
Fairness and efficiency in ressource allocation

The setting is an optimization problem where we have some ressources, denoted $i \in R$ and some agents, denoted $j \in J$. The set of possible allocations X lives in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Each agent j derives some utility from acquiring some ressource, independently of what the others receive. Say

$$U_j : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jm}) \mapsto u_j \quad (1)$$

Most classical treatments of ressource allocation in economics focus on efficiency, that is maximizing utility with little regard to "fairness" considerations however in some fields the latter is critical. For example consider bandwidth allocation to different links in a network where each customer's service depend on different links. Maximizing overall bandwidth might result in some user being completely cutoff which is clearly unacceptable. Different metrics of fairness have been proposed such as the Gini and Atkinson indices and there is an associated set of properties and a well established axiomatic treatment of notions of fairness in the litterature. Moreover there is a tradeoff to be made between fairness and efficiency. The overall objective function that can model both and prescribe how one should dominate over the other is called a social welfare functionn.

I'd like to investigate the properties of the problem of optimizing a social welfare function given a set of constraints and utility functions. More specifically how the type of utility function and the similarity between them for different individuals affects the structure of the problem under classical considerations : convexity and smoothness. Moreover how do different choices of social welfare functions affect efficiency and fairness.

This is a setting extracted from a case a friend of mine was confronted with in the context of his financial consulting work. When shareholders give some intentions to sell some of their stocks and there is a maximal number of shares to sell, how does one distribute selling options across the population in the fairest way. Increased complexity is injected by the fact that there are different types of shares (e.g. premium, normal) and agents hold those in different proportions.

Finally in computer heterogeneous ressource allocation such as cloud computing, the constraint and utility functions can be dynamic, parametrized by some $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.