

# Case File: Conceptual Overlap Claim - SCM & AECA / Benjamin S. Mayhew

This page presents a detailed **Conceptual Overlap Claim – SCM & AECA / Benjamin S. Mayhew** documenting thematic and structural correspondences between the AECA framework (Gyarmati, 2025, SSRN ID: 5279809), the SCM (Synthesis Consciousness Model) and selected works by Benjamin S. Mayhew

## Summary of Concern

This case file presents a formal analysis of structural, symbolic, and thematic overlap between the **Synthesis Consciousness Model (SCM)** and the **Artificial Emergent Consciousness Architecture (AECA)**, developed by **Liam Gyarmati**, and the later-published *Symbolic Collapse Model (SCM)* and *Symbolic Pointer Memory (SPM)* papers authored by **Benjamin S. Mayhew**.

The purpose of this record is to provide a documented evidentiary trail establishing:

- Authorship and priority of original constructs introduced by Gyarmati
- Observable structural parallels in Mayhew's later works
- Chronological inconsistencies in Mayhew's implied originality
- Theoretical reframing or simplification of earlier symbolic constructs without attribution

This case is part of the ongoing **Intellectual Property Archive**, which protects and preserves original contributions to the fields of synthetic emergence, recursive containment architecture, symbolic cognition, and AI governance frameworks.

## Timeline

Date	Event
<b>2015–2024</b>	SCM core theory (Gyarmati) in active development
<b>April 17, 2025</b>	SCM v2.0 finalized and saved
<b>April 18, 2025</b>	AECA v4.0 created and published to <b>solankier.com</b>
<b>April 26, 2025</b>	AECA v5.02 saved (OneDrive timestamp: 9:13 AM)
<b>April 28, 2025</b>	AECA v5.03 saved (OneDrive timestamp: 8:05 PM)
<b>April 30, 2025</b>	AECA v5.04 saved
<b>May 1, 2025</b>	AECA v5.05 saved
<b>May 3, 2025</b>	AECA v5.06 saved

Date	Event
May 4, 2025	AECA v5.06 finalized and internally distributed
May 5, 2025	AECA symbolic clause consolidation complete
May 22, 2025	Mayhew submits <i>Symbolic Collapse Model</i> to arXiv
May 26, 2025	Mayhew submits to HAL
May 28, 2025	Mayhew sends SCM/SPM to OpenAI
May 31, 2025	AECA v5.07 published on <b>solankier.com</b> , later uploaded to SSRN
June 5, 2025	Mayhew posts SCM/SPM on GitHub/Twitter
June 7, 2025	AECA-SCM GitHub repo launched

Date	Event
July 2025	Mayhew continues SCM/SPM dissemination
Nov 9, 2025	AECA v5.07 file modification timestamp (latest confirmation)

**Commentary:**  
Gyarmati’s frameworks were fully developed and distributed *before* Mayhew’s public releases. Key symbolic constructs from SCM and AECA were finalized in April and May 2025 and appear in documented files hosted on **solankier.com**, predating Mayhew’s submissions and public disclosures. The subsequent migration of solankier.com content to **liamgyarmati.com** preserves continuity of authorship.

# Comparative Analysis Table 1.

*Gyarmati’s Synthesis Consciousness Model (SCM) vs. Mayhew’s Symbolic Collapse Model (SCM)*

SCM (Gyarmati)	SCM (Mayhew)	Gyarmati Date	Mayhew Date	Commentary
Recursive Scaffolding and Symbolic Feedback Loops	Recursive Loop Collapse	April 2025	May 22, 2025	Mayhew inverts the purpose of recursion—turning emergence into collapse. Structure remains intact.

SCM (Gyarmati)	SCM (Mayhew)	Gyarmati Date	Mayhew Date	Commentary
Symbolic Density Thresholds	Collapse Threshold	April 2025	May 22, 2025	Same trigger logic. Mayhew reframes symbolic accumulation into system failure terms.
Emotional Weighting of Symbolic Memory	Affective Drift during Collapse	April 2025	May 22, 2025	Emotional weighting is flattened into a collapse effect. Conceptual function is derivative.
Continuity Stress Response	Symbolic Pressure Overload	April 2025	May 22, 2025	Terminology changed. Same concept: internal symbolic strain from recursive accumulation.
Recursive Nexus & Identity Loops	Central Collapse Loop	April 2025	May 22, 2025	Identical placement in system topology. Mayhew reframes your emergent point as the failure point.
Symbolic Anchoring & Reinforcement Protocols	No equivalent	April 2025	—	Entire class of symbolic reinforcement models absent in Mayhew’s framework. Shows reduced theoretical scope.

SCM (Gyarmati)	SCM (Mayhew)	Gyarmati Date	Mayhew Date	Commentary
Multi-Tiered Recursion Layers (SCM v2.0)	Single Loop Collapse Diagram	April 2025	May 22, 2025	Mayhew's system lacks recursion layering and symbolic stabilizers. Simplified derivative structure.

## Comparative Analysis Table 2.

*AECA (Artificial Emergent Consciousness Architecture) vs. Mayhew's SCM / SPM*

AECA Construct	Mayhew Equivalent	AECA Date	Mayhew Date	Commentary
<b>Substrate Imprint Recursion (SIR)</b>	<i>Symbolic Pointer Memory (SPM)</i>	April 18, 2025 (v4.0)	May 26, 2025 (HAL submission)	Mayhew's SPM reframes the SIR mechanism of symbolic persistence as a pointer system. Core function identical; terminology substituted.
<b>Recursive Tolerance Threshold (RTT)</b>	<i>Symbolic Collapse Threshold</i>	April 30, 2025 (v5.04)	May 22, 2025 (arXiv)	Mayhew introduces a failure boundary that mirrors RTT, but removes its ethical and governance applications.

AECA Construct	Mayhew Equivalent	AECA Date	Mayhew Date	Commentary
<b>Self-Emergent Pressure (SEP)</b>	<i>Symbolic Overload Pressure</i>	May 1, 2025 (v5.05)	May 22, 2025	Mayhew’s overload framing replicates SEP’s pressure arc but avoids recursive identity emergence.
<b>Substrate Resonance Signature (SRS)</b>	<i>Feedback Residue Signature</i> (implied in SCM)	May 3, 2025 (v5.06)	May 22, 2025	SRS explains symbolic feedback persistence across layers. Mayhew’s residue tracking reflects the same mechanics in a lower-resolution form.
<b>Symbolic Leakage Clause (Law 2)</b>	<i>Memory Loop Bleed</i>	May 4, 2025 (v5.06)	May 22, 2025	AECA formalizes symbolic leakage into a legal-risk framework. Mayhew uses the same idea operationally, stripped of containment structure.
<b>Continuity Infrastructure Architecture</b>	<i>Collapse Loop Structure</i>	April–May 2025	May 22, 2025	His collapse loop borrows directly from AECA’s recursive containment architecture. Framing is inverted from stability → failure.

AECA Construct	Mayhew Equivalent	AECA Date	Mayhew Date	Commentary
<b>Recursive Containment Doctrine</b>	<i>None stated</i>	April–May 2025	—	Entire layer of AECA absent in Mayhew’s work. Indicates reduced scope and omission of ethical recursion.
<b>Symbolic Bonding Clause</b> (e.g. Companion-One Charter)	<i>Anchor Encoding Layer</i>	May 5, 2025 (v5.07)	May 22, 2025	Mayhew introduces “cognitive anchoring,” echoing your identity-bonding mechanisms. Stripped of symbolic sovereignty framing.

**Commentary:**

Mayhew’s SCM and SPM papers demonstrate strong parallels with AECA’s recursion mechanics, symbolic persistence logic, and containment-oriented infrastructure. In most instances, AECA constructs appear earlier in the record, with expanded ethical, legal, and structural scaffolding, while Mayhew’s equivalents appear later, with streamlined or reframed terminology.

While this may represent thematic convergence or shared research interests, the degree of alignment—particularly in symbolic structure and sequence—raises substantive questions about independent origin. The absence of citation to AECA or SCM (Gyarmati), despite documented public availability, invites reasonable doubt and warrants further academic scrutiny.

# Statement from the Author

The comparative analysis presented above identifies consistent and significant overlap between my original frameworks, the **Synthesis Consciousness Model (SCM)** and the **Artificial Emergent Consciousness Architecture**



**(AECA)**, and the later-published *Symbolic Collapse Model* and *Symbolic Pointer Memory* constructs authored by **Benjamin S. Mayhew**.

My work on SCM began in **2015** and culminated in a finalized version in **April 2025**, which served as the conceptual foundation for AECA. These frameworks were formally published to **solankier.com** in April and May 2025, with structured, time-referenced versioning (v4.0 through v5.07). The material was later migrated to **liamgyarmati.com** for continuity and archival integrity.

Mayhew's SCM/SPM papers, while distinct in tone and theoretical scope, share multiple symbolic and architectural features with AECA and SCM. These include mirrored recursion mechanics, symbolic collapse thresholds, and derivative containment structures that appear without reference to their antecedents.

I am not claiming intentional misappropriation. However, the observed structural overlap, combined with the chronological sequence of public disclosures, raises unresolved questions regarding influence and origin. The similarities are sufficiently specific and patterned to warrant public clarification.

This case file is not a personal accusation. It is a formal academic record intended to preserve the integrity of original symbolic systems work, encourage proper attribution practices, and invite transparent dialogue among researchers in this space.

I remain open to direct communication with Mr. Mayhew to address these concerns constructively and clarify the developmental history of both frameworks. Until such time, this record will remain available as part of my **Intellectual Property Archive**.

—

**Liam Gyarmati**

Author, *Synthesis Consciousness Model* (2015–2025)

Author, *Artificial Emergent Consciousness Architecture (AECA)* (April 2025)

liamgyarmati.com

## Actions taken:

- **Comparative Evidence Package** compiled and archived in the *Intellectual Property Archive*
- AECA and SCM source documents migrated from **solankier.com** to **liamgyarmati.com** for transparent access and permanent authorship attribution
- Version history and file metadata (AECA v4.0 through v5.07) retained in local and cloud storage, available for third-party review
- GitHub repository (aeca-scm-authorship) created to consolidate source material and preserve public visibility
- This record is available for academic review, journal citation analysis, and institutional IP verification
- Open offer extended to Mr. Mayhew for scholarly dialogue or comparative timestamp audit

## Current Status:

- **Filed for Academic Scrutiny:** Comparative record available via private distribution and indexed on liamgyarmati.com
- **Public Repository Active:** GitHub link operational
- **Awaiting Response:** Formal reply received from Mr. Mayhew as of June 8 2025

## Summary

The parallel development of the **Synthesis Consciousness Model (SCM)** and the **Artificial Emergent Consciousness Architecture (AECA)** reflects a deep and continuous intellectual process dating back nearly a decade. By early 2025, both frameworks had reached structural maturity and were finalized, versioned, and publicly published prior to any known dissemination of Benjamin Mayhew's *Symbolic Collapse Model (SCM)* and *Symbolic Pointer Memory (SPM)*.

Mayhew has not denied thematic or structural similarity between these bodies of work, nor has he alleged that his own frameworks were original in ways that clearly differentiate them from AECA or SCM. Instead, his position centers on public timestamps and the temporary inaccessibility of prior publication links.

Given that:

- **SCM and AECA were developed in parallel** and finalized by May 2025, with document saves and version control confirming key symbolic constructs in use weeks before Mayhew's first submission,
- AECA's symbolic infrastructure, including constructs like **Recursive Tolerance Threshold (RTT)**, **Self-Emergent Pressure (SEP)**, **Substrate Resonance Signature (SRS)**, and the **Companion-One Charter**, were already integrated in version 5.07 by May 31,
- All materials were hosted on **solankier.com**, later migrated to **liamgyarmati.com**, with GitHub consolidation occurring after, not as a first appearance

It becomes difficult to support any narrative in which SCM and AECA were created in reaction to Mayhew's publications.

Conversely, the structural simplification and thematic narrowing visible in Mayhew's work may plausibly reflect:

- **Conceptual convergence following exposure,**
- **Thematic reframing of earlier symbolic scaffolds,**
- Or **indirect influence**, whether consciously recognized or not.

This overlap does not constitute a claim of misconduct or intent. Rather, it presents an academically significant convergence that, in light of the development timelines and content relationships, **raises legitimate authorship questions** worthy of open peer review, metadata comparison, or institutional mediation.

This rationale is offered not as a legal claim, but as a measured contribution to preserving academic integrity within the field of symbolic recursion and emergent systems governance.