Answers to exercises in How To Prove It

Son To <son.trung.to@gmail.com>

StaffPoint Oy

July 12, 2019

This is to answer all the questions in the books "How to prove it" by Velleman. Comments are appreciated!

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Chapter 1	5
	2.1 Deductive reasoning and logical connectives	5

1 Introduction

Exercise 1.0.1.

- (a) a=3, b=5 \Rightarrow $x=2^5-1=31$, $y=1+2^5+2^{10}=1057$
- (b) Since 32,767 is not a prime, $2^{32,767}-1$ is not a prime either. Therefore, there exists a positive integer $0 < x < 2^{32,767}-1$ such that $2^{32,767}-1$ is divisible by x. Hence, by (a), $x=2^{31}-1$ satisfies this.

Exercise 1.0.2.				
n	$3^{n}-1$	$3^n - 2^n$		
2, prime	8, not prime	5, prime		
3, prime	26, not prime	19, prime		
4	80, not prime	65, not prime		
5, prime	242, not prime	211, prime		
6	728, not prime	665, not prime		
7, prime	2,186, not prime	2,059, prime		
8	6,560, not prime	6,305, not prime		
9	19,682, not prime	$19,171 = 19 \cdot 1,009$, not prime		
10	$59,048\mathrm{,}$ not prime	58,025, not prime		

Conjecture 1.1. 3^n-1 is even for all n.

Conjecture 1.2. If n is prime, 3^n-2^n is prime.

Conjecture 1.3. If n is not prime, 3^n-2^n is not prime.

Exercise 1.0.3.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3). There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Its proof gives a method of finding a prime number n different from the ones in a given list. However, one needs to be careful of prime numbers not in the list and smaller than n, since the proof assumes that primes are finite. Example, $n=3\cdot 5+1=15+1=16$ is not a prime number. Hence,

Remark 1.1 (Method). To find a prime different from the list, check if it is divisible by other primes missing from the list.

- (a) Let $n=2\cdot 5+1=11$. n is not divisible by 3,7, a new prime number.
- (b) Let $n=2\cdot 3+1=7$. n is not divisible by 5,11, a new prime number.

Exercise 1.0.4. 24, 25, 26, 27, 28

Exercise 1.0.5.

 $2^4 \cdot (2^5 - 1) = 496$ $2^6 \cdot (2^7 - 1) = 127$.

Exercise 1.0.6.

 $\textit{Conjecture } \ 1.4. \ 3, 5, 7$ is the unique triplet prime.

I do not know now to prove it.

2 Chapter 1

2.1 Deductive reasoning and logical connectives

Exercise 2.1.1.

- (a) $(R \vee H) \wedge \neg (H \wedge T)$
- (b) S= "You go skiing", N= "There is snow". $\neg S \lor (S \land \neg N)$
- (c) $\neg((\sqrt{7}=2) \lor (\sqrt{7}<2))$

Exercise 2.1.2.

- (a) J= John is telling the truth, B= Bill is telling the truth $(J\wedge B)\vee (\neg J\wedge \neg B)$
- (b) F= "I have fish", C= "I have chicken", M= "I have mashed potatoes" $(F\vee C)\wedge \neg (F\wedge M)$
- (c) $(6:3) \land (9:3) \land (15:3)$

Exercise 2.1.3.

 $A={\tt Alice}$ is in the room, $B={\tt Bob}$ is in the room

- (a) $\neg (A \land B)$
- (b) $\neg A \wedge \neg B$
- (c) $\neg A \lor \neg B$
- (d) $\neg A \land \neg B$

Exercise 2.1.4.

a) and c)

Exercise 2.1.5.

- (a) I will not buy the pants without the shirt.
- (b) I will buy neither the pants nor the shirt.
- (c) Either I will not buy the pants or I will not buy the shirt.

Exercise 2.1.6.

- (a) At least one of them is happy and at least one of them is not happy.
- (b) Either at least one of Steve and George is happy or both are unhappy.
- (c) Either Steve is happy or George, not Steve, is happy.

Exercise 2.1.7.

Remark 2.1. An argument is valid if the premises cannot all be true without the conclusion being true as well.

- (a) Valid.
- (b) If Beef and Peas were served, clearly the first two premises were satisfied. The third premise was also satisfied because both Fish and Corn were not served. Hence, the argument is invalid.
- (c) We base our argument on Bill,
 - If Bill is lying, then John must be telling the truth.
 - If Bill is telling the truth, then Sam must be lying.

The conclusion is valid.

Another approach: Suppose John is lying and Sam is telling the truth, then Bill is telling the truth and Sam must be lying: premise is not satisfied. Hence, the conclusion is valid.

(d) Suppose sales and expenses go up. Then the premise is satisfied. Hence, the conclusion is invalid.