

# Practical: Investigating the t-test with simulated data

Michael Kopp

20 octobre 2023

The aim of this practical is to investigate the properties of the two-sample t-test – in particular, its false-positive rate (under  $H_0$ ) and power (under  $H_1$ ) – using simulated data that may violate some of its assumptions.

1. As a starting point, use the function `rnorm()` to draw two datasets of size  $n_1 = n_2 = 10$  from a standard normal distribution, and use the function `t.test()` to test the null-hypothesis of equal means ( $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ ).
2. Now repeat this procedure  $r = 10000$  times and plot a histogram of the resulting  $p$ -values. What do you observe?
3. Plot the same kind of histogram when the two samples are drawn from distributions with different means ( $\mu_1 = -\delta/2$ ,  $\mu_2 = \delta/2$ ), while retaining equal variances ( $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ ). It might be a good idea to write a **function** that does the iteration and takes the means and variances as parameters.
4. Using the same kind of data, plot the power (probability of true positives) of the test as a function of  $\delta$ . *Bonus* : Compare the results to the theoretical power curve derived from the t-distribution.
5. Assuming equal variances, compare the power of the two versions of the t-test (for equal and unequal variances, respectively). What happens to the difference as sample sizes increase?
6. Assuming unequal variances, compare the false-positive rates of the two versions of the t-test (e.g., plot the false-positive rate as a function of  $\sigma_2$  while keeping  $\sigma_1$  constant).
7. Returning to equal variances, compare the power and false-positive rates of the t-test and its non-parametric alternative, the Wilcoxon rank-sum (or Mann-Whitney U) test (`wilcox.test()`).