DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2017.70409



Chemical composition, antibacterial and antifungal activities of Cinamomum bejolghota bark oil from Thailand

Piyamas Atiphasaworn, Sakon Monggoot, Patcharee Pripdeevech*

School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received on: 09/02/2017 Accepted on: 24/03/2017 Available online: 30/04/2017

Key words:

Cinnamomum bejolghota, essential oil, antibacterial, antifungal, phytochemical composition, 1,8-cineole.

ABSTRACT

The volatile constituents of Cinnamonum bejolghota bark essential oil were investigated by using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Thirty-six volatile constituents were identified with the major components being 1,8-cineole, γ-terpineol, borneol and terpinen-4-ol. Essential oil of C. bejolghota bark was firstly screened for their antibacterial and antifungal activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, as well as, Colletotrichum sp. fungi using disc diffusion method. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of C. bejolghota bark oil was further analyzed by microdilution. Essential oil of C. bejolghota bark was most effective against bacteria with MIC ranging from 31.25-62.50 µg/mL, whereas inhibition against fungal pathogens was moderate, with MIC of 125-500 µg/mL. The strong antimicrobial activity of C. bejolghota bark oil was correlated mainly to 1,8-cineole, γ-terpineol, borneol, terpenen-4-ol and linalool.

INTRODUCTION

Volatile components of essential oils are mainly represented by terpenoids, phenylpropanoids or benzenoids, fatty acid derivatives and amino acid derivatives (Dudareva et al., 2006). Volatile components of essential oils possess potential antimicrobial and insecticidal activities against pathogens including those causing human pathogenic diseases and crop spoilage in agriculture (Singh & Maurya, 2005). Use of essential oils as antimicrobial agents is environmentally safe and economical. In addition, essential oils from various parts of plants are widely used for gargles in throat infection, skin care (Gutiérrez et al., 2008), beauty treatments (Price, 2003), herbal medicines (Schultz et al., 2001), aromatherapy (Price, cosmetics (Tisserand & Young, perfumery applications (Nielsen & Rios, 2000). Essential oil of Cinnamomum plant, belonging to the Lauraceae family, is obtained from its leaves and barks and is widely used as a flavoring agent in food, as well as for cosmetic and

oil of Cinnamomum genus plants contained the great antimicrobial (Ooi et al., 2006), antifungal (Giordani et al., 2006), antiinflammatory (Miguel, 2010) and antixidant (Jayaprakasha et al., 2003) properties. Cinamomum bejolghota (Buch.-Ham.) is a medicinal plant, apply as the treatment of a cough, cold, toothache, liver complaints (Rao, 1979). The plant is widely distributed in China, Vietnam, Sri Lanka, Madagascar, India and East of Thailand (Li et al., 2013). Baruah et al., 1997 reported linalool as a major volatile in essential oil of C. bejolghota leaf and panicle cultivated in India, whereas α-terpineol and E-nerolidol were found as the main components in its stem bark oil. Conversely, high amounts of 1,8-cineole and α-terpineol were detected in essential oil of C. bejolghota bark collected from different areas in India (Choudhury et al., 1998). Only few studies have identified volatile profiles of C. bejolghota essential oil, though there is no previous study reporting the antimicrobial and antifungal properties of C. bejolghota oil. The aim of this study was to investigate the chemical composition of C. bejolghota oil from Thailand, to provide baseline data on its antibacterial and antifungal properties, and to predict its usefulness as a natural antimicrobial and antifungal agent in postharvest processing.

pharmaceutical applications (Sudmoon et al., 2014). The essential

Corresponding Author

Patcharee Pripdeevech, School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand. Email: E-mail:patcharee.pri @ mfu.ac.th

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material

Stem bark of *C. bejolghota* (Buch.-Ham.) was collected in April 2015 from Trat province, Eastern Thailand and air dried for 7 days. Voucher herbarium specimen (MFLU No. 10000) of the 1-year old plant was identified and deposited at the Mae Fah Luang University Botanical Garden, Chiang Rai, Thailand.

Extraction of essential oil and chemical composition analysis

One hundred grams of C. bejolghota dried bark were subjected to hydrodistillation for 4 h using a Clevenger-type apparatus. The essential oils were dried using anhydrous sodium sulfate. The chemical composition of C. bejolghota essential oil was carried out on a Hewlett Packard model HP6890 gas chromatograph (GC) (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with an HP-5MS (5% phenylpolymethylsiloxane) capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 µm; Agilent Technologies, USA) employed with an HP model 5973 mass selective detector (MS). The oven temperature was programmed at an initial temperature of 60 °C prior ramping at a 3 °C/min until a maximum of 200 °C was reached. The temperatures of the injection and detection steps were set at 250 and 280 °C, respectively. Helium was used as the carrier gas with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The EI mass spectra were collected at 70 eV ionization voltages over the range of m/z 29-300. The electron multiplier voltage was 1150 V. The ion source and quadrupole temperatures were set at 230 °C and 150 °C, respectively. One microliter of C. bejolghota essential oil was dissolved in n-hexane (1:100 v/v) prior to injection into the GC-MS system with a split ratio of 1:200. Identification of essential oil composition accomplished by comparison between their relative retention indices (RI) to C₈-C₁₆ n-alkanes, and using a comparison of the mass spectra of individual components with the reference mass spectra in the W8N08 and NIST08 databases, and published literature. Quantification of all identified components was investigated by using a percent relative peak area.

Antibacterial activity assay

Antibacterial activities of *C. bejolghota* bark oil were investigated against 6 bacterial pathogens representing three Gram-negative bacteria (*Salmonella typhimurium* TISTR292, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* TISTR781 and *Escherichia coli* TISTR780) and three Gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus* TISTR1466, *Bacillus subtilis* TISTR008 and *B. cereus* TISTR687). All bacterial pathogens were obtained from the Thailand Institute of Scientific and Technological Research, Thailand. The antibacterial activities of *C. bejolghota* essential oil were determined by using a disc diffusion assay (Ross *et al.*, 2013). Each bacterial strain was cultured in tryptic soy agar medium at 37 °C which the single colony was collected and further adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard. Subsequently, the bacteria were swabbed on a Mueller Hinton agar medium plate by using sterilized cotton. Essential oil of *C. bejolghota* bark was

diluted by two-fold dilution method with dichloromethane to perform the final concentrations of 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.50 31.25, 7.81 and 3.91 μg/mL, respectively. Twenty microliters of *C. bejolghota* bark oil with different concentrations were loaded into a 6 mm-diameter sterile paper disc (WhatmanTM, USA) and then placed on Mueller Hinton agar medium plate. All plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The inhibition zone diameter of different *C. bejolghota* oil concentrations was measured in millimeters. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values inhibiting bacterial growth were also determined. Penicillin was used as positive control in this study. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Antifungal activity assay

The plant pathogenic fungi used in this study were obtained from the Institute of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand including Collectotrichum asianum MFULCC10-0286, C. fruticola MFLUCC10-0288, C. tropica MFLUCC11-0114, and C. magna MFLUCC12-0713. The antifungal activities of C. bejolghota essential oil were determined by using the disc diffusion method (Murray et al., 1995). Essential oil of C. bejolghota bark was prepared by using two-fold dilution method at final concentrations of 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 7.81 and 3.91 µg/mL. Initially, all pathogenic fungi were cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) media and incubated at 30 °C for 1 week. A plug of 1-week old fungal culture (6 mm diameter) of each strain was placed on the center of PDA medium plates. Ten microliters of different essential oil concentrations were individually loaded into 6 mmdiameter sterile paper disc (WhatmanTM, USA) and then placed on plates containing a plug of fungal culture. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for a week. The mycelial fungal growth inhibition of each fungal strain was calculated according to the following equation: Percentage of inhibition (%) = 100 [(1-radical growth of treatment (mm)/radical growth of control (mm)]. All experiments were performed in triplicate. In addition, MIC values inhibiting mycelial fungal growth were also determined.

Data analysis

The experiments were performed in triplicate and are reported as mean \pm standard deviation. Quantitative variations were analyzed by one-way ANOVA (at P<0.05). Duncan's Multiple Range test combined with the Statistical Analysis System (Sas, 1990) was used to study the differences among samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The extraction yield of *C. bejolghota* bark oil was 1.01% v/v with pale yellow color. Thirty-six volatile components were detected in the essential oil of *C. bejolghota* bark, accounting for 97.96% of the total oil composition. Oxygenated monoterpene and monoterpene were considered as the major compounds as shown in Table 1. The major constituent was 1,8-cineole (40.24%), followed by γ -terpineol (15.41%), borneol (7.86%),

terpinen-4-ol (7.55%) and α -pinene (6.58%), respectively (Adams, 1995; König *et al.*, 1999). Volatile compounds represented aromatic profile of Cinnamomum plant were also detected such as Z-cinnamaldehyde, α -amyl cinnamyl alcohol, E-isoamyl cinnamate, E-2-hexyl cinnamaldehyde, benzyl cinnamate and phenethyl cinnamate. The volatile profiles in this study differed from the study of Baruah *et al.*, 1997 and Choudhury *et al.*, 1998 whereby α -terpineol and E-nerolidol were identified as the principle components in bark oil of C. bejolghota. The high variation of essential oil components between locations could be due to differences in the time of harvesting and extraction method (Heywood, 2002). Extrinsic variables based on geographic origin include climatic and soil-growth conditions, both of which may cause environmental stress and variability of chemical composition (Vokou *et al.*, 1993).

Table 1: Chemical composition of *C. bejolghota* bark oil with the percentage of content obtained by hydrodistillation.

No.	Compound	RI	%Peak area
1	α-Thujene	930	0.07
2	α-Pinene	932	6.58
3	Camphene	946	3.38
4	Sabinene	969	0.08
5	β-Pinene	971	3.23
6	Myrcene	981	0.78
7	δ-3-Carene	1005	0.09
8	α-Terpinene	1014	0.69
9	1,8-Cineole	1026	40.24
10	<i>E</i> -β-Ocimene	1044	0.07
11	γ-Terpinene	1049	0.81
12	cis-Sabinene hydrate	1064	0.08
13	ρ -Mentha-2,4(8)-diene	1079	0.34
14	ρ-Cymenene	1089	0.09
15	Linalool	1095	0.11
16	endo-Fenchol	1114	0.13
17	cis-p-Mentha-2-en-l-ol	1118	0.08
18	Camphor	1141	1.17
19	Camphon Camphene hydrate	1140	0.19
20	Isoborneol	1150	0.05
21	Borneol	1163	7.86
22	Terpinen-4-ol	1174	7.55
23	γ-Terpineol	1191	15.41
24	Verbenone	1204	0.17
25	Z-Cinnamaldehyde	1204	0.17
26	Thymol methyl ester	1232	0.26
27	Chavicol	1247	0.06
28	trans-Piperitone epoxide	1253	0.05
29	Geraial	1262	0.05
30 31	Dihydro-linalool acetate Isobornyl acetate	1275 1280	0.11 0.11
32	Safrole		
		1288	0.09
33	Geranyl formate	1299	0.09
34	Dihydro-carveol acetate	1306	0.09
35	Limonene aldehyde	1327	0.05
36	δ-Elemene	1333	0.05
37	α-Cubebene	1339	0.05
38	Eugenol	1359	0.05
39	α-Ylangene	1373	0.11
40	β-Elemene	1383	0.05
41	α-Chamipinene	1396	0.09
42	α-Gurjunene	1409	0.06
43	α-trans-Bergamotene	1433	0.08
44	Prezizaene	1444	0.08
45	α-Humulene	1452	0.05
46	α-Zingiberene	1491	0.07
47	Gernacrene A	1506	0.21
48	7-epi-α-Selinene	1520	0.22

49	δ-Cadinene	1522	0.11
50	Zonarene	1528	0.55
51	α-Cadinene	1537	0.07
52	Selina-3,7(11)-diene	1545	0.19
53	trans-Cadinene ether	1559	0.16
54	Himachalene epoxide	1579	0.06
55	Neryl isovalerate	1582	0.14
56	Guaiol	1602	0.11
57	β-Cedrene epoxide	1622	0.64
58	epi-α-Cadinol	1637	0.48
59	Isoamyl geranate	1651	0.55
60	5-iso-Cedranol	1671	0.47
61	α-Amyl cinnamyl alcohol	1682	0.27
62	Z-α-trans-Bergamotol	1690	0.07
63	Eumesm-7(11)-en-4-ol	1697	0.09
64	Longifolol	1715	0.11
65	iso-Longifolol	1732	0.08
66	E-Isoamyl cinnamate	1740	0.09
67	E-2-Hexyl cinnamaldehyde	1750	0.05
68	Benzyl benzoate	1762	0.06
69	epi-Cyclocolorenone	1777	0.11
70	Z - α -trans-Bergamotol acetate	1793	0.13
71	α-Bisabolol acetate	1801	0.05
72	β-Chenopodiol	1810	0.06
73	Acorone	1824	0.08
74	7-Hydroxy coumarin	1841	0.06
75	Z,Z-Farnesyl acetone	1858	0.07
76	Z-Spiroether	1874	0.10
77	8S,14-Cedranediol	1886	0.10
78	Cataponone	1896	0.05
79	11,12-Dihydroxy valencene	1918	0.05
80	Carissone	1924	0.05
81	Isohibaene	1935	0.05
82	Phytol	1948	0.08
83	Columellarin	1954	0.09
84	3Z-Cembrene A	1964	0.07
85	4-Methoxy stilbene	1991	0.09
86	Z,E-Geranyl linalool	1998	0.09
87	13-epi-Manool oxide	2007	0.08
88	Juvibione	2016	0.12
89	Bergaptene	2050	0.09
90	Sclareolide	2075	0.09
91	Benzyl cinnamate	2098	0.09
92	Laurenan-2-one	2117	0.09
93	E-Isoeugenyl benzyl ether	2128	0.09
94	Abienol	2149	0.07
95	Phenethyl cinnamate	2179	0.08

RI, linear temperature program retention index on DB-5 column.

The antibacterial activities of *C. bejolghota* bark oil in terms of inhibition zone diameter and MIC are demonstrated in Table 2. The most sensitive bacterial strain was *E. coli* TISTR780 followed by *P. aeruginosa* TISTR781, *S. aureus* TISTR1466, *B. subtilis* TISTR008, *S. typhimurium* TISTR292 and *B. cereus* TISTR687.

Table 2: Antibacterial activities of essential oils of *C. bejolghota* bark oil and penicillin.

Bacteria	inhibition Diameter (mm)		MIC (µg/mL)	
	Essential oil	Penicillin	Essential oil	Penicillin
Gram-positive				
B. cereus	7.87 ± 1.94	5.08 ± 0.91	62.50	3.91
B. subtilis	7.47 ± 2.05	3.75 ± 1.22	31.25	3.91
S. aureus	7.57 ± 1.53	10.08±0.29	31.25	7.81
Gram-negative				
E. coli	10.43±1.11	6.41 ± 0.56	31.25	7.81
P. aeruginosa	7.83 ± 1.95	3.75 ± 1.20	31.25	3.91
S. typhimurium	9.73 ± 1.25	4.55±1.10	62.50	7.81

The MIC of *C. bejolghota* bark oil against various bacterial species ranged between 31.25 and 62.25 μg/mL. The antifungal properties of *C. bejolghota* bark oil against four postharvest pathogenic fungi and MIC values are shown in Table 3. The *C. bejolghota* bark oil displayed the strongest antifungal activity against *C. asianum*, with MIC of 125 μg/mL, while the MIC values against other postharvest pathogenic fungi ranged between 250 and 500 μg/mL. Although antimicrobial activities of *Cinnamomum* spp. essential oils have been widely reported, the effectiveness of *C. bejolghota* bark oil on pathogenic species has been less studied.

Table 3: Percentage of growth inhibition of *Colletotrichum* sp. fungi by *C. bejolghota* bark oil.

Radical growth inhibition (%)	MIC (µg/mL)
30.86±2.14	125
13.79±3.45	250
24.24±2.62	500
17.33±2.31	250
	30.86±2.14 13.79±3.45 24.24±2.62

The mechanisms of the antimicrobial action of essential oil from plants are still not clearly understood. Terpenoids are major components of essential oil possessing hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts with different functional groups. This enables terpenoids to simply transport across bacterial or fungal cell walls and interact with the microbes (Burt, 2004; Koroch et al., 2007). The antimicrobial activity of C. bejolghota bark oil may be correlated to the diversity of its bioactive compounds. These include 1,8-cineole (comprising 40.24% of the oil) and γ-terpineol (15.41%) in the essential oil, both of which have potent antibacterial and fungicidal activities (Carson et al., 2002; Hendry, Worthington et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012). Mahboubi and Kazempour, 2009 reported that antibacterial activity of whole essential oil was greater than that obtained from major components alone. The great antimicrobial activity of C. bejolghota bark oil could be also contributed to a combination of minor components including linalool, borneol, isoborneol, α-pinene, β-pinene and camphor (Koutsoudaki et al., 2005; Santoyo et al., 2005; Sivropoulou et al., 1997). The strong antimicrobial activity against E. coli and B. cereus is particularly interesting, because both microbes are classified as human pathogens. According to the Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens, both bacteria belong to the second hazard group of biological agents which pose risk to human health. Moreover, growth inhibition of these bacteria is important because of their role in food contamination. In addition, strong antimicrobial activity was significant against C. asianum with 30.86% growth inhibition. Therefore, essential oil of C. bejolghota bark is a potential antibacterial and antifungal agent that may find wider applications in food industry and postharvest processing.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study indicated that essential oil obtained from the stem bark of *C. bejolghota* is rich in oxygenated

monoterpenes, mainly 1,8-cineole, which constitutes 40.24% of the total oil composition. Biological evaluation revealed that the *C. bejolghota* bark oil possesses strong antibacterial and antifungal activities. *C. bejolghota* oil may be viewed as a bioactive natural product with cosmetic or postharvest production applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the Office of the Postgraduate Studies and the Scientific and Technological Instrument Center (STIC), Mae Fah Luang University for financial and GC-MS support respectively. I am grateful to Mae Fah Luang University Botanical Garden, Chiang Rai, Thailand for their help in the collection and identification of the *C. bejolghota* plant.

Conflict of Interests: There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Adams RP. 1995, Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography / mass spectroscopy, Illinois, USA. Allured Publishing Corporation.

Baruah A, Nath SC, Hazarika AK, Sarma TC. Essential Oils of the Leaf, Stem Bark and Panicle of Cinnamomum bejolghota (Buch.-Ham.) Sweet. J Essent Oil Res, 1997; 9:243-245.

Burt S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods-a review. Int J Food Microbiol, 2004; 94:223-253.

Carson CF, Mee BJ, Riley TV. Mechanism of action of *Melaleuca alternifolia* (tea tree) oil on *Staphylococcus aureus* determined by time-kill, lysis, leakage, and salt tolerance assays and electron microscopy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2002; 46:1914-1920.

Choudhury S, Ahmed R, Barthel A, Leclercq PA. (1998). Composition of the bark and flower oils of *Cinnamomum bejolghota* (Buch.-Ham.) sweet from two locations of Assam, India. J Essent Oil Res, 1998; 10:245-250.

Dudareva N, Negre F, Nagegowda DA, Orlova I. Plant volatiles: recent advances and future perspectives. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci, 2006; 25:417-440.

Giordani R, Regli P, Kaloustian J, Portugal H. Potentiation of antifungal activity of amphotericin B by essential oil from *Cinnamomum cassia*. Phytother Res, 2006; 20:58-61.

Gutiérrez RMP, Mitchell S, Solis RV. Psidium guajava: a review of its traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology. J Ethnopharmacol, 2008; 117:1-27.

Hendry E, Worthington T, Conway BR, Lambert P. Antimicrobial efficacy of eucalyptus oil and 1, 8-cineole alone and in combination with chlorhexidine digluconate against microorganisms grown in planktonic and biofilm cultures. J Antimicrob Chemother, 2009; 64:1219-1225

Heywood VH. 2002. The conservation of genetic and chemical diversity in medicinal and aromatic plants. In: Bilge S, ed. Biodiversity: biomolecular aspects of biodiversity and innovative utilization, New York: Springer 13-22.

Jayaprakasha GK, Jagan MRL, Sakariah, KK. Volatile constituents from Cinnamomum zeylanicum fruit stalks and their antioxidant activities. J Agric Food Chem, 2003; 51:4344-4348.

König WA, Bülow N, Saritas Y. Identification of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons by gas phase analytical methods. Flavour Fragr J, 1999; 14:367-378.

Koroch AR, Juliani HR, Zygadlo JA. 2007. Bioactivity of essential oils and their components. In: Ralf GB, ed. Flavours and fragrances, Heidelberg: Springer 87-115.

Koutsoudaki C, Krsek M, Rodger A. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oil and the gum of *Pistacia lentiscus* Var. *chia*. J Agric Food Chem, 2005; 53:7681-7685.

Li Yq, Kong Dx, Wu H. Analysis and evaluation of essential oil components of cinnamon barks using GC–MS and FTIR spectroscopy. Ind Crop Prod, 2013; 41:269-278.

Mahboubi M, Kazempour N. The antimicrobial activity of essential oil from Perovskia abrotanoides karel and its main components. Indian J Pharm Sci, 2009; 71:343-347.

Miguel MG. Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of essential oils: a short review. Molecules, 2010; 15:9252-9287.

Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller M, Tenover F, Yolken, RH, Morgan DR. 1995. Manual of Clinical Microbiology. Washington, USA: ASM Press.

Nielsen PV, Rios R. Inhibition of fungal growth on bread by volatile components from spices and herbs, and the possible application in active packaging, with special emphasis on mustard essential oil. Int J Food Microbiol, 2000; 60:219-229.

Ooi LS, Li Y, Kam SL, Wang H, Wong EY, Ooi VE. Antimicrobial activities of cinnamon oil and cinnamaldehyde from the Chinese medicinal herb *Cinnamomum cassia* Blume. Am J Chin Med, 2006; 34:511-522.

Price S. Aromatherapy for Common Ailments: How to Use Essential Oils--Such as Rosemary, Chamomile, and Lavender--To Prevent and Treat More Than 40 Common Ailments. New York, USA: Simon and Schuster.

Rao, R. 1979. Ethnobotanical studies on the flora of Meghalaya—some interesting reports of herbal medicines. In: Jain SK, ed. Glimpses of Indian ethnobotany. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing 137-148.

Ross JE, Scangarella-Oman N, Jones RN. Determination of disk diffusion and MIC quality control guidelines for GSK2251052: a novel boron-containing antibacterial. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2013; 75:437-439.

Santoyo S, Cavero S, Jaime L, Ibanez E, Senorans F, Reglero G. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil obtained via supercritical fluid extraction. J Food Prot , 2005; 68:790-795.

Sas S. 1990. STAT user's guide, version 6 SAS Institute. North Carolina, USA: SAS Institute Incorporated.

Schultz V, Hänsel R, Tyler VE. 2001. Rational phytotherapy: a physician's guide to herbal medicine. Texas, USA: Springer.

Singh G, Maurya S. Antimicrobial, antifungal and insecticidal investigations on essential oils: An overview. Nat prod radiance, 2005; 4:179-192.

Sivropoulou A, Nikolaou C, Papanikolaou E, Kokkini S, Lanaras T, Arsenakis M. Antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and antiviral activities of *Salvia fructicosa* essential oil. J Agric Food Chem, 1997; 45: 3197-3201

Sudmoon R, Chaveerach A, Sanubol A, Monkheang P, Kwanda N, Aungkapattamagul S, Kaewdoungdee N. Identifying efficiency in herbal medicine *Cinnamomum* species (Lauraceae) using banding patterns and sequence alignments of rpoB, rbcL and matK regions. J Med Case Rep, 2014; 41: 1094-1108.

Tisserand R, Young R. 2013. Essential oil safety (2nd Edition): a guide for health care professionals. London, England: Churchill Livingstone.

Vokou D, Kokkini S, Bessiere JM. Geographic variation of Greek oregano (*Origanum vulgare* ssp. *hirtum*) essential oils. Biochem Syst Ecol, 1993; 21:287-295.

Wang W, Li N, Luo M, Zu Y, Efferth T. Antibacterial activity and anticancer activity of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil compared to that of its main components. Molecules, 2012; 17: 2704-2713.

How to cite this article:

Atiphasaworn P, Monggoot S, Pripdeevech P., Chemical composition, antibacterial and antifungal activities of *Cinamomum bejolghota* bark oil from Thailand. J App Pharm Sci, 2017; 7 (04): 069-073.