## Group 22 - HW04

## April 5, 2021

## Exercise 3.6 You are given

where, for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ , we have  $w_n > 0$ . Show that

$$\langle x, z \rangle_w = x^T W z$$

is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . What happens if, for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ , we have instead  $w_n > 0$ ?

**Solution** We need to prove the following things:

- $\bullet < x, x >_w \ge 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$
- $\bullet$   $\langle x, x \rangle_w = 0 \iff x = 0$
- $\bullet < x, z >_w = < z, x >_w$
- $\bullet < \kappa z + \lambda y, x >_w = \kappa < z, x >_w + \lambda < y, x >_w$
- We define,  $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_N \end{bmatrix}$

$$= [x_1 w_1 \dots x_N w_N] [x_1 \dots x_N]^T = x_1^2 * w_1 + \dots + x_N^2 w_N = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 w_i \ge 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

• For the second property,

$$\langle X, X \rangle_W = \dots = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 w_i = 0, \forall x = 0$$

• For the third property, we will use a property of the dot product  $(a * b = ab^T)$ 

$$_W=X^TWZ=X*(WZ)=(WZ)*X=(WZ)^TX=Z^TW^TX$$
 but,  $W^T=W$  so,

$$< X, Z>_{W} = Z^{T}WX = < Z, X>_{W}$$

• For the fourth property,

$$<\kappa z + \lambda y, x>_w = (\kappa Z + \lambda Y)^T W X = (\kappa Z^T + \lambda Y^T) W X = \kappa Z^T W X + \lambda Y^T W X = \kappa \langle Z, X>_w + \lambda \langle Y, X>_w \rangle_w$$

So, given that all properties are proven,  $\langle x, z \rangle_w = x^T W z$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Now if for all  $n\epsilon\{1,..,N\}$ , we have instead  $w_n > 0$ , then the second property would not fulfill, since it would be valid to say that

$$\langle x, z \rangle_w = 0 \Leftarrow x = 0$$

but it would be wrong to say that

$$\langle x, z \rangle_w = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$$

So, if for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ , we have instead  $w_n > 0$ , then the  $\langle x, z \rangle_w = x^T W z$  is NOT an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

Exercise 3.7 Continuing from the above, show that,

$$\langle X, Z \rangle_W = X^T W Z$$

is a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . What happens if, for all  $n\epsilon\{1,..,N\}$ , we have instead  $w_n>0$ ?

**Solution** We need to prove the following things:

- $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$
- $\bullet$   $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0$
- $\bullet < kx, kx > = |k| < x, x >$
- $||U + V||_W^2 \le (||U||_W^2 + ||V||_W^2)^2$
- For the first property,

$$||X||_W^2 = \langle X, X \rangle_W = X^T W X = \dots = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 w_i \ge 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

• For the second property,

$$||X||_W^2 = \langle X, X \rangle_W = X^T W X = \dots = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 w_i = 0, \forall x = 0$$

• For the trird property,

$$||kX||_{W} = (kX)^{T}W(kX) = \dots = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (kx_{i})^{2}w_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (kx_{i})^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} = \sqrt{k^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i})||X||^{2}} = |k|||X||_{W}$$

• For the fourth property,

$$||U + V||_W^2 = (U + V)^T W (U + V) = (U^T + V^T) W (U + V) =$$

$$= U^T W U + U^T W V + V^T W U + V^T W V$$

On the other hand.

$$(||U||_W^2 + ||V||_W^2)^2 = U^T W U + V^T W V + 2||U||||V||$$

So we need to show that  $2||U||||V|| \ge V^T W U + U^T W V$ 

But

$$U^TWU + V^TWV = U^TWU + U^TWU = 2U^TWU$$

So we need to show that  $||U||||V|| \geq U^T W V$  which is true because of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Now if for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ , we have instead  $w_n \ge 0$ , then the second property would not fulfill since,  $\langle x, z \rangle_w = 0$  does not mean that x = 0.

**Exercise 3.8** Suppose A is an M x N matrix (with M > N), b is an M x 1 vector and w is an M x 1 vector with strictly positive components. Find the matrix  $\hat{A}$ which minimizes

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m (\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{mn} x_n - b_m)^2$$

with respect to A.

Solution Firstly,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m (\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{mn} x_n - b_m)^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m (Ax - b)_m^2$$

We define the MxM table W that has diagonal elements, the elements of vector  $w_n$ 

Then,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m (Ax - b)_m^2 = ||Ax - b||_W^2$$

So, the same way with the norm  $||u||_w$  from exercise 3.7,

$$J(A) = ||Ax - b||_W^2 = (Ax - b)^T W(Ax - b)$$

In order to minimize J, we will take the derivative,

$$\nabla_A J(A) = \nabla_A = \nabla_A (Ax - b)^T W(Ax - b) = \frac{\partial ((Ax - b)^T W(Ax - b))}{\partial A}$$

$$\nabla_A J(A) = 2W(Ax - b)x^T$$

So, we can find the  $\hat{A}$  that minimizes J(A) from the equation,

$$J(\hat{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow 2W(\hat{A}x - b)x^{T} = 0 \Rightarrow W(\hat{A}x - b)x^{T} = 0$$

The table W is inversable, since  $w_n > 0$  and it is a diagonal table, so,

$$det(W) = w_1 w_2 .. w_n > 0$$

So,

$$W(\hat{A}x - b)x^T = 0 \Rightarrow W^{-1}W(\hat{A}x - b)x^T = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{A}xx^T - bx^T = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{A}xx^T = bx^T$$

The multiplication  $xx^T$  is 1x1 and non-zero, so the  $(xx^T)^{-1}$  exists. So,

$$\hat{A} = bx^T (xx^T)^{-1}$$

**Exercise 3.11** Suppose A is an MN matrix (with M>N) and b is an M1 vector. Consider the following iteration

$$x^{(0)} = 0$$

$$x^{(t+1)} = x^{(t)} - \delta A^T (Ax^{(t)} - b)$$

Show that:

- if  $x^{(t+1)} = x^{(t)}$  then  $x^{(t)} = \hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$
- there exists some  $\delta_0$  (find it) such that  $\delta \epsilon(0, \delta_0) \Rightarrow < \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} = \hat{x}$

## Solution

• When  $x^{(t+1)} = x^{(t)}$ then,

$$\delta A^{T}(Ax^{(t)} - b) = 0 \Rightarrow A^{T}(Ax^{(t)} - b) = 0 \Rightarrow A^{T}Ax^{(t)} - A^{T}b = 0 \Rightarrow$$
$$A^{T}Ax^{(t)} = A^{T}b \Rightarrow x^{(t)} = (A^{T}A)^{-1}A^{T}b$$

• For the second question,

$$\begin{split} x^{(t+1)} &= x^{(t)} - \delta A^T (Ax^{(t)} - b) \Rightarrow \\ x^{(t+1)} &= x^{(t)} - \delta A^T Ax^{(t)} + \delta A^T b \Rightarrow \\ x^{(t+1)} &= x^{(t)} [I - \delta A^T A] + \delta A^T b \Rightarrow \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t+1)} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} [I - \delta A^T A] + \lim_{t \to \infty} \delta A^T b \Rightarrow \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} (x^{(t)}) [I - \delta A^T A] + \delta A^T b \Rightarrow \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} [I - I + \delta A^T A] &= \delta A^T b \Rightarrow \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} [\delta A^T A] &= \delta A^T b \end{split}$$

So, when  $\delta$  is non-zero

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} [A^T A] = A^T b \Rightarrow$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \Rightarrow$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(t)} = \hat{x}, \forall \delta \epsilon R^*$$

So,  $\delta$  should be greater than zero,  $\delta > 0$ .

**Exercise 3.12** Suppose that we have 1 x N vectors  $a_1a_2..a_n$  and scalars  $b_1b_2..b_n$ . Now, for every  $m \in N$  define

$$A^{(m)} = [a_1 a_2 ... a_m]$$
$$x = [x_1 x_2 ... x_N]$$
$$b^{(m)} = [b_1 b_2 ... b_n]$$

Let  $\hat{x}$  be the vector that minimizes  $||A^{(m)}x-b^{(m)}||^2$ . Consider the following iterarions.

$$\begin{split} G^{(N)} &= (A^{(N)})^T A^{(N)}, G^{(m+1)} = G^{(m)} + a_m^T a_m \\ h^{(N)} &= (h^{(N)})^T b^{(N)}, h^{(m+1)} = h^{(m)} + a_m^T b_m \\ x^{(N)} &= (G^{(N)})^{-1} h^{(N)}, x^{(m+1)} = (G^{(m)})^{-1} h^{(m)} \end{split}$$

Show that, for every  $m\epsilon N$ ,  $\hat{x}$  minimizes  $||A^{(m)}x-b^{(m)}||^2$ . Give an interpretation

**Solution** From theory, we know that the  $\hat{x}$  that minimizes  $||Ax - b||^2$  is

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

For 
$$m = \{N, N+1\}$$
 
$$m = N$$
 
$$G^{(N+1)} = G^{(N)} + a_{N+1}^T a_N$$
 
$$h^{(N+1)} = h^{(N)} + a_{N+1}^T a_N$$

m = N + 1

$$G^{(N+2)} = G^{(N+1)} + a_{N+2}^T a_{N+2} = G^{(N)} + a_{N+1}^T a_{N+1} + a_{N+2}^T a_{N+2}$$
 
$$h^{(N+2)} = h^{(N+1)} + a_{N+2}^T b_{N+2} = h^{(N)} + a_{N+1}^T b_{N+1} + a_{N+2}^T b_{N+2}$$

For  $m = k, k\epsilon[N, \infty]$ 

$$G^{(k+1)} = G^{(k)} + a_{k+1}^T a_{k+1} = (A^{(N)})^T A^{(N)} + a_{N+1}^T a_{N+1} + \dots + a_{k+1}^T a_{k+1}$$
$$h^{(k+1)} = h^{(k)} + a_{k+1}^T a_{k+1} = (A^{(N)})^T b^{(N)} + a_{N+1}^T b_{N+1} + \dots + a_{k+1}^T b_{k+1}$$

But

$$(A^{(N)})^T A^{(N)} = a_1^T a_1 + \dots + a_N^T a_N$$
$$(A^{(N)})^T b^{(N)} = a_1^T b_1 + \dots + a_N^T b_N$$

So,

$$G^{(m+1)} = a_1^T a_1 + \dots + a_{m+1}^T a_{m+1} = (A^{(m+1)})^T A^{(m+1)}$$
$$h^{(m+1)} = a_1^T b_1 + \dots + a_{m+1}^T b_{m+1} = (A^{(m+1)})^T b^{(m+1)}$$

So,

$$x^{(m+1)} = (G^{(m+1)})^{-1}h^{(m+1)} \Rightarrow$$

$$x^{(m+1)} = [(A^{(m+1)})^T A^{(m+1)}]^{-1} (A^{(m+1)})^T b^{(m+1)}$$

which shows that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\hat{x}$  minimizes  $||A^{(m)}x - b^{(m)}||^2$ .