTS ENGINEERING

- ↳ Non-functional req : criteria used to judge how the system perform, not what it does (ex : security, accuracy, cost, reusability, ...)
- ↳ Completeness : what the system need to do, determining the relevance of req
- ↳ User req : written for customers, no technical details (the software can do this that)
- ↳ System req : written for devs, include functional/nonfunctional reqs
 - specific, clear, have technical details

Ex : the user should be provided with facilities to define the type of external file
- ↳ Analyzing req : verification → validation → risk analysis
(complete, pertinent) (error?)
- ↳ Req prioritization : mandatory → nice to have → useless (customer wants?)