Anonymous peer-review form for student presentations

Date:

Presenter's name (or login):

Presentation title:

Please tick, for each quality, the marks that best describe the presentation

Quality 1 – Content of presentation (40% of total marks)

[] 80-100%	A presentation with detailed and outstanding coverage and analysis of the topic.
[] 70-79%	A presentation with detailed and excellent coverage and analysis of the topic.
[] 60-69%	A presentation with a very good and wide ranging coverage and analysis of the topic.
[] 50-59%	A presentation with a reasonable coverage of the topic, with some noticeable
	omissions and/or lacking somewhat in analysis and/or with an unsuitable focus.
[] 40-49%	A presentation that significantly lacks in coverage of the topic with little or no
	analysis and/or with an unsuitable focus.
[] 30-39%	A presentation that fails to cover the topic probably due to lack of knowledge of the
	topic, and probably does not provide any analysis.
[] 20-29%	A presentation with some redeeming features but which basically fails to cover the
	topic.
[] 0-19%	A presentation with no redeeming features.

Quality 2 – Use of audio/visual aids (40% of total marks)

[] 80-100%	An outstanding presentation with uses of visual aids and other media to full advantage and in an appropriate way.
[]70-79%	An excellent presentation with uses of visual aids and other media to very good advantage and in an appropriate way.
[] 60-69%	A very good presentation with uses of visual aids and other media well and appropriately.
[] 50-59%	A presentation resulting in a superficial or overly technical feel with unnecessary technical details. Uses of visual aids and other media reasonable.
[] 40-49%	A presentation resulting in a superficial or overly technical feel with unnecessary technical details and/or showing evidence of poor preparation and/or inappropriate use of visual aids.
[] 30-39%	A presentation resulting in an embarrassing feel due to lack of preparation, and/or poor use of visual aids.
[] 20-29%	A presentation resulting in a very embarrassing feel due to lack of preparation, and/or very poor use of visual aids.
[] 0-19%	A presentation embarrassing to watch, unprepared, and with no useful visual aids.

Quality 3 – Delivery of presentation (20% of total marks)

Quality & Delivery of presentation (2070 of total marins)		
[] 80-100%	Outstanding public speaking with no faults in use of vocabulary, clarity, pace, and	
	modulation.	
[] 70-79%	Outstanding public speaking with very few faults in use of vocabulary, clarity, pace,	
	and modulation.	
[] 60-69%	Very good public speaking with few faults in use of vocabulary, clarity, pace, and	
	modulation.	
[] 50-59%	Reasonable public speaking, but may lack in clarity, make poor use of vocabulary, be	
	monotonous, nervous, or at an inappropriate pace.	
[] 40-49%	Poor public speaking probably lacking in clarity, make poor use of vocabulary,	
	monotonous, extremely nervous, or at an inappropriate pace.	
[] 30-39%	Probably poor or disinterested public speaking, suffering from many faults.	
[] 20-29%	Very poor level of public speaking.	