

**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC Development | Marketing** 



# Lock DAO

# AUDIT SECURITY ASSESSMENT

07. November, 2023

**FOR** 







<b>SOLID</b> Proof	
Introduction	3
Disclaimer	3
Project Overview	4
Summary	4
Social Medias	4
Audit Summary	5
File Overview	6
Imported packages	6
Components	7
Exposed Functions	7
Capabilities	8
Audit Information	9
Vulnerability & Risk Level	9
Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied	10
Methodology	10
Overall Security	11
Upgradeability	11

Ownership

Ownership Privileges

Minting tokens

Burning tokens

Fees and Tax

Centralization Privileges

Audit Results

Blacklist addresses

Lock Functionality

12

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19



## Introduction

<u>SolidProof.io</u> is a brand of the officially registered company MAKE Network GmbH, based in Germany. We're mainly focused on Blockchain Security such as Smart Contract Audits and KYC verification for project teams. Solidproof.io assess potential security issues in the smart contracts implementations, review for potential inconsistencies between the code base and the whitepaper/documentation, and provide suggestions for improvement.

## **Disclaimer**

<u>SolidProof.io</u> reports are not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc'...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of the security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.



# **Project Overview**

# Summary

Project Name	Lock DAO		
Website	http://www.lockdao.io/		
About the project	N/A		
Chain	All EVM Compatible Chains		
Language	Solidity		
Codebase Link	https://github.com/buildorz/LockDao_contracts/		
Commit	27ca8c3		
Unit Tests	Provided		

# **Social Medias**

Telegram	N/A
Twitter	https://twitter.com/lockdao_io
Facebook	N/A
Instagram	N/A
Github	N/A
Reddit	N/A
Medium	N/A
Discord	N/A
Youtube	N/A
TikTok	N/A
LinkedIn	N/A

4



# **Audit Summary**

Version	Delivery Date	Changelog
v1.0	07. November 2023	<ul><li>Layout Project</li><li>Automated-/Manual-Security Testing</li><li>Summary</li></ul>

**Note -** This Audit report consists of a security analysis of the **LockDAO** smart contract. This analysis did not include functional testing (or unit testing) of the contract's logic.



### **File Overview**

The Team provided us with the files that should be tested in the security assessment. This audit covered the following files listed below with an SHA-1 Hash.

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/ LiquidityLock.sol	0ef8321a271d9c50827d474cf30b56c7feb7cd370
contracts/TokenLock.sol	2fc04f775454cee167b2dadcd325edfcc6347d15
contracts/veNFT.sol	6734a81b6f809c5c30687ad6d2af4c53c1738efd

Please note: Files with a different hash value than in this table have been modified after the security check, either intentionally or unintentionally. A different hash value may (but need not) indicate a changed state or potential vulnerability that was not the subject of this scan.

## Imported packages

Used code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports).

Dependency / Import Path		
@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol	2	
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol	2	
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol	3	
@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/extensions/ ERC721Enumerable.sol	1	
@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Strings.sol	1	

**Note for Investors:** We only Audited an NFT and Liquidity Locking contract for **LockDAO**. However, If the project has other contracts (for example, a Presale contract etc.), and they were not provided to us in the audit scope, then we cannot comment on its security and are not responsible for it in any way.



#### **External/Public functions**

External/public functions are functions that can be called from outside of a contract, i.e., they can be accessed by other contracts or external accounts on the blockchain. These functions are specified using the function declaration's external or public visibility modifier.

#### **State variables**

State variables are variables that are stored on the blockchain as part of the contract's state. They are declared at the contract level and can be accessed and modified by any function within the contract. State variables can be defined with a visibility modifier, such as public, private, or internal, which determines the access level of the variable.

## **Components**

Contracts	<b>E</b> Libraries	Interfaces	Abstract
3	0	2	0

## **Exposed Functions**

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

<b>Public</b>	🖔 Payable
39	10

External	Internal	Private	Pure	View
29	20	0	1	23

## **StateVariables**

Total	<b>Public</b>
22	10



# **Capabilities**

Solidity Versions observed	Experimenta I Features	Can Receive Funds	Uses Assembl y	Has Destroyable Contracts
0.8.19		Yes		





# **Audit Information**

# **Vulnerability & Risk Level**

Risk represents the probability that a certain source threat will exploit vulnerability and the impact of that event on the organization or system. The risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	9 - 10	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.	Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High			Implementation of corrective actions as soon aspossible.
Medium	4 – 6.9	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.		Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.	
Informational	0 – 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk



# **Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied**

Throughout the review process, care was taken to check the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and compliance with specifications and best practices. To this end, our team of experienced pen-testers and smart contract developers reviewed the code line by line and documented any issues discovered.

We check every file manually. We use automated tools only so that they help us achieve faster and better results.

## Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
  - a. Reviewing the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to
    - SolidProof to ensure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
  - b. Manual review of the code, i.e., reading the source code line by line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
  - c. Comparison to the specification, i.e., verifying that the code does what is described in the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
  - a. Test coverage analysis determines whether test cases cover code and how much code is executed when those test cases are executed.
  - b. Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Review best practices, i.e., review smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarity, maintainability, security, and control based on best practices, recommendations, and research from industry and academia.
- 4. Concrete, itemized and actionable recommendations to help you secure your smart contracts.



# **Overall Security**

# **Upgradeability**

Contract is not an upgradeable	Deployer cannot update the contract with new functionalities
Description	The contract is not an upgradeable contract. The deployer is not able to change or add any functionalities to the contract after deploying.
Comment	N/A



## **Ownership**

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The ownership is not renounced	X The owner is not renounce
Description	The owner has not renounced the ownership that means that the owner retains control over the contract's operations, including the ability to execute functions that may impact the contract's users or stakeholders. This can lead to several potential issues, including:  Centralizations The owner has significant control over contract's
	operations
Comment	N/A

**Note** - If the contract is not deployed then we would consider the ownership to be not renounced. Moreover, if there are no ownership functionalities then the ownership is automatically considered renounced.



# **Ownership Privileges**

These functions can be dangerous. Please note that abuse can lead to financial loss. We have a guide where you can learn more about these Functions.

## **Minting tokens**

Minting tokens refer to the process of creating new tokens in a cryptocurrency or blockchain network. This process is typically performed by the project's owner or designated authority, who has the ability to add new tokens to the network's total supply.

Contract owner cannot mint new tokens	▼ The owner cannot mint new tokens
Description	The owner is not able to mint new tokens once the contract is deployed.
Comment	N/A



# **Burning tokens**

Burning tokens is the process of permanently destroying a certain number of tokens, reducing the total supply of a cryptocurrency or token. This is usually done to increase the value of the remaining tokens, as the reduced supply can create scarcity and potentially drive up demand.

			e owne	er canno	t burn tol	kens
owner i	is not	able	burn	tokens	without	any
						owner is not able burn tokens without inces.



#### **Blacklist addresses**

Blacklisting addresses in smart contracts is the process of adding a certain address to a blacklist, effectively preventing them from accessing or participating in certain functionalities or transactions within the contract. This can be useful in preventing fraudulent or malicious activities, such as hacking attempts or money laundering.





#### **Fees and Tax**

In some smart contracts, the owner or creator of the contract can set fees for certain actions or operations within the contract. These fees can be used to cover the cost of running the contract, such as paying for gas fees or compensating the contract's owner for their time and effort in developing and maintaining the contract.





# **Lock Functionality**

In a smart contract, locking refers to the process of restricting access to certain tokens or assets for a specified period of time. When tokens or assets are locked in a smart contract, they cannot be transferred or used until the lock-up period has expired or certain conditions have been met.

Contract owner can lock the functionality	<b>★</b> The owner is able to lock the contract
Description	Locking the contract means that the owner is able to lock any functions in the contract.
Example	In the veNFT contract, the owner is able to stop the mint function which will also result in the pause of 'lock' function in the RektLock contract also
Comment	N/A



# **Centralization Privileges**

Centralization can arise when one or more parties have privileged access or control over the contract's functionality, data, or decision-making. This can occur, for example, if a single entity controls the contract or if certain participants have special permissions or abilities that others do not.

In the project, some authorities have access to the following functions:

File	Privileges
1. LiquidityLock.sol	<ul> <li>OnlyOwner</li> <li>Create Proposal</li> <li>Execute Proposal</li> <li>Distribute Token</li> <li>Set Minimum and Maximum Lock durations</li> <li>Set/Change voting and proposal periods</li> <li>Set Treasury Address</li> <li>Set holders, voters and treasury shares to any arbitrary value except for 10_000</li> </ul>
2. RektLock.sol	<ul> <li>onlyOwner         <ul> <li>Set Minimum and Maximum lock durations to any arbitrary value.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#### Recommendations

To avoid potential hacking risks, it is advisable for the client to manage the private key of the privileged account with care. Additionally, we recommend enhancing the security practices of centralized privileges or roles in the protocol through a decentralized mechanism or smartcontract-based accounts, such as multi-signature wallets.

#### Here are some suggestions of what the client can do:

- Consider using multi-signature wallets: Multi-signature wallets require multiple parties to sign off on a transaction before it can be executed, providing an extra layer of security, e.g. Gnosis Safe
- Use of a timelock at least with a latency of, e.g. 48-72 hours for awareness of privileged operations
- Introduce a DAO/Governance/Voting module to increase transparency and user involvement
- Consider Renouncing the ownership so that the owner can no longer modify any state variables of the contract. Make sure to set up everything before renouncing.



## **Audit Results**

#### #1 | Owner can lock Functionality

File	Severity	Location	Status
veNFT	Medium	_	ACK

**Description -** The owner can pause the mint function, which will result in users not being able to lock tokens anymore because the lock function in the RektLock contract calls the "mint()" function to complete its operations. No user can use the Lock functionality if the owner pauses the mint.

#### **#2 | Missing Events**

File	Severity	Location	Status
LiquidityLock.sol	Low	L368—385	ACK

**Description -** Make sure to emit events for all the critical parameter changes in the contract to ensure the transparency and trackability of all the state variable changes in the contract.

## **#3 | Missing Events**

File	Severity	Location	Status
RektLock.sol	Low	L134, 139	ACK

**Description** - Make sure to emit events for all the critical parameter changes in the contract to ensure the transparency and trackability of all the state variable changes in the contract.

#### #4 | General Recommendation

File	Severity	Location	Status
All	Informational	L134, 139	ACK

**Description** - We recommend using the "nonReentrant" modifier for externally callable functions.

19



#### **Legend for the Issue Status**

Attribute or Symbol	Meaning
Open	The issue is not fixed by the project team.
Fixed	The issue is fixed by the project team.
Acknowledged(ACK)	The issue has been acknowledged or declared as part of business logic by the project team.





Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC Development | Marketing

