

**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC Development | Marketing** 



# RocketSwap

AUDIT

SECURITY ASSESSMENT

08. August, 2023

**FOR** 







# **SOLID**Proof

Introduction	3
Disclaimer	3
Project Overview	4
Summary	4
Social Medias	4
Audit Summary	5
File Overview	6
Imported packages	6
Components	7
Exposed Functions	7
Capabilities	8
Inheritance Graph	9
Audit Information	10
Vulnerability & Risk Level	10
Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied	11
Methodology	11
Overall Security	12
Medium or higher issues	12
Upgradeability	12
Ownership	13
Ownership Privileges	14
Minting tokens	14
Burning tokens	15
Blacklist addresses	16
Fees and Tax	17
Lock User Funds	18
Centralization Privileges	19
Audit Results	20



#### Introduction

<u>SolidProof.io</u> is a brand of the officially registered company MAKE Network GmbH, based in Germany. We're mainly focused on Blockchain Security such as Smart Contract Audits and KYC verification for project teams. Solidproof.io assess potential security issues in the smart contracts implementations, review for potential inconsistencies between the code base and the whitepaper/documentation, and provide suggestions for improvement.

## **Disclaimer**

<u>SolidProof.io</u> reports are not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc'...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of the security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.



# **Project Overview**

# **Summary**

Project Name	RocketSwap	
Website	https://app.rocketswap.cc/	
About the project	The exchange is an Automated Market Maker (AMM) that enables the exchange of two tokens on the Base Mainnet. This is done effortlessly by RocketSwap to the satisfaction of the user	
Chain	Base Mainnet (BaseScan)	
Language	Solidity	
Codebase Link	Token Contract - https://basescan.org/address/ Ox6653dD4B92a0e5Bf8ae570A98906d9D6fD2eEc09 Factory Contract - https://basescan.org/address/ Ox1B8128c3A1B7D20053D10763ff02466ca7FF99FC Router Contract - https://basescan.org/address/ Ox4cf76043B3f97ba06917cBd90F9e3A2AAC1B306e	
Unit Tests	Not Provided	

# **Social Medias**

Telegram	N/A
Twitter	https://twitter.com/RocketSwap_Labs
Facebook	N/A
Instagram	N/A
Github	N/A
Reddit	N/A
Medium	N/A
Discord	N/A
Youtube	N/A
TikTok	N/A
LinkedIn	N/A



# **Audit Summary**

Version	Delivery Date	Changelog
VCISIOII	Belivery Bate	
v1.0	08. August 2023	<ul><li>Layout Project</li><li>Automated-/Manual-Security Testing</li></ul>
<b>∨</b> 1.1	08. August 2023	<ul><li>Summary</li><li>Reaudit</li></ul>

**Note -** The following audit report presents a comprehensive security analysis of the smart contracts utilized in the project. This analysis did not include functional testing (or unit testing) of the contract/s logic. We cannot guarantee 100% logical correctness of the contract as it was not functionally tested by us.



#### **File Overview**

The project provided us with the files that should be tested in the security assessment. This audit covered the following files listed below with an SHA-1 Hash.

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/RocketSwapToken.sol	54c85fedcc4bd47af9dc512df3d0813434cd67b 5
contracts/ UniswapV2Router02.sol	420dc18a7b7f344748908abc2e6bcfdafa22f53 6
contracts/UniswapV2Factory.sol	e44855d59839f679b0c7e793c834db89f7f9202 f

Please note: Files with a different hash value than in this table have been modified after the security check, either intentionally or unintentionally. A different hash value may (but need not) be an indication of a changed state or potential vulnerability that was not the subject of this scan.

#### Imported packages

Used code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports).

#### N/A

**Note for Investors:** We only audited contracts mentioned in the scope above. All contracts related to the project apart from that are not a part of the audit, and we cannot comment on its security and are not responsible for it in any way. Moreover, the other contracts that are related to the project were not audited by our team. We recommend doing your own research.



#### **External/Public functions**

External/public functions are functions that can be called from outside of a contract, i.e., they can be accessed by other contracts or external accounts on the blockchain. These functions are specified using the function declaration's external or public visibility modifier.

#### **State variables**

State variables are variables that are stored on the blockchain as part of the contract's state. They are declared at the contract level and can be accessed and modified by any function within the contract. State variables can be defined with a visibility modifier, such as public, private, or internal, which determines the access level of the variable.

#### **Components**

Contracts	<b>E</b> Libraries	<b>Unterfaces</b>	Abstract
6	7	12	2

# **Exposed Functions**

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

<b>Public</b>	S Payable
204	10

External	Internal	Private	Pure	View
169	159	5	46	78

#### **StateVariables**

Total	<b>Public</b>
40	25




# **Capabilities**

Solidity Versions observed	Experimenta I Features	Can Receive Funds	Uses Assembl y	Has Destroyable Contracts
>=0.6.12 =0.6.6 =0.5.16				



## **Inheritance Graph**

An inheritance graph is a graphical representation of the inheritance hierarchy among contracts. In object-oriented programming, inheritance is a mechanism that allows one class (or contract, in the case of Solidity) to inherit properties and methods from another class. It shows the relationships between different contracts and how they are related to each other through inheritance.





# **Audit Information**

# **Vulnerability & Risk Level**

Risk represents the probability that a certain source threat will exploit vulnerability and the impact of that event on the organization or system. The risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	Critical  9 - 10  A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.		Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High	7 – 8.9	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.	Implementation of corrective actions as soon aspossible.
<b>Medium</b> 4-6.9 the desire		A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
Low	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.		Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.
Informational	0 – 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk



# **Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied**

Throughout the review process, care was taken to check the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and compliance with specifications and best practices. To this end, our team of experienced pen-testers and smart contract developers reviewed the code line by line and documented any issues discovered.

We check every file manually. We use automated tools only so that they help us achieve faster and better results.

## Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
  - a. Reviewing the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof to ensure we understand the size, scope, and

functionality of the smart contract.

- b. Manual review of the code, i.e., reading the source code line by line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- c. Comparison to the specification, i.e., verifying that the code does what is described in the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
  - a. Test coverage analysis determines whether test cases cover code and how much code is executed when those test cases are executed.
  - b. Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Review best practices, i.e., review smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarity, maintainability, security, and control based on best practices, recommendations, and research from industry and academia.
- 4. Concrete, itemized and actionable recommendations to help you secure your smart contracts.



# **Overall Security**

# Medium or higher issues Upgradeability

Contract is not an upgradeable	Deployer cannot update the contract with new functionalities
Description	The contract is not an upgradeable contract. The deployer is not able to change or add any functionalities to the contract after deploying.
Comment	N/A



## **Ownership**

The ownership is not renounced	X The owner is not renounce
Description	The owner has not renounced the ownership that means that the owner retains control over the contract's operations, including the ability to execute functions that may impact the contract's users or stakeholders. This can lead to several potential issues, including:  - Centralizations - The owner has significant control over contract's operations
Alleviation by the Project Team	Contract rights are not abandoned because DEX needs to be updated at any time

**Note** - If the contract is not deployed then we would consider the ownership to be not renounced. Moreover, if there are no ownership functionalities then the ownership is automatically considered renounced.



# **Ownership Privileges**

These functions can be dangerous. Please note that abuse can lead to financial loss. We have a guide where you can learn more about these Functions.

## **Minting tokens**

Minting tokens refer to the process of creating new tokens in a cryptocurrency or blockchain network. This process is typically performed by the project's owner or designated authority, who has the ability to add new tokens to the network's total supply.

Contract owner can mint new tokens	X The owner is able to mint new tokens	
Description	Owners who have the ability to mint new tokens can reward themselves or other stakeholders, who can then sell the newly minted tokens on a cryptocurrency exchange to raise funds. However, there is a risk that the owner may abuse this power, leading to a decrease in trust and credibility in the project or platform. If stakeholders perceive that the owner is using their power to mint new tokens unfairly or without transparency, it can result in decreased demand for the token and a reduction in its value.	
Example	If investors drive up the token price, the owner may choose to mint new tokens and sell them on a cryptocurrency exchange to raise funds. If the owner is not transparent and honest about their actions, they may be attempting a rugpull, where they suddenly abandon the project after raising funds, leaving investors with worthless tokens. This can lead to a decrease in the value of existing tokens, potentially rendering them worthless, and causing investors to suffer losses.	
Comment	The owner or the farm pool address can mint tokens till the maximum supply is reached	
Alleviation by the Project's Team	Mintable: Produced by farm contracts	



# File, Line/s: RocketSwapToken.sol Codebase -

```
function mint(uint256 amount1) public returns (bool) {
   require(isWhiteAddress(_msgSender()), 'not the owner');
   _mint(_msgSender(), amount1);
   return true;
}
```

## **Burning tokens**

Burning tokens is the process of permanently destroying a certain number of tokens, reducing the total supply of a cryptocurrency or token. This is usually done to increase the value of the remaining tokens, as the reduced supply can create scarcity and potentially drive up demand.

Contract owner cannot burn tokens	The owner cannot burn tokens
Description	The owner is not able burn tokens without any allowances.
Comment	N/A



#### **Blacklist addresses**


Blacklisting addresses in smart contracts is the process of adding a certain address to a blacklist, effectively preventing them from accessing or participating in certain functionalities or transactions within the contract. This can be useful in preventing fraudulent or malicious activities, such as hacking attempts or money laundering.





#### **Fees and Tax**

In some smart contracts, the owner or creator of the contract can set fees for certain actions or operations within the contract. These fees can be used to cover the cost of running the contract, such as paying for gas fees or compensating the contract's owner for their time and effort in developing and maintaining the contract.





#### **Lock User Funds**

In a smart contract, locking refers to the process of restricting access to certain tokens or assets for a specified period of time. When tokens or assets are locked in a smart contract, they cannot be transferred or used until the lock-up period has expired or certain conditions have been met.

Description  The owner is not able to lock the contract by any functions or updating any variables.  Comment  N/A	Owner cannot lock the contract	▼ The owner cannot lock the contract
	Description	
	Comment	



# **Centralization Privileges**

Centralization can arise when one or more parties have privileged access or control over the contract's functionality, data, or decision-making. This can occur, for example, if the contract is controlled by a single entity or if certain participants have special permissions or abilities that others do not.

In the project, there are authorities that have access to the following functions:

File	Privileges
1. RocketSwapToken.sol	<ul> <li>onlyOwner</li> <li>Owner can Mint</li> <li>Owner can set admin address</li> <li>Set Farm Pool addresses</li> </ul>
2. UniswapV2Factory.sol	<ul> <li>The "feeToSetter" address can change the fee receiver address and update the "feeToSetter" address as well.</li> </ul>

#### Recommendations

To avoid potential hacking risks, it is advisable for the client to manage the private key of the privileged account with care. Additionally, we recommend enhancing the security practices of centralized privileges or roles in the protocol through a decentralized mechanism or smartcontract-based accounts, such as multi-signature wallets.

#### Here are some suggestions of what the client can do:

- Consider using multi-signature wallets: Multi-signature wallets require multiple parties to sign off on a transaction before it can be executed, providing an extra layer of security e.g. Gnosis Safe
- Use of a timelock at least with a latency of e.g. 48-72 hours for awareness of privileged operations
- Introduce a DAO/Governance/Voting module to increase transparency and user involvement
- Consider Renouncing the ownership so that the owner cannot modify any state variables of the contract anymore. Make sure to set up everything before renouncing.



#### **Audit Results**

#### **#1 | Missing Events**

File	Severity	Location	Status
UniswapV2Factory	Low	L438, 443	ACK

**Description -** Make sure to emit events for all the critical parameter changes in the contract to ensure the transparency and trackability of all the state variable changes in the contract.

#### #2 | Old Compiler version

File	Severity	Location	Status
All	Low		ACK

**Description -** The contracts use outdated compiler versions, which are not recommended for deployment as they may be susceptible to known vulnerabilities.

# #3 | Contract doesn't import npm packages from source (like OpenZeppelin etc.)

File	Severity	Location	Status
All	Informational	N/A	ACK

**Description -** We recommend importing all packages from npm directly without flattening the contract. Functions could be modified or can be susceptible to vulnerabilities.

**Note for Investors and Developers -** The Factory and Router contract is 1:1 forked from the uniswap v2 contracts with only minor name changes in the actual code. We have verified that the logic is 100% identical that of uniswap contracts.



#### **Legend for the Issue Status**

Attribute or Symbol	Meaning
Open	The issue is not fixed by the project team.
Fixed	The issue is fixed by the project team.
Acknowledged(ACK)	The issue has been acknowledged or declared as part of business logic.





Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC Development | Marketing

