

# Theory of Machines and Languages

Fatemeh Deldar

1403-1404

## **Decidability**

#### Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $A_{DFA}$  is a decidable language.

 $A_{\mathsf{DFA}} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle | \ B \text{ is a DFA that accepts input string } w \}$ 

- Proof
  - 1. Simulate *B* on input *w*
  - 2. If the simulation ends in an accept state, *accept*. If it ends in a nonaccepting state, *reject*.

#### Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $A_{NFA}$  is a decidable language.

 $A_{\mathsf{NFA}} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle | \ B \text{ is an NFA that accepts input string } w \}$ 

- > Proof
  - 1. Convert NFA B to an equivalent DFA C
  - 2. Run TM M from previous theorem on input  $\langle C, w \rangle$
  - 3. If M accepts, accept; otherwise, reject

### Decidability

#### Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $E_{DFA}$  is a decidable language.

$$E_{\mathsf{DFA}} = \{ \langle A \rangle | A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) = \emptyset \}$$

- Proof
  - 1. Mark the start state of A
  - 2. Repeat until no new states get marked:
    - Mark any state that has a transition coming into it from any state that is already marked
  - 3. If no accept state is marked, accept; otherwise, reject

#### Theorem

 $\triangleright$  *EQ<sub>DFA</sub>* is a decidable language.

$$EQ_{\mathsf{DFA}} = \{ \langle A, B \rangle | A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs and } L(A) = L(B) \}$$

- > Proof
  - **1.** Construct DFA C as:  $L(C) = \left(L(A) \cap \overline{L(B)}\right) \cup \left(\overline{L(A)} \cap L(B)\right)$
  - 2. Run TM T from previous theorem on input  $\langle C \rangle$
  - 3. If T accepts, accept. If T rejects, reject.

## Decidability

#### Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $A_{CFG}$  is a decidable language.

$$A_{\mathsf{CFG}} = \{ \langle G, w \rangle | \ G \text{ is a CFG that generates string } w \}$$

- Proof
  - 1. Convert G to an equivalent grammar in Chomsky normal form
  - 2. List all derivations with 2n-1 steps, where n is the length of w
  - 3. If any of these derivations generate w, accept; if not, reject

#### Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $E_{CFG}$  is a decidable language.

$$E_{\mathsf{CFG}} = \{ \langle G \rangle | G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) = \emptyset \}$$

- > Proof
  - 1. Mark all terminal symbols in *G*
  - 2. Repeat until no new variables get marked:
    - · Mark any variable A where G has a rule  $A \to U_1U_2 \dots U_k$  and each symbol  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  has already been marked
  - 3. If the start variable is not marked, accept; otherwise, reject

## Undecidability

#### □ Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $A_{TM}$  is undecidable.

$$A_{\mathsf{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle | M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$$

- > Proof
  - We assume that  $A_{TM}$  is decidable and obtain a contradiction
  - O Suppose that H is a decider for  $A_{TM}$

$$H(\langle M, w \rangle) = \begin{cases} accept & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } w \\ reject & \text{if } M \text{ does not accept } w. \end{cases}$$

- O Now we construct a new Turing machine D on input  $\langle M \rangle$ , where M is a TM
  - Run H on input  $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$
  - Output the opposite of what H outputs

$$D(\langle M \rangle) = \begin{cases} accept & \text{if } M \text{ does not accept } \langle M \rangle \\ reject & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } \langle M \rangle. \end{cases}$$

## Undecidability

#### □ Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $A_{TM}$  is undecidable.

$$A_{\mathsf{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle | M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$$

- Proof (Cont.)
  - $\circ$  What happens when we run **D** with its own description  $\langle D \rangle$  as input?

$$D(\langle D \rangle) = \begin{cases} accept & \text{if } D \text{ does not accept } \langle D \rangle \\ reject & \text{if } D \text{ accepts } \langle D \rangle. \end{cases}$$



- H accepts  $\langle M, w \rangle$  exactly when M accepts w.
- **D** rejects  $\langle M \rangle$  exactly when M accepts  $\langle M \rangle$ .
- **D** rejects  $\langle D \rangle$  exactly when **D** accepts  $\langle D \rangle$ .

## Undecidability

#### **□** Theorem

 $\triangleright$   $A_{TM}$  is undecidable.

 $A_{\mathsf{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle | \ M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$ 

	$\langle M_1 \rangle$	$\langle M_2 \rangle$	$\langle M_3 \rangle$	$\langle M_4 \rangle$		$\langle D \rangle$	
$M_1$	$\underline{accept}$	reject	accept	reject		accept	
$M_2$	$\overline{accept}$	accept	accept	accept		accept	
$M_3$	reject	$\overline{reject}$	reject	reject		reject	• • • •
$M_4$	accept	accept	$\overline{reject}$	reject		accept	
:		:			٠.		
D	reject	reject	accept	accept			
:		:					·