



SOLIDProof

Bring trust into your projects

**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC
Development | Marketing**

MADE IN GERMANY

Garbage

AUDIT

SECURITY ASSESSMENT

25. October, 2023

FOR



SolidProof_io



@solidproof_io

Introduction	4
Disclaimer	4
Project Overview	5
Summary	5
Social Medias	5
Audit Summary	6
File Overview	7
Imported packages	8
Audit Information	9
Vulnerability & Risk Level	9
Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied	10
Methodology	10
Overall Security	11
Upgradeability	11
Ownership	12
Ownership Privileges	13
Minting tokens	13
Burning tokens	14
Blacklist addresses	15
Fees and Tax	16
Lock User Funds	17
Components	18
Exposed Functions	18
StateVariables	18
Capabilities	19
Inheritance Graph	20
Centralization Privileges	21
Audit Results	23
Critical issues	23
High issues	23



Medium issues	23
Low issues	24
Informational issues	25



Introduction

[SolidProof.io](#) is a brand of the officially registered company MAKE Network GmbH, based in Germany. We're mainly focused on Blockchain Security such as Smart Contract Audits and KYC verification for project teams.

Solidproof.io assess potential security issues in the smart contracts implementations, review for potential inconsistencies between the code base and the whitepaper/documentation, and provide suggestions for improvement.

Disclaimer

[SolidProof.io](#) reports are not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc'...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of the security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

Project Overview

Summary

Project Name	Garbage
Website	https://buygarbage.io/holding/
About the project	\$Garbage is an ingenious branded meme strategy ensuring users can easily create and share memes. Garbage includes in-game utilities, staking, contribut2earn and buy back & burn protocols.
Chain	Ethereum
Language	Solidity
Codebase Link	Garbage Token - 0xAD9c91e521c50E98Ba457c47B0dDd785FA8F022a Garbage Vesting - 0x92a451708e1542fe5f8Be9a6CF6a7a96C01238Cd Garbage Sale - 0xa0Be66C2154170d7639643b76A5aa27771BB867f
Commit	N/A
Unit Tests	Provided

Social Medias

Telegram	https://t.me/garbagedrops
Twitter	N/A
Facebook	N/A
Instagram	N/A
Github	N/A
Reddit	N/A
Medium	N/A
Discord	N/A
Youtube	N/A
TikTok	N/A
LinkedIn	N/A

Audit Summary

Version	Delivery Date	Changelog
v1.0	10. October 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Layout Project• Automated- /Manual-Security Testing• Summary
v1.1	25. October 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reaudit

Note - The following audit report presents a comprehensive security analysis of the smart contract utilized in the project. This analysis did not include functional testing (or unit testing) of the contract/s logic. We cannot guarantee 100% logical correctness of the contract as we did not functionally test it.



File Overview

The Team provided us with the files that should be tested in the security assessment. This audit covered the following files listed below with an SHA-1 Hash.

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/IGarbageSale.sol	8e06c4c1ce6e3a5e70cff441a135489c9e25adc6
contracts/GarbageSale.sol	64913e3dc6d61ae42ca636bf5b3cc1bbd3d033d2
contracts/GarbageVesting.sol	8dae950517d1b6579bad531425c26de7f87b905e
contracts/IGarbageVesting.sol	9a1f330ca3a8874ca2dd7a8ef6c2fec57b1914ab
contracts/GarbageToken.sol	05401dc64340c04aa5a103d9f8253dff9b64c3d9

Please note: Files with a different hash value than in this table have been modified after the security check, either intentionally or unintentionally. A different hash value may (but need not) be an indication of a changed state or potential vulnerability that was not the subject of this scan.

Imported packages

Used code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports).

Dependency / Import Path	Count
@chainlink/contracts/src/v0.8/interfaces/AggregatorV3Interface.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts-upgradeable/access/AccessControlUpgradeable.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts-upgradeable/proxy/utils/Initializable.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts-upgradeable/security/PausableUpgradeable.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts-upgradeable/token/ERC20/IERC20Upgradeable.sol	2
@openzeppelin/contracts-upgradeable/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20Upgradeable.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol	1
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol	1

Note for Investors: We only audited contracts mentioned in the scope above. All contracts related to the project apart from that are not a part of the audit, and we cannot comment on its security and are not responsible for it in any way

Audit Information

Vulnerability & Risk Level

Risk represents the probability that a certain source threat will exploit vulnerability and the impact of that event on the organization or system. The risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	9 - 10	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.	Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High	7 - 8.9	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.	Implementation of corrective actions as soon as possible.
Medium	4 - 6.9	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
Low	2 - 3.9	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.	Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.
Informational	0 - 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk

Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied

Throughout the review process, care was taken to check the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and compliance with specifications and best practices. To this end, our team of experienced pen-testers and smart contract developers reviewed the code line by line and documented any issues discovered.

We check every file manually. We use automated tools only so that they help us achieve faster and better results.

Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

1. Code review that includes the following:
 - a. Reviewing the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof to ensure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - b. Manual review of the code, i.e., reading the source code line by line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - c. Comparison to the specification, i.e., verifying that the code does what is described in the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof.
2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
 - a. Test coverage analysis determines whether test cases cover code and how much code is executed when those test cases are executed.
 - b. Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
3. Review best practices, i.e., review smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarity, maintainability, security, and control based on best practices, recommendations, and research from industry and academia.
4. Concrete, itemized and actionable recommendations to help you secure your smart contracts.

Overall Security

Upgradeability

Contract is not an upgradeable



Deployer cannot update the contract with new functionalities

Description

The contract is not an upgradeable contract. The deployer is not able to change or add any functionalities to the contract after deploying.

Comment

N/A



Ownership

The ownership is not renounced

✗ The owner is not renounce

Description

The owner has not renounced the ownership that means that the owner retains control over the contract's operations, including the ability to execute functions that may impact the contract's users or stakeholders. This can lead to several potential issues, including:

- Centralizations
- The owner has significant control over contract's operations

Comment

N/A

Note - If the contract is not deployed then we would consider the ownership to be not renounced. Moreover, if there are no ownership functionalities then the ownership is automatically considered renounced.



Ownership Privileges

These functions can be dangerous. Please note that abuse can lead to financial loss. We have a guide where you can learn more about these Functions.

Minting tokens

Minting tokens refer to the process of creating new tokens in a cryptocurrency or blockchain network. This process is typically performed by the project's owner or designated authority, who has the ability to add new tokens to the network's total supply.

Contract owner cannot mint new tokens

 **The owner cannot mint new tokens**

Description	The owner is not able to mint new tokens once the contract is deployed.
-------------	---

Comment	N/A
---------	-----

Burning tokens

Burning tokens is the process of permanently destroying a certain number of tokens, reducing the total supply of a cryptocurrency or token. This is usually done to increase the value of the remaining tokens, as the reduced supply can create scarcity and potentially drive up demand.

Contract owner cannot burn tokens		 The owner cannot burn tokens
Description	The owner is not able burn tokens without any allowances.	
Comment	N/A	



Blacklist addresses

Blacklisting addresses in smart contracts is the process of adding a certain address to a blacklist, effectively preventing them from accessing or participating in certain functionalities or transactions within the contract. This can be useful in preventing fraudulent or malicious activities, such as hacking attempts or money laundering.

Contract owner cannot blacklist addresses



The owner cannot blacklist addresses

Description

The owner is not able blacklist addresses to lock funds.

Comment

N/A





Fees and Tax

In some smart contracts, the owner or creator of the contract can set fees for certain actions or operations within the contract. These fees can be used to cover the cost of running the contract, such as paying for gas fees or compensating the contract's owner for their time and effort in developing and maintaining the contract.

Contract owner cannot set fees more than 25%



The owner cannot levy unfair taxes

Description

The owner is not able to set the fees above 25%

Comment

N/A



Lock User Funds

In a smart contract, locking refers to the process of restricting access to certain tokens or assets for a specified period of time. When tokens or assets are locked in a smart contract, they cannot be transferred or used until the lock-up period has expired or certain conditions have been met.

Contract owner can lock claim

✗ The owner is able to lock the contract

Description	Locking the contract means that the owner is able to lock any funds of addresses that they are not able to transfer/claim bought tokens anymore.
Example	An example of locking is by pausing the contract or blacklisting any addresses but in this case of the presale contract, the owner is able to extend the claim date of the tokens whenever they want and if done so then users won't be able to claim their tokens.
Comment	The Team has confirmed that this functionality exists in the contract by design.

External/Public functions

External/public functions are functions that can be called from outside of a contract, i.e., they can be accessed by other contracts or external accounts on the blockchain. These functions are specified using the function declaration's external or public visibility modifier.

State variables

State variables are variables that are stored on the blockchain as part of the contract's state. They are declared at the contract level and can be accessed and modified by any function within the contract. State variables can be defined with a visibility modifier, such as public, private, or internal, which determines the access level of the variable.

Components

 Contracts	 Libraries	 Interfaces	 Abstract
3	0	2	0


Exposed Functions

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

 Public	 Payable
62	4





External	Internal	Private	Pure	View
41	59	0	0	32

StateVariables

Total	 Public
41	41




Capabilities

Solidity Versions observed	 Experimenta l Features	 Can Receive Funds	 Uses Assembl y	 Has Destroyable Contracts
0.8.18		Yes		



Inheritance Graph

An inheritance graph is a graphical representation of the inheritance hierarchy among contracts. In object-oriented programming, inheritance is a mechanism that allows one class (or contract, in the case of Solidity) to inherit properties and methods from another class. It shows the relationships between different contracts and how they are related to each other through inheritance.



Centralization Privileges

Centralization can arise when one or more parties have privileged access or control over the contract's functionality, data, or decision-making. This can occur, for example, if a single entity controls the contract or if certain participants have special permissions or abilities that others do not.

In the project, some authorities have access to the following functions:

File	Privileges
GarbageSale.sol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Admin Role <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pause/Unpause sale • Set Treasury, USDT, and Price Feed Addresses • Withdraw leftover tokens after the sale has ended • Set Claim Date to any arbitrary value in the future and it can be changed at any point in time which may stop users from claiming their tokens • Extend the Sale Deadline • Set/Update Vesting Contract. • Set USDT and Price Feed Oracle Address • Set Garbage Token address only once.
GarbageVesting.sol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • onlyOwner <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set Vesting Start Timestamp • Set Presale Address • Withdraw Foreign Tokens from the contract

Recommendations

To avoid potential hacking risks, the client should carefully manage the private key of the privileged account. Additionally, we recommend enhancing the security practices of centralized privileges or roles in the protocol through a decentralized mechanism or smart-contract-based accounts, such as multi-signature wallets.

Here are some suggestions of what the client can do:

- Consider using multi-signature wallets: Multi-signature wallets require multiple parties to sign off on a transaction before it can be executed, providing an extra layer of security e.g. Gnosis Safe
- Use of a timelock at least with a latency of e.g. 48-72 hours for awareness of privileged operations



- Introduce a DAO/Governance/Voting module to increase transparency and user involvement
- Consider Renouncing the ownership so that the owner can no longer modify any state variables of the contract. Make sure to set up everything before renouncing.



Audit Results

Critical issues

No critical issues

High issues

No high issues

Medium issues

No medium issues

Low issues

#1 | Missing Zero Address Validation

File	Severity	Location	Status
GarbageSale	Low	L346—362	Fixed

Description - Make sure to validate that the address passed in the function parameters is “non-zero”.

#2 | Owner can lock funds

File	Severity	Location	Status
GarbageSale	Low	L478	ACK

Description - There is a risk of an owner-imposed lock on user funds because the owner can change the claim date.

Alleviation - This functionality is added to the contract by design.

#3 | Missing Event

File	Severity	Location	Status
GarbageSale	Low	L503	Fixed

Description - Emitting events are necessary for any critical parameter change in the contract. In this case, the owner can change the vesting contract, so it is important to emit an event here.

Informational issues

#1 | Disable initializing

File	Severity	Location	Status
GarbageSale	Informational	L108	Fixed

Description

If the owner updates the contract, a `disableInitializer` call in the constructor must be implemented. This prevents calling the `initialize` function again to set the state variables in the contract. This should be implemented only if the contract was deployed before. Otherwise, the owner cannot call the `initialize` function to set the variables.

Recommendation

If the contract hasn't been deployed, remove the `disableInitializer` in the constructor. Otherwise, you are not able to initialize the contract. When the contract has a deployed version already, leave it as it is.

Legend for the Issue Status

Attribute or Symbol	Meaning
Open	The issue is not fixed by the project team.
Fixed	The issue is fixed by the project team.
Acknowledged(ACK)	The issue has been acknowledged or declared as part of business logic.



**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC
Development | Marketing**

MADE IN GERMANY